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## Streszczenie

Wiązanie liganda programowanej śmierci 1 (PD-L1, ang. Programmed Death Ligand 1) z jego docelowym receptorem PD-1 (ang. Programmed Death 1) ma znaczący wpływ na thumienie odpowiedzi immunologicznej organizmu i jest związane z hamowaniem proliferacji limfocytów T, uwalnianiem cytokin oraz cytotoksycznością, co powoduje apoptozę T specyficznych limfocytów. Wprowadzenie inhibitorów, celujących w interakcje PD-1/PD-L1, jest obecnie stosowane w immunoterapii wielu typów nowotworów i wypiera stopniowo bardziej tradycyjne sposoby leczenia raka, takie jak chemioterapia, czy radioterapia. Wdrożenie tej strategii poprzez zaangażowanie przeciwciał monoklonalnych (mAbs) dowiodło bezpośrednio, że modulacja odpowiedzi immunologicznej może doprowadzić do przywrócenia funkcji specyficznych limfocytów T oraz do normalizacji odpowiedzi przeciwnowotworowych, będąc tym samym skuteczną strategią w leczeniu raka.

Poza wymienionymi zaletami, zastosowanie przeciwciał monoklonalnych w terapii wiąże się niestety z pewnymi niedogodnościami, takimi jak słaby profil farmakokinetyczny przy podawaniu doustnym, niepożądane skutki uboczne pochodzenia immunologicznego (ang. irAE) i słaba penetracja guza, spowodowana znacznymi rozmiarami ( 150 kDa ) przeciwciała. Ponadto terapie oparte na mAbs są skorelowane z wysokimi kosztami, co ogranicza uniwersalność stosowania tej metody immunoterapeutycznej. Spektakularne wyniki badań klinicznych uzyskane przy stosowaniu przeciwciał monoklonalnych skierowanych na oddziaływanie PD-1/PD-L1 sprawiły, że niskocząsteczkowe inhibitory celujące w punkt kontroli PD-1/PD-L1 są intensywnie poszukiwane. Zastosowanie małocząsteczkowych antagonistów oddziaływania PD-1/PD-L1 mogłoby pozwolić obejść niedogodności związane ze stosowaniem mAbs i zarazem stworzyć alternatywę wśród immunoterapeutycznych metod leczenia. Dlatego też poszukiwanie niskocząsteczkowych inhibitorów punktu kontroli immunologicznej PD-1/PDL1 jest intensywnie rozwijającym się tematem badawczym, a optymalizacja struktury potencjalnych antagonistów stała się również przedmiotem moich zainteresowań.

Głównym celem moich badań była optymalizacja struktury, przeprowadzenie syntezy organicznej wybranych grup inhibitorów oraz analiza właściwości otrzymanych związków pod względem ich zastosowania jako antagonistów białka PD-L1. Początkowo w ramach prowadzonych badań dokonano syntezy immunomodulatorów PD-1/PD-L1 jako pochodnych 1,1'-biphenylu. Fragmenty stanowiące rdzenie poszczególnych grup cząsteczek były optymalizowane przy użyciu metod takich jak modelowanie molekularne, spektroskopia
jądrowego rezonansu magnetycznego połączona z testem dysocjacji indukowanej słabym antagonistą ( $w$-AIDA NMR, ang. weak-Antagonist Induced Dissociation Assay Nuclear Magnetic Resonance), pomiary fluorescencji czasowo rozdzielczej (HTRF, ang. Homogenous Time Resolved Fluorescence) a także wzorując się znanymi dotychczas w literaturze strukturami antagonistów białka PD-L1. Przy użyciu syntezy zbieżnej i liniowej, pomyślnie zsyntezowano inhibitory będące pochodnymi 2H-benzo[b][1,4]oksazyn-3(4H)-onu, 2-bromo-1,1'-bifenylu, 1,1':2',1"-terfenylu, 2-fluoro-1,1'-bifenylu, 2-chloro-1,1'-bifenylu, 2-iodo-1,1'bifenylu. Powinowactwo otrzymanych związków determinowano przy użyciu techniki HTRF oraz badając zdolność otrzymanych cząsteczek do aktywacji limfocytów T w komórkowym teście blokady punktu kontroli immunologicznej. Spośród otrzymanych związków inhibitor 3.17 jako pochodna 2-bromo-1,1'-bifenylu okazał się przynieść najbardziej satysfakcjonujące wyniki, charakteryzując się $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ równym $15.0 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{EC}_{50}$ wynoszącym $6.6 \pm 0.8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ oraz brakiem cytotoksyczności w zakresie aż do $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ stężenia związku. Dla innej pochodnej 2-fluoro-1,1'-bifenylu otrzymano wysokorozdzielczą strukturę krystaliczną związku 3.54 z białkiem PD-L1. Analiza struktury krystalicznej pozwoliła na dogłębną analizę interakcji związku 3.54 z miejscem wiążącym w obrębie dimerycznego PD-L1.

Jako kontynuację badań podjęto próbę otrzymania grupy rozbudowanych, małocząsteczkowych antagonistów punktu kontroli immunologicznej PD-1/PD-L1. Zsyntezowano związki będące pochodnymi ugrupowań takich jak 2,3-dihydro-1H-inden, 2,2'-dimethylo-1,1'-bifenyl, 2-fluoro-2'-metylo-1,1'-bifenyl oraz grupe pochodnych 3,4-dihydro2 H -benzo [b][1,4]oksazyny. Wśród wskazanych, pseudo $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-symetryczne pochodne 2-fluoro-2'-metylo-1,1'-bifenylu oraz 2,2'-dimethylo-1,1'-bifenyl wykazały najlepsze właściwości, charakteryzując się $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \mathrm{w}$ zakresie do1 nM dla pięciu pochodnych - 7.31-7.33, 7.35 i 7.37 oraz $\mathrm{EC}_{50} \mathrm{w}$ zakresie do 500 nM dla sześciu spośród otrzymanych związków - 7.31-7.36. Zastosowana modyfikacja małych cząsteczek związana z ich wydłużeniem i powiększeniem ich struktury, przyczyniła się do wzmocnienia wiązania inhibitorów poprzez zwiększenie ilości jego interakcji z białkiem PD-L1.

Podsumowując, prezentowana rozprawa doktorska przedstawia zarówno syntezę 59 finalnych cząsteczek jak i charakterystykę powinowactwa otrzymanych cząsteczek w stosunku do białka PD-L1. Wyniki przeprowadzonych przeze mnie badań, związanych z przeprowadzeniem analizy zależności struktura-aktywność (SAR, ang. Structure-Activity Relationship) i otrzymaniem związków na drodze syntezy organicznej, mogą przyczynić się do stworzenia nowej klasy potencjalnych inhibitorów punktu kontrolni immunologicznej PD-1/PD-L1.

## Summary

Binding of programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) to its target receptor PD-1 has a major impact on the suppression of the body's immune response and is associated with inhibition of T-cell proliferation, cytokine release and cytotoxicity leading to apoptosis of specific T lymphocytes. The introduction of inhibitors that disrupt the PD-1/PD-L1 interaction is currently being used in the immunotherapy of many types of cancer and is gradually replacing more traditional methods of cancer treatment such as chemotherapy and radiotherapy. The implementation of this strategy through the use of monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) in therapy has directly demonstrated that modulation of the immune response can restore the function of specific T lymphocytes and normalize the anti-tumor response, making it an effective strategy in the treatment of cancer.

In addition to these advantages, the use of monoclonal antibodies in therapy is unfortunately associated with some disadvantages, such as a poor pharmacokinetic profile when administered orally, adverse immune-related side effects (irAE), and poor tumor penetration due to the large size ( 150 kDa ) of the antibody. Furthermore, mAbs-based treatments have been associated with high costs, limiting the versatility of this immunotherapeutic approach. The spectacular results obtained in clinical trials with monoclonal antibodies targeting the PD-1/PDL1 interaction have led to an intense search for small molecule inhibitors targeting the PD-1/PD-L1 checkpoint. The use of small molecule antagonists of the PD-1/PD-L1 interaction could overcome the drawbacks associated with the use of mAbs while providing an alternative immunotherapeutic treatment modality. Therefore, the search for small molecule inhibitors of the PD-1/PD-L1 immune checkpoint is an intensively developing research topic, and the optimization of the structure of potential antagonists has also become the subject of my interest.

The main objective of my research was to optimize the structure, perform the organic synthesis and analyze the biochemical activity of the obtained compounds in terms of their use as PD-L1 antagonists. The first step of the research was the synthesis of PD-1/PD-L1 immunomodulators as 1,1 '-biphenyl derivatives. Fragments constituting the nuclei of individual molecule groups were optimized using methods such as molecular modeling, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy combined with weak-Antagonist Induced Dissociation Assay (w-AIDA), time-resolved fluorescence measurements (HTRF, Homogeneous Time-Resolved Fluorescence), as well as by following the known structures of PD-L1 protein antagonists published so far in the literature.

Using convergent and linear synthesis, inhibitors derived from 2 H -benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-3(4H)-one, 2-bromo-1,1'-biphenyl, 1,1':2', 1"-terphenyl, 2-fluoro-1,1'-biphenyl, 2-chloro-1,1'biphenyl, 2 -iodo-1,1'-biphenyl were successfully synthesized. The affinity of the obtained compounds was determined using the HTRF technique and by studying the ability of the obtained molecules to activate T lymphocytes in a cellular immune checkpoint blockade assay. Among the obtained compounds, $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}$ inhibitor as a 2-bromo-1,1'-biphenyl derivative gave the most satisfactory results, being characterized by an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $15.0 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{nM}$ and $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ of $6.6 \pm 0.8$ $\mu \mathrm{M}$ and lack of cytotoxicity up to $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration of the compound. For another 2-fluoro-1,1'-biphenyl derivative, a high-resolution co-crystal structure of compound $\mathbf{3 . 5 4}$ with PD-L1 protein was obtained. Analysis of the crystal structure allowed for an in-depth insight into the binding mode of compound $\mathbf{3 . 5 4}$ within dimeric PD-L1.

As a continuation of the research, an attempt was made to synthesize a group of extended, small-molecule PD-1/PD-L1 immune checkpoint antagonists. Compounds derived from groups such as 2,3-dihydro-1H-indene, 2,2'-dimethyl-1,1'-biphenyl, 2-fluoro-2'-methyl-1,1'-biphenyl, and 3,4-dihydro-2H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazine were obtained. Among the indicated, pseudo-C2symmetric derivatives of 2-fluoro-2'-methyl-1,1'-biphenyl and 2,2'-dimethyl-1,1'-biphenyl showed the best properties, characterized by $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ in the range of up to 1 nM for five derivatives - 7.31-7.33, 7.35 and 7.37, and $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ in the range up to 500 nM for six of the obtained compounds - 7.31-7.36. The applied modification of small molecules related to their elongation and enlargement of their structure contributed to strengthening the binding of inhibitors by increasing the number of its interactions with the PD-L1 protein.

In summary, the presented herein doctoral dissertation provided both the synthetic description of 59 final molecules and the characteristics of the affinity of the obtained molecules in relation to the PD-L1 protein. In conclusion, the results of my research, related to both structure-activity relationship (SAR) analysis and the preparation of compounds by organic synthesis manners, may contribute to the formulation of a new class of potential inhibitors of the PD-1/PD-L1 immune checkpoint.

## 1. Literature Introduction

### 1.1. Immunotherapy as New Release in Cancer Treatment

Traditional therapeutic approaches to cancer treatment are gradually being replaced by the use of agents that provide amplification of immune effector mechanisms, broadly referred to as "immunotherapy" (Kazemi et al., 2016). Immunotherapeutic methods have been shown to have unparalleled advantages over conventional treatments such as radiotherapy and chemotherapy, prolonging progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) (Tan et al., 2020). In contrast to traditional cancer treatment methods, immunotherapy dynamically modulates the immune system by regulating the immune microenvironment, allowing immune cells to attack and eliminate tumor cells (Couzin-Frankel, 2013).

Among immunotherapeutic methods, active and passive types of immunotherapy techniques can be distinguished. Active immunotherapy consists of stimulating effector functions in vivo and aims to achieve a therapeutic effect by increasing the patient's immune response, for example by administering tumor cell antigens (specific active immunotherapy) or immuno-stimulating preparations such as cytokines (non-specific active immunotherapy) (Papaioannou et al., 2016). Passive immunotherapy compensates for the deficient immune functions by using ex vivo activated cells or molecules and is mainly used in patients with low responsible immune status. In this category, we can mention methods such as infusion of tumorspecific antibodies, systemic administration of recombinant cytokines and adoptive transfer of immune cells preactivated to lyse tumors in vivo (Papaioannou et al., 2016).

Moreover, some studies revealed information, that combination of immunotherapeutic techniques with traditional antitumor methods, or multiple immunocheckpoint inhibitor (ICI)based therapy could significantly enhance most of the effects of treatment, but further research on that topic is required (Tan et al., 2020). The advantages associated with application of immunotherapeutic techniques induced gradual deepening of the research related to antitumor drugs and generated the the increase in the demand for immunotherapeutic drugs in the market. The importance of research related to immune checkpoint inhibition was emphasized by the Nobel Prize in Medicine awarded to James P. Allison and Tasuku Honjo in 2018 (Ledford, et al., 2018), who proved that inhibition of negative immune checkpoint regulators can be a successful way to prevent tumor immunosuppressive mechanisms (Dunn et al., 2002). Allison found that cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) - a protein receptor expressed on the surface of the T cell - is able to inhibit the activity of T cells, preventing them from mounting
a full-scale immune attack. In his research, he suggested that blockade of the checkpoint receptor, CTLA-4, would set the immune system free from inspecting cancer cells, which he proved by showing that antibodies against CTLA-4 erased tumors in mice (Leach et al., 1996). In 2010, Bristol-Myers Squibb company, tested CTLA-4 antibody on metastatic melanoma patients and showed that this treatment resulted in the prolongation of life from 6 months without antibody treatment to an average of 10 months after treatment (Couzin-Frankel Jennifer, 2013). The early 1990s also saw the discovery of another T-lymphocyte receptor, programmed death 1 (PD-1), whose blockade was thought to overcome immune resistance. Trials of anti-PD-1 antibodies in humans began in 2006, reporting significantly better effects and milder side effects of therapy. Clinical trials have shown that the use of anti-PD-1 antibodies leads to objective responses in approximately one in four to one in five patients with non-small cell lung cancer, melanoma, or renal cell cancer. In addition, the side effect profile does not appear to preclude its use. (Sponsored by Bristol-Myers Squibb and others; ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT00730639) (Topalian et al., 2012). More recently, protein death 1 (PD-1)/protein death ligand 1 (PD-L1) has emerged as one of the most important immune checkpoint (ICP) targets for cancer immunotherapy (Topalian et al., 2015).

### 1.2. PD-1 and PD-L1- Proteins Structure and Functions

Programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1) has been shown to play an essential role in the regulation of autoimmunity, including both induction and maintenance of peripheral tolerance. PD-1 protein is encoded by the PDCD1 gene and belongs to the CD28/CTLA-4 immunoglobulin family, sharing $23 \%$ amino acid sequence homology with cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 (CTLA-4) (Okazaki et al., 2006).

The extracellular region of PD-1 contains a single IgV -like domain and its cytoplasmic region consists of an immunoreceptor tyrosine-based inhibitory motif (ITIM) and an immunoreceptor tyrosine-based switch motif (ITSM) (Okazaki et al., 2006). PD-1 was isolated by subtractive hybridization as a molecule whose expression in a thymic T-cell line was enhanced by apoptotic stimuli (Ishida et al., 1992). Furthermore, it was found to be expressed not only on the surface of T cells, but also on B cells, natural killer T (NKT) cells, killer cells (KT), activated monocytes and dendritic cells, suggesting a broader role in immune regulation. Upon stimulation of PD-1 by antigen, PD-1 recruits the protein tyrosine phosphatase src homology 2 domain-containing tyrosine phosphatase 2 (SHP-2) to ITSM and dephosphorylates effector molecules downstream of the antigen receptor (Okazaki et al., 2002).

The ligands for PD-1 (PD-Ls) are PD-L1 (also known as B7-H1) and PD-L2 (B7-DC). Both proteins are type I transmembrane proteins with IgV - and IgC-like domains in the extracellular region. (Freeman et al., 2000). The PD-L1 protein is expressed on antigenpresenting cells and has shown the ability to turn off autoreactive T cells and induce peripheral tolerance, whereas PD-L1 presented on parenchymal cells prevents tissue destruction by suppressing effector T cells to maintain tolerance. In contrast to PD-L1, which is constitutively expressed on T cells, B cells, macrophages and dendritic cells (DCs), PD-L2 expression is more tightly regulated and is observed on activated macrophages and DCs (Okazaki et al., 2006). PD-L1 is abundant in lymphoid and non-lymphoid tissues and various tumor cells, especially in melanoma, lung cancer, pancreatic cancer, kidney cancer, bladder cancer and many others (Dong et al., 2002).

PD-L1 exposed on the surface of tumor cells interacts with PD-1 expressed by T cells, resulting in the induction of inhibitory signals, which is related to the immune evasion mechanism of tumors (Pardoll, 2012). PD-1 is thought to be involved in the engagement with its ligand PD-L1 or PD-L2, which results in the delivery of a negative signal by recruitment of Src homology 2 domain-containing tyrosine phosphatase 2 (SHP-2) to the phosphorylated tyrosine residue in the cytoplasmic region (Okazaki et al., 2006). Surprisingly, several groups have also reported positive effects of PD-L1 and PD-L2 on T cells, such as an increase in T cell proliferation as a result of inhibition of interferon (IFN) $\gamma$-induced nitric oxide production (Yamazaki et al., 2005). However, the negative effects associated with the presence of PD-L1/PD-L2 ligands due to inhibition of the immune response are significantly more dominant and important.

### 1.3. Mechanisms of Expression of Checkpoint Ligands in the Tumor Microenvironment (TME)

The PD-1/PD-L1 pathway has been postulated to regulate immune responses and control the induction and maintenance of immune tolerance in the tumor microenvironment. Two different mechanisms of PD-L1 overexpression relevant to innate and adaptive resistance, which can coexist in the TME, are known in the literature (Topalian et al., 2015). Intrinsic resistance results from the activation of some signal transduction pathways (AKT pathway, STAT 3 pathway) or genetic alterations and causes constitutive expression of PD-L1 by tumor cells (Parsa et al., 2007). In contrast to intrinsic resistance, adaptive overexpression of PD-L1 is related to the release of cytokines, particularly IFN- $\gamma$. Activated Th1-type helper CD4 cells,
stimulated CD8 cells and NK cells produce IFN- $\gamma$, and the resulting inflammatory immune microenvironment "threatens" the tumor (Taube et al., 2013). As a result of tumor cells sensing the inflammatory immune microenvironment, adaptive resistance alerts the cancer cell, leading to PD-L1 overexpression, which can help cancer cells evade immune surveillance. The described mechanisms are visualized in Figure 1.


Figure 1. The mechanisms of expression immune checkpoint related ligands in tumor microenvironment. (Topalian et al., 2015).

Early studies reported that the concept of adaptive resistance is not only consistent with the expression of PD-L1 on cancer cells, but can also apply to the induction of PD-L1 on myeloid cells, including dendritic cells, which can significantly suppress T-cell activation. In addition to tumor cells, PD-L1+ suppressive myeloid cells or DC in the TME or tumor-draining lymph nodes can also cause T-cell exhaustion. Llosa et al. (2014) showed that myeloid cells predominate over cancer cells in PD-L1 expression, which was observed in MSI colon cancer.

### 1.4. Inhibition of Immunocheckpoint (ICI) as Strategy to Restore Immune System

Based on the assumptions of immunotherapy, functional exhaustion, and anergy can be controlled by immune checkpoint blockade (Baumeister et al., 2016). Under normal conditions, the role of inhibitory checkpoint protein is to prevent autoimmune disease damage. The presence of TME has a negative influence on T cells and prevents T cells from approaching the tumor, weakening the ability of the immune system to recognize and fight tumor cells (Ramsay, 2013). In the TME, cancer cells, and other immune cells are able to release cytokines such as CSF-1, and TGF- $\beta$, which are recognized by dendritic cells (DCs) and antigen-presenting cells (APCs). This process brings DCs/APCs and T cells into close proximity and the B7 ligand identified by the DC/APC recognizes the CD28 receptor on the T cell.


Figure 2.The impact of ICIs on immune resistance to cancer (Tan et al., 2020).

As a result, cytotoxic T cells are activated and proliferation and migration of T cells to the tumor occurs. However, on activated T cells, the inhibitory receptor CTLA-4 binds more readily to B 7 than to CD 28 , leading to exhaustion of the antitumor T-cell response. In parallel, activated $T$ cells act on tumor cells. On the activated T-cell expression of PD-1 and engagement of the overexpressed protein in the binding with PD-L1 or PD-L2 respectively occurs, inhibiting the activation of T-cells (Ohaegbulam et al., 2015). The use of CTLA-4 inhibitors or PD-1/PDL1 inhibitors in vivo can enhance the immune response of T cells against tumors and inhibit tumor proliferation. The described process is shown in Figure 2 (Tan et al., 2020).

### 1.5. The Use of Monoclonal Antibodies for PD-1/PD-L1 Blockage

The induction of antitumor responses can be achieved by targeting the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway. The implementation of this strategy using monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) has directly demonstrated that modulation of immunity can be an effective strategy in cancer treatment (Ohaegbulam et al., 2015). However, from the wide range of monoclonal antibodies clinically tested, only six have been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration and the European Medicines Agency (Wolchok et al., 2013, Mahoney et al., 2015). Three of them pembrolizumab, nivolumab, cemiplimab are registered as PD-1 inhibitors and another three avelumab, atezolizumab and durvalumab were accepted as PD-L1 inhibitors, providing the improvement in various type of cancer therapy such as metastatic melanoma, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and renal cell carcinoma (Hoos, 2016; Khalil et al., 2016).

Undeniably, immunotherapeutic methods show significantly higher efficacy than traditional methods of cancer treatment, although it is still difficult to increase response rates above 20-50\% in curing solid tumors (Garon et al., 2015; Balar et al., 2017; Basu et al., 2019). The intermediate response rate of the therapy influenced by the presence of several activated alternative immune escape mechanisms could be overcome by the application of combination therapies (Kim et al., 2016). Since 2015, the FDA accepted the combination therapy of combined anti-PD-1 and anti-CTLA-4 mAbs for the treatment of BRAF V600 wild-type, unresectable and metastatic melanoma (Farkona et al., 2016). Apart from the mentioned advantages, the application of mAbs-based therapy is unfortunately associated with some drawbacks, such as poor pharmacokinetic profile for oral administration, immune-related adverse events (irAEs), and poor tumor penetration identification with large size ( 150 kDa ) of antibodies. In addition, mAbs-based therapy involves high manufacturing and health care costs, which limits the universality of this method (Baldo, 2013). Therefore, another method to disrupt
immune checkpoint PD-1/PD-L1 proteins is highly desired. The solution to this problem could be the design of alternative ICIs, such as macrocyclic peptide inhibitors or small molecule inhibitors, which are currently under intense investigation.

### 1.6. Peptidic and Peptidomimetical PD-1/PD-L1 Inhibitors

One of the first concepts of an alternative to monoclonal antibodies was the construction of peptides and peptidomimetics, which can be considered as a compromise between a monoclonal antibody and a small molecule type inhibitor. Aurigene Discovery Technologies, in collaboration with Laboratories Pierre Fabre, focused on the development of peptides that have a strong anticancer activity with improved tumor penetration ability, are associated with lower molecular masses and show fewer side effects compared to the already used monoclonal antibodies (Liu et al., 2019). One of the compounds they proposed was AUNP-12 (Figure 3, 1.1), a 29-mer peptide that contains the elements of human PD-1 in its structure. The high affinity of $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ to block the PD-1/PD-L1 and PD-1/PD-L2 pathways was demonstrated on the HEK293 cell lines expressing hPD-L2 and on the MDA-MB-231 cells expressing hPD-L1. The $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values determined from the proliferation-based experiments are 0.72 nM and 0.41 nM , respectively. In preclinical studies, $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ inhibited the growth of B16F10 murine melanoma cells and reduced the number of neoplastic cells in a mouse model of breast cancer (Sasikumar et al., 2013, Wang, T. et al., 2019).


Figure 3. The structure of $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ (AUNP12).
Bristol-Myers-Squibb (BMS) has patented another group of peptide inhibitors as macrocyclic peptides (MCPs) containing from 13 to 15 residues involved in the PD-1/PD-L1 interaction. Magiera-Mularz and collaborators from Jagiellonian University have characterized the examples of macrocyclic-peptide PD-1/PD-L1 antagonists developed by Bristol-Myers Squibb (Figure 4, 1.2., 1.3, 1.4) (Magiera K. et al., 2017). The observed restoration of the TCRresponsive promoter under the control of the NFAT promoter overexpressing PD-1 was demonstrated for three of the presented macrocyclic peptides (Figure 4, 1.2., 1.3, 1.4). The EC50 values determined for molecules $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ (BMS-57), $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ (BMS-71), and 1.4 (BMS-99) were 556
$\mathrm{nM}, 293 \mathrm{nM}$, and 6300 nM respectively when the value obtained for anti-PD-1 antibody durvalumab was calculated for 0.20 nM . X-ray crystallography provided structural insight into the interactions of $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ (BMS-57) and $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ (BMS-71) with PD-L1. The results of the obtained cocrystal structures of $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ (BMS-57) or $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ (BMS-71) with PD-L1 show that no significant structural changes are induced within the PD-L1 receptor upon ligand binding and the observed interactions mimic about $37 \%$ of the PD-L1/mAbs interaction observed in avelumab and PDL1 binding.

1.2 (BMS-57)

1.3 (BMS-71)


## 1.4 (BMS-99)

Figure 4. The examples of MCPs: $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ (BMS-57), $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ (BMS-71) and $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ (BMS-99) developed by BMS company and characterized by Magiera K. et al., 2017.

Moreover, among macrocyclic peptides we can distinguish 1.5 (BMS-986189) (structure not fully disclosed), consisting of a 45 -residue N - methylated backbone with 14 amide bonds and 1 thioether bond has successfully entered a Phase I clinical trial. Starting in 2016, 1.5 (BMS986189) is tested for the treatment of severe sepsis (Wang, T. et al., 2019).

Interesting derivatization of the MCPs as potential inhibitors of PD-L1 was proposed by Aurigene and resulted in the construction of tripeptide peptidomimetics with hydrazine and urea linkers shown in Figure 5.


1.7

Figure 5. Peptidomimetics proposed by Aurigene.
The potency of the compounds against PD-L1 was checked in murine splenocyte proliferation assay (MSPA), where the best MSPA results at the level of $68 \%$ and MSPA up to $87 \%$ at 100 nM concentration were obtained for $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 7}$ respectively. The structure-activity relationship proved that the best results were obtained after methylation of the C-terminal carboxyl group and after the introduction of lysine in the side chain (Guzik et al., 2019). Compound 1.6 was also subjected to a cell-based PD-1/PD-L1 and PD-1/PD-L2 blockade bioassay, yielding results with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values in the nanomolar range $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=30 \mathrm{nM}\right.$ for PD-L1 and $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=40 \mathrm{nM}$ for PD-L2). Further determination of biological activity of $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ involved the in vivo studies using the CT-26 colon cancer mouse model ( $3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, 25 days) and Pseudomonas aeruginosa in a lung infection mice model ( $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, three times daily, 11 days), where molecule 1.6 was able to reduce tumor growth by up to $46 \%$ (Sasikumar et al., 2013, Sasikumar et al., 2015).

Further modifications of the peptidomimetics structure, proved that the introduction of a glycol-derived linker to the molecule can provide the improvement of MSPA results even to $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ splenocyte proliferation rates for compound $\mathbf{1 . 8}$ (Figure 6). Another idea to evaluate the activity of potential inhibitors was to incorporate 1,2,4-oxadiazole and 1,2,4-thiadiazole, as well as $1,3,4$-oxadiazole ( $\mathbf{1 . 9}, \mathbf{1 . 1 0}$ ) and 1,3,4-thiadiazole (1.11) rings in amino acid side chains as the scaffolds for these compounds (Sasikumar et al., 2015, Sasikumar et al., 2018a, Sasikumar et al., 2018b, Sasikumar et al., 2019). However, the results of MSPA show the preference of the oxadiazole ring over the thiadiazole moiety in the inhibitor's structure (Figure 6). Additionally, the SAR analysis proved the improvement of compound activity due to the introduction of pyridine, piperidine, or morpholine moiety into the compound structure. The greater results up to $92 \%$ splenocyte proliferation rates in MSPA were obtained for compound
1.10 containing primary amines and urea moieties in its structure and molecule 1.9 with pyridine substituent attached (Sasikumar et al., 2018a, Shaabani et al., 2018b).


1.10, MSPA 92\%

1.9, MSPA 92\%

1.11, MSPA 48\%

Figure 6. Evaluated peptidic inhibitors 1.8-1.11 (Sasikumar et al., 2018a, Sasikumar et al., 2018b).
Among a wide range of peptidomimetics, we can highlight the compound 1.12-CA-170, disclosed by the companies Aurigene and Curis, which is currently an oral immune checkpoint inhibitor showing preclinical anti-tumor efficacy (Lazorchak et al., 2016, Sasikumar et al., 2016, Sasikumar et al., 2021). The proposed structure (Figure 7) of the compound is derived from identified high-affinity peptide from the receptor-ligand interface sequence. The minimal pharmacophore within the peptide was then converted into the heterocyclic amino-derived compound containing a 1,2,4-oxadizole ring and expanded with amino acid groups as in the pharmacophore (Sasikumar et al., 2021). These modifications result in the formation of $\mathbf{1 . 1 2}$ -CA-170 with improved functional activity, metabolic stability, and oral bioavailability.


Figure 7. The structure of $\mathbf{1 . 1 2}$ CA-170 (Sasikumar et al., 2021).
Dose-response analyses of $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 - C A} \mathbf{- 1 7 0}$ demonstrated the similar potencies of $\mathbf{1 . 1 2}$ -CA-170 and commercial antibodies to rescue IFN $\gamma$ release inhibited by PD-L1, PD-L2, and VISTA. In addition, the mode of interaction between 1.12-CA-170 and PD-L1 was investigated. According to previous results, direct binding of 1.12-CA-170 to PD-L1 has not been demonstrated by techniques such as SPR and solution NMR using recombinant proteins containing extracellular domains (Musielak et al., 2019). Nevertheless, Sasikumar and co-
workers further studied the interaction between the ligand and PD-L1 using NMR spectroscopy in the native environment. In this case, CHO-K1 cells overexpressing PD-L1 were used. This interaction was monitored by the difference in the nuclear spin relaxation or transverse relaxation $\left(T_{2}\right)$ rates of a small ligand in the presence and the absence of the macromolecular targets such as cells and demonstrated the specific interaction of $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 - C A - 1 7 0}$ to PD-L1 overexpressed in the cellular context. A dose-dependent increase in $T_{2}$ values of 1.12-CA-170 in the presence of PD-L1 overexpressed CHO-K1 cells in comparison to parental CHO- K1 was observed. The lower $T_{2}$ values that raise upon increasing the 1.12-CA-170 concentration demonstrates the binding of $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 - C A - 1 7 0}$ to cellular PD-L1 under physiological conditions. Furthermore, in a widely used TR-FRET assay $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 - C A - 1 7 0}$ was not found to possess the ability to disturb PD-1/PD-L1 complex formation, what is consistent with previous data (Musielak et al., 2019). 1.12-CA-170, interacting with PD-L1 is expected to bind to a relatively small solvent-exposed hotspot, within the binding interface of PD1: PD-L1. The studies mentioned above led to the finding that 1.12-CA-170 binds to PD-L1, resulting in the formation of a functionally inactive ternary complex, but without disrupting the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway (Sasikumar et al., 2021).
1.12-CA-170 is also the first small molecule, which is able to target two checkpoint proteins PD-L1 and VISTA. This can be explained by the structural similarity of belonging to the B7 class proteins. Possibly, the structure of $\mathbf{1 . 1 2}$ - CA-170, forming minimal pharmacophore derived from PD-1/PD-L1 interaction, recognizes the binding pockets or grooves in VISTA. The evaluation of the functional activity of 1.12-CA-170 showed, that the compound enables the rescue of the splenocytes/PBMC proliferation and IFN- $\gamma$ release using mouse splenocytes and non-human primate PBMCs in the presence of PD-L1. The efficacy of 1.12-CA-170 was evaluated in three immunocompetent tumor mouse models: CT26 and MC38 (colon cancer) and B16F10 (melanoma lung metastasis model). Analyses of the immunepharmacodynamic modulation induced by 1.12-CA-170 administration showed an increase in CD8 T-cells, CD4 T-cells proliferation, and escalated expression of OX-40 on CD4 and CD8 T cells. Moreover, 1.12-CA-170 induced an increase in the intracellular levels of granzyme B in CD8 T cells and prolonged intracellular IFN- $\gamma$ levels of CD8 T cells in the blood. In vivo, studies proved tumor growth inhibition ( $43 \%$ for MC38) and metastasis reduction ( $73 \%$ for B16F10) 1.12-CA-170 doses. Additionally, 1.12-CA-170 combined with docetaxel led to a synergistic effect providing $68 \%$ of TGI ( $43 \%$ TGI for docetaxel alone in the CT26 colon carcinoma model). In conclusion, $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 - C A - 1 7 0}$ was found to have potent activity and low toxicity profile in animal studies in rodents and mammals (Sasikumar et al., 2018, Sasikumar
et al., 2021). Compound 1.12 - CA-170, exhibiting promising activity for the treatment of advanced solid tumors and lymphomas, successfully overcame Phase I trials, as a smallmolecule inhibitor of PD-L1/L2 and VISTA (NCT02812875, clinicaltrials.gov). Completing Phase 1, 1.12-CA-170 demonstrated dose-proportional plasma exposure with a $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}$ of $\sim 4-9.5$ h with the acceptable profile of safety. Peripheral T-cells activation was associated with an increased proportion of circulating CD8+ and CD4+ T cells expressing the activation markers CD69, Granzyme B, and OX-40 (CD134). Currently, 1.12-CA-170 is undergoing Phase II clinical trials in lung cancer, head and neck/oral cavity cancer, MSI-H positive cancers, and Hodgkin lymphoma in India (CTRI/2017/12/011026, ctri.nic.in).

The results obtained for $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 - C A - 1 7 0}$ encourage the development of small molecule inhibitors as a promising alternative to mAbs, with could possess the convenience of oral dosing, shorter half-life, and better management of adverse events.

### 1.7. Nonpeptidic Small-Molecule Inhibitors- Development Based on Structural Analysis of hPD-1/hPD-L1 Complex

The development of small molecule inhibitors of protein death 1 /protein death ligand 1 is primarily based on the knowledge of the PD-1/PD-L1 immune checkpoint crystal structure. The structural basis of the discussed crystal structure was first published in 2008 and revealed the structural interactions of the murine PD-1 and human PD-L1 proteins (PDB 3SBW, 3BIK) (Lin et al., 2008). The extracellular structure of human PD-1 has also been solved (PDB 3RRQ). Despite this state of knowledge, the fact of modest human and murine PD-1 sequence identity (64\% of identity) and substantial differences in murine and human PD-1 bindings modes made the determination of the crystal structure of the human PD-1/PD-L1 complex indispensable.

In 2015, the hard work of researchers from Holak's group resulted in the successful resolution of the crystal structure of the hPD-1/PD-L1 complex (Zak, et al., 2015, PDB: 4Z18) (Figure 8). More detailed analyses of the complex structure show that the structural rearrangement of hPD- 1 is induced by the formation of the bond with hPD-L1. The significant structural flexibility of hPD-1 involves the changes in the range of 62-82 hPD-1 residues, which includes the reorganization of the CC' loop (Figure 8). This characteristic rearrangement is only representative of hPD-1 upon complex formation with hPD-L1. In contrast to hPD-1, the rearrangement of hPD-L1 involves only minor adjustments in the arrangement of side chains without significant changes within the backbone.


Figure 8. Ribbon representation the human PD-1/PD-L1 complex. Presentation of hPD-1 in blue and hPD-L1 in green colours. A. The structural rearrangement of hPD-1 induced by formation of the bond with hPD-L1. Residues 62-82 of apo-hPD-1 (PDB: 3RRQ) are shown in yellow colours to visualised differences upon complex formation. B. Close-up views of the hPD-1/hPD-L1 interface with highlight of important residues as sticks. Additional colours where used to indicate hydrophobic interactions (yellow), water molecules (red spheres) and hydrogen bonding (black dash lines) (Zak, et al., 2015).

Analyzing the changes we can observe, that in the apo PD-1 structure, the loop retains an open conformation with Met70 and Ser71 forming part of a $C \beta$ strand within an antiparallel CF $\beta$ sheet, and all the side chains within the loop point away from the ligand binding site. Complex formation induces loop rearrangement in a form of a $90^{\circ}$ twist and associated $\sim 5 \AA$ displacement of $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ carbons and also the displacement of other side chain atoms. The rearrangement is stabilized by four hydrogen bonds between the side chains of Gln75 and ${ }_{\text {L Arg }} 125$ (L means that amino acid is from hPD-L1), the side chains of Gln 75 and ${ }_{\text {L Asp26 }} 26$, the side chain hydroxyl oxygen of Thr76 and the backbone carbonyl oxygen of LTyr123, and between the backbone carbonyl oxygen of Thr76 and the side-chain amine of LLys124 from PD-L1. In addition, the hydrophobic core of the complex is stabilized by another hydrogen bond formed between the hydroxyl group of Tyr68 and the carboxylic group of LAsp122, the carbonyl group of Glu136 with the hydroxyl group of ${ }_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{Tyr} 123$, and between the side chain of Asn66 and the main-chain oxygen of LAla121. Moreover, $\pi-\pi$ stacking of Tyr68 and LTyr123 can be observed. Additionally, amino acids from the protein interface are involved in several polar interactions including salts bridges between Glu136 and ${ }_{\text {L Arg }} 113$, water-mediated interactions of Ile134,

LTyr56 and LGlu58 as well as hydrogen bonding between the backbone amide of Ala132 and the side-chain carbonyl group of ${ }_{L}$ Gln 66 .


Figure 9. The visualisation of the main 'hot spots' (marked in yellow circles) on the PD-L1 surface. hPD-1 was represented in blue and hPD-L1 in grey colours.

To date, many examples of crystal structures of hPDL1 or hPD-1 bound to multiple antibodies directed on "hot spots" on the protein surface were obtained. The prime example here shows clinically approved pembrolizumab and nivolumab, whose Fab fragments were complexed with hPD-1 (PDB: 5GGS, 5GGR) (Lee et al., 2016). From the examples of hPD-L1/antibody crystal structures we can distinguished structures of BMS-936559 Fab (5GGT) or the single chain Fv fragment (scFv) of avelumab (PDB 5GRJ) complexed with PD-L1 (Liu et al., 2016). The presented scientific background opens a way for development of small molecules can potentially mimic an effect of hPD-1 to h-PD-L1binding. Prior to the resolution of the hPD-1/hPD-L1 crystal structure, the design of small molecule inhibitors was quite challenging. This was due to the significantly different interaction surface within the hPD-1/PD-L1 complex compared to the previously published $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{h}$ complex and the plasticity of the PD-1 receptor during complex formation. However, the data provided by the analysis of structural changes upon h-PD-1/hPD-L1 formation opened a way for determine the "hot spots" that could be targeted by small-molecule inhibitors. The hPD-1 hot spots are mostly hydrophobic and consist of Asn66, Tyr68, Gly124, Ile126, Leu128, Ile134, and Glu136 located in the anterior leaflet of hPD-1. Three main "hot spots" on the PD-L1 surface where identified, providing molecular insights into development of more efficient therapeutics (Figure 9). The first "hot spot" accommodates Ile 134 and comprises the side chains of LTyr56, ${ }_{\text {LGlu58, }}$ LArg 113, LMet115, and LTyr123. Ile 126 is accommodated by second pocket, composed by LMet115, LAla121, and ${ }_{\text {L Tyr123 }}$. The third "hot spot" is comprised of ${ }_{\text {L Asp }} 122$ to ${ }_{\text {L Arg }} 126$ main and side chains. As a extended corrugation it can accommodate Tyr68, Gln75, and Thr76. The analyses of druggable surface of h-PD-L1 leads to the conclusion that the size and structure of the first "hot spot" is ideal for the incorporation of six-membered aromatic ring, since it consist of the aromatic ring of Tyr68 on one side and the backbone of Glu136 on the other side of the groove. The properties of the second "hot spot" are perfect for accommodating a branched aliphatic moiety that could anchor with a terminal hydrogen bond donor group at carbonyl oxygen of LAla121. In summary, the defined "hot spots" provide the structural basis for the
rational design of small-molecule antagonists capable of dissociating the PD-1/PD-L1 complex (Zak et al., 2015).

### 1.8. Nonpeptidic, Small Molecule Inhibitors Development - Examples of Already Known Inhibitors

### 1.8.1. Non-dimeric Small Molecule Inhibitors

Examination of the interactions described above clearly demonstrated that the human PD-1/PD-L1 immune checkpoint pathway can be targeted by small molecule inhibitors, prompting the development of small molecule PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors. A recent review article confirms that the rate of new small molecules targeting PD-1/PD-L1 production is still increasing rapidly, and the development of inhibitors is expanding (Pan et al., 2021).

However, even before the elucidation of the structure of the hPD-1/PD-L1 complex, the first patents for small molecule inhibitors blocking the PD-1/PD-L1 interaction were disclosed. In 2011, Sharpe et al. in the patent application (WO2011082400 A2) proposed PD-1/PD-L1 pathway inhibitors as derivatives of antibiotics such as sulfamonomethoxines (1.13) and sulfamethizoles (1.14) (Figure 10). The patented molecules were effective in vivo, with the wild-type PD-1C T cells and PD-1-/- cells showing their inhibitory activities at the compound concentration range of $0-10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, with low cytotoxicity of the tested compounds. Furthermore, $\mu \mathrm{M}$ concentrations of $\mathbf{1 . 1 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 4}$, tested in the INF $\gamma$ release assay in transgenic mouse T cells expressing PD-1 were able to rescue PD-1-mediated inhibition of INF $\gamma$ secretion.


Figure 10. Examples of the PD-1/PD-L1 sulfamonomethoxine $\mathbf{1 . 1 3}$ and sulfamethizole 1.14 inhibitors.
In 2015, another group of small molecule inhibitors was unveiled by the company BristolMyer Sqibb (BMS) (Chupak et al., 2015a). The general structure of the inhibitors proposed by BMS consists of a scaffold based on a substituted biphenyl group, which is linked to another aromatic ring by a benzyl ether bond (Figure 11). To determine the binding affinities of the compounds proposed in the first patent, homogeneous time-resolved fluorescence (HTRF) assay was applied, resulting in the determination of $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values from 0.6 nM to $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Chupak
et al., 2015a). The modified general structure proposed not much later included the introduction of the 2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine moiety instead of the distal phenyl ring and the addition of cyanopyridine or benzonitrile to the central phenyl. The applied modifications resulted in significant improvement of the activity of the compounds, even to $0.6-10 \mathrm{nM} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values by HTRF assay (Chupak et al., 2015b). The further modification of the general structure of BMStype compounds includes the extension of the distal phenyl ring with hydrophilic substituents attached via an ether bond, and (pseudo)symmetrisation of the compound. These adjustments provided positive effects on the activity of the compounds, resulting in the decrease of $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ even to the value of 0.04 nM (Yeung et al., 2017, Yeung et al., 2018a, Yeung et al., 2018b).


$\mathrm{IC}_{50}=146 \mathrm{nM}$
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Figure 11. The examples of patented by BMS company small molecule inhibitors of PD-1/PD-L1 pathway, 1.151.21 (Chupak et al., 2015a, Chupak et al., 2015b, Yeung et al., 2017, Yeung et al., 2018a, Yeung et al., 2018b).

The knowledge of the human PD-1/PD-L1 binding mode led to the report of the first cocrystal structures of hPD-L1 complexed with small molecule inhibitors (PDB: 5J89, 5J8O) (Zak et al., 2016). The small molecules co-crystallized with hPD-L1 represent the representative examples of the scaffold patented by the company Bristol-Myers-Squibb based on the 2-methyl-3-biphyenyl-methanol core. In addition, X-ray crystal studies have shown that the small molecules studied not only induce specific binding to hPD-L1 but also cause dimerization of the protein upon ligand binding (Zak et al., 2016; Guzik et al., 2017). In contrast to antibodies that bind to PD-L1 in a 1:1 ratio, 1.22-BMS-202 was found to form a complex with PD-L1 in a 1:2 stoichiometry. Therefore, the assembled asymmetric unit consists of four protein molecules organized in two dimers with an inhibitor molecule located at the interface of each dimer, which is visualized in Figure 12 (Zak et al., 2016).


Figure 12. (A). Tetramer is composed by four molecules of PD-L1 (mixed ribbon/ surface representation) organized into two dimers (green and blue, and orange and brown) with one inhibitor molecule of $\mathbf{1 . 2 2}$ - BMS202 (yellow) located at the interface of each dimer. (B) 1.22-BMS-202 binding to the of PD-L1 dimer - detailed interaction (water molecules are represented by grey spheres and hydrogen bonding by black dotted lines) (Zak et al., 2016).

The binding part of the ligand with the dimer requires the PD-1 interaction region of PDL1, which prevents PD-1 from forming the complex with PD-L1. The introduced antagonist is located in a cylindrical, hydrophobic pocket formed between two monomeric PD-L1 proteins within the dimer. The observed interactions include $\pi-\pi$ stacking between the distal phenyl ring
within the biphenyl moiety of the compound and Tyr56 ${ }_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\pi$-alkyl interaction with Met115A and Ala121 . In addition, the central ring of methoxy-pyridine is strongly involved in the interaction by providing the water-mediated single pair $\pi$-interaction with Phe $19_{\mathrm{B}}$ and the water-mediated interactions of the methoxyl group with the side chains of Asp122A, Lys124 ${ }_{A}$, and with the carbonyl group of Tyr123A. In addition, N -(2-aminoethyl)acetamide provides the electrostatic interactions. The rationalization of human PD-1/PD-L1 disruption upon ligand (1.22 - BMS-202) incorporation is shown in Figure 13 (Zak et al., 2016).


Figure 13. Visualisation of human PD-1/PD-L1 complex inhibition upon BMS-202 incorporation. (A) The superimposition of $\mathbf{1 . 2 2}$ - BMS-202 induced PD-L1 dimer and PD-1/PD-L1 complex. A single molecule of PD$\mathrm{L} 1_{\mathrm{A}}$ within the $\mathbf{1 . 2 2}$ - BMS-202 (yellow) induced dimer PD-L1 ${ }_{\mathrm{B}}$ (blue ribbon- model A, green surface - model B). 1.22-BMS-202 induced dimerization of PD-L1 masks almost the entire PD-1 (red ribbon) interaction surface thereby preventing PD-1/PD-L1 interaction.(B) Superposition of the PD-L1 molecules: apo-PD-L1 (orange ribbon; PDB 5C3T), PD-L1 from the PD-1/PD-L1 complex (PDB 4ZQK; PD-L1 presented as grey ribbon; PD-1 is not shown) and PD-L1 from PD-L1/1.22 - BMS-202 complex (PD-L1 $1_{\mathrm{A}}$ shown as blue ribbon; 1.22-BMS-202 shown in yellow) structures demonstrates that PD-L1 does not undergo significant structural rearrangement upon interaction with 1.22-BMS-202. Model B of PD-L1/1.22-BMS-202 dimer is shown as green ribbon and surface. (C) 1.22-BMS-202 structure. (Zak et al., 2016).

Skalniak and co-workers also evaluated the biological activity of some BMS-type inhibitors and showed that $\mathbf{1 . 1 7}$ - BMS-1166 has low toxicity to Jurkat T cells and is able to restore effector Jurkat T cells with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values of $276 \pm 29 \mathrm{nM}$ (Skalniak, et al., 2017), however, this value is significantly different from that obtained for mAbs.

In addition, recent research by Chen and co-workers provided information on the mechanism of 1.17-BMS-1166 and PD-L1 interaction (Chen et al., 2020). Chen proposed that
1.17-BMS-1166 specifically affects PD-L1 glycosylation and thus prevents the transport of under-glycosylated PD-L1 to the Golgi apparatus. Therefore, this process led to accumulation of PD-L1 in endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and subsequently provide the blockade of PD-1/PDL1 signalling and recovery of T-cell activity. However, it was discovered that the glycosylation inhibition was related to blocking the partially glycosylated $43-\mathrm{kDa}$ form of PD-L1 from further glycosylation to the $\sim 55-\mathrm{kDa}$ form. Thus, a $43-\mathrm{kDa}$ form of PD-L1 with a different glycan structure was generated. Furthermore, analysis of the co-crystal structure of 1.17 - BMS-1166/PD-L1 described by Skalniak et al. and the dimerization of the protein upon 1.17 - BMS1166 binding suggests that PD-L1 dimer may block its ER export. It is conceivable that dimer formation blocks further glycosylation and may lead to misfolding and subsequent degradation of PD-L1 (Skalniak et al., 2017, Chen et al., 2020).

The interesting results on BMS-like small molecules described above have suggested that most of the presented PD-1 / PD-L1 antagonists produced by pharmaceutical companies and academic research groups are based on the biphenyl core and patterned on BMS-like compounds (Guzik et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2021; Pan et al., 2021). This is rationalized on awareness of the co-crystal structure of hPD-L1 and its ligand, for example 1.22-BMS-202, where the antagonist accommodates the hydrophobic cleft between two PD-L1 proteins within the dimer.

Next generations of PD-1/PD-L1 small molecule inhibitors have been proposed by Incyte Corporation. Below are some of the general structures disclosed by Incyte Corporation and examples of the most prominent compounds. The core of the compounds derived from General Structure I is based on biphenyl derivative and consists of a heteroaromatic ring attached to the central ring of the biphenyl core (Figure 14A). This modification led to the generation of the fused system containing from 1 to 4 nitrogen atoms. The preliminary activity of the compounds towards PD-1/PD-L1 dissociation was determined by homogeneous time-resolved fluorescence (HTRF) assay. The best described compounds $\mathbf{1 . 2 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 2 4}$ were able to disrupt PD-1/PD-L1 complex formation with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values below 100 nM . Analysing the activities of other of the obtained compounds, the best results were presented for the structures containing 4 nitrogen atoms within the heteroaromatic ring and possessing an attached solubilizing agent at the $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ position on the heteroaromatic moiety (Wu et al., 2017a).

where, $\mathrm{Z}_{1}, \mathrm{Z}_{2}, \mathrm{Z}_{3}$ are N or CR
Cy and R are cycloalkyl, aryl,
heteroaryl, heterocycloaryl or H
$\mathrm{X}_{1}-\mathrm{X}_{6}$ are N or CR

$1.23-\mathrm{IC}_{50}<100 \mathrm{nM}$
$1.24-\mathrm{IC}_{50}<100 \mathrm{nM}$
$\mathrm{Y}_{1}, \mathrm{Y}_{2}$ are C or N

where, Cy and R are cycloalkyl, aryl, heteroaryl, heterocycloaryl or H $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ are O or $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{CR}$ and N
$\mathrm{X}_{3}-\mathrm{X}_{6}$ are CR or N
$\mathrm{Y}_{1}-\mathrm{Y}_{3}$ are CR or N

$1.25-100 \mathrm{nM}<\mathrm{IC}_{50}<1000 \mathrm{nM}$
1.26-10 nM> $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$
general structure III

where, Cy and R are cycloalkyl, aryl,
heteroaryl, heterocycloaryl or H
$\mathrm{X}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ are $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NR}, \mathrm{CR}$
$\mathrm{X}_{2}$ is N or C
$\mathrm{X}_{3}-\mathrm{X}_{6}$ are CR or N
Y is CR or N
general structure IV


Cy and R are cycloalkyl, aryl,
heteroaryl, heterocycloaryl or H
$1.29-\mathrm{IC}_{50}<100 \mathrm{nM}$
$1.30-100 \mathrm{nM}<\mathrm{IC}_{50}<500 \mathrm{nM}$
general structure $\mathbf{V}$

where, $\mathrm{X}_{1}-\mathrm{X}$ are N or CN
wherein $X_{1}, X_{2}$ and $X_{3}$ are not all
simultaneously N
Cy and R are cycloalkyl, aryl,
heteroaryl, heterocycloaryl or H
Figure 14. The general structures $\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{V}$ and most prominent examples of derivatives of each of general structure disclosed by Incyte Corporation, 1.23-1.32 (Wu et al., 2017a, Li et al., 2017a, Li et al., 2017b, Lu et al., 2017, Lajkiewicz et al., 2017).

The second generation of compounds disclosed by Incyte Corporation includes some changes in the position and type of heteroatoms within the heterocyclic ring system (general structure II, Figure 14B). Patent application WO2017087777 A1 includes small molecules such as benzoxazole, furo[2,3-b]pyridine, furo[2,3-b]pyrazine and benzothiazole derivatives - $\mathbf{1 . 2 5}$ 1.26 (Li et al., 2017a) and shows that the presence of benzoxazole within the compound structure is beneficial for the potency of the compounds. In addition, it has been demonstrated that introduction of substituent via ether linkage at $\mathrm{X}_{5}$ position (1.26) improves the potency of compounds. This strategy was used for the generation of compound $\mathbf{1 . 2 6}$ and resulted in a promising $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of less than 10 nM determined by HTRF assay (Li et al., 2017a).

Further research on small molecule design led to the introduction of a five-membered heteroaromatic ring fused to a piperidine moiety into the inhibitor structure (general structure III, Figure $14 \mathrm{C}, 1.27 \mathbf{- 1 . 2 8}$ ). Most of the disclosed compounds show the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value in the micromolar range, except for molecule 1.27, which $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ is estimated to be below the 100 nM value (Li et al., 2017).

Another Incyte scaffold is an N -arylated-4-amino piperidine fragment. (general structure IV). The potency of the molecules presented by Lu and co-workers $(\mathbf{1 . 2 9}, \mathbf{1 . 3 0})$ were in a similar range to those presented in the previous patents. The work suggests that the exchange of the distal aryl ring from the biphenyl core and the incorporation of cyclohexene in molecule $\mathbf{1 . 3 0}$ negatively affected the activity of the compounds (Figure 14D) (Lu et al., 2017).

The next modifications of the scaffold led to the development of a new group of inhibitors with general structure $\mathbf{V}$ shown in Figure 14E (1.31, 1.32). The modifications included insertion of an amine bond, which allowed linking the biphenyl scaffold to a heteroaromatic ring system containing up to three nitrogen atoms. These modifications resulted in a significant decrease of the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value below 10 nM (Lajkiewicz et al., 2017). Analysis of the molecular structures of this group of inhibitors led to the observation that the affinity of the compound strongly depends on the position of the nitrogen atom. In annellated heteroatomic ring system, the preferred position of nitrogen atom is position $\mathrm{X}_{6}$ (in general structure $\mathbf{V}$ ).

Other potential inhibitors proposed by Incyte Corporation comprise a group of picolinamide derivatives with general structure VI (Figure 15A, 1.33). The structures of the molecules contain the six-membered ring of heteroatom linked via amide bond to the proximal phenyl ring of biphenyl scaffold. Introduction of amide implements the possibility of rotation of heteroaryl during the interaction of the molecule with the target, what could provide the enhancement in the small molecule's activity. Moreover, when analysing the patent results, it was easy to observe that the incorporation of the second nitrogen atom into the heteroaromatic
ring, as well as the introduction of the bulky substituent at the $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ position, does not provide a positive influence on the molecule's potency (Wu et al., 2017b).

B general structure VII





Figure 15. The general structures VI-X and the most representative examples ( $\mathbf{1 . 3 3} \mathbf{- 1 . 3 7}$ ) of inhibitors related to each of general structure for compounds disclosed by Incyte Corporation (Wu et al., 2017b, Yu et al., 2018, Wu et al., 2018a, Xiao et al, 2017, Wu et al., 2018b).

Subsequently, the next scaffold involving the N-methyl-2-pyridone-6-carboxamide derivatives was proposed (General Structure VII, Figure 15B). However, when analysing the influence of carbonyl group introduction, a negative effect of this modification was observed (1.34). Furthermore, during the optimization of the solubilizing group, it was investigated that
ethyl N -substitution of the amine group led to a significant decrease in inhibitor activity (Yu et al., 2018).

Further modification of the scaffold provided the construction of the group of compounds within the biphenyl core linked by amide bond with diheterocyclic five-membered aromatic ring ( $\mathbf{1 . 3 5}$, general structure VIII, Figure 15C) or with diheterocyclic five-membered aromatic ring fused with piperidine ( $\mathbf{1 . 3 6}$, general structure IX, Figure 15D). The SAR analysis presents the advantageous accommodation of imidazole, pyrazole or thiazole as pyrrole and oxazole in the structure of immunomodulator. The best from this series of compounds molecules $\mathbf{1 . 3 5}$, 1.36 possess IC 50 values below 100 nM by HTRF assay (Wu et al., 2018a, Xiao et al, 2017).

The last of the general structures presented herein general structure $\mathbf{X}$ combines the rigid scaffolds containing the biphenyl core fused with a phenyl or heterocyclic ring, directly or through an amide/amine bond (Figure 15E). Interestingly, promising results were obtained for the extended compounds where a 4-piperidineethynyl substituent was attached at the 3 ' position of the biphenyl (1.37). The IC $\mathrm{I}_{50}$ value of this immunomodulator 1.37 was found to remain below 10 nM (Wu et al., 2018b).

Another large pharmaceutical company with a strong interest in the production of small molecule PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors is ChemoCentryx. The compounds described in the disclosed patents are based on 4-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-ol (Figure 16, 1.38, 1.39).


Figure 16. The representative examples of immunomodulators $(\mathbf{1 . 3 8}, \mathbf{1 . 3 9})$ published by ChemoCentryx company.
The design strategy of the immunomodulators is based on scaffold rigidification through the incorporation of an ether linker, which couples the substituted indanyl group with the central aromatic ring of the compound. SAR studies also revealed that factors such as attachment of cyanopyridine substituent to the central aromatic ring, presence of additional solubilizing group linked to the 3 '-position of the phenyl ring distal from the biphenyl core, and S-configuration of the inhibitor are favored. Application of these operations allowed to obtain compound $\mathbf{1 . 3 9}$ which presents half inhibitory concentration value below 5 nM . The potency of compound $\mathbf{1 . 3 9}$
to target the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway was also determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent ELISA, cell-based reporter, and mixed lymphocyte reaction (MLR) assays. In addition, excellent results were obtained in in vivo assays where the A375 human melanoma cells were co-implanted with human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) into immunodeficient NOD/SCID mice. As a result, the lead structure CCX4503 was found to inhibit tumor growth with efficacy comparable to the anti-human PD-L1 antibody (Lange et al., 2018, Lange et al., 2019, Vilalta Colomel et al., 2018).

Small molecule antagonists of the PD-1/PD-L1 immune checkpoint also became the subject of interest of Guangzhou Maxinovel Pharmaceuticals. The novelty of the structures of the disclosed compounds is related to the proposed connection between the biphenyl scaffold and the third aromatic moiety through ethenyl or ethynyl moieties (Figure 17).

$1.40 \mathrm{IC}_{50}=18 \mathrm{nM}$

$1.41 \mathrm{IC}_{50}=2160 \mathrm{nM}$

Figure 17. The structures of compounds $\mathbf{1 . 4 0}$, $\mathbf{1 . 4 1}$ proposed by Maxinovel Corporation (Wang, Y. et al., 2018a).
Surprisingly, the activities of ethenyl and ethynyl based antagonists were determined to be in the same range, despite different geometry of the linkers. It was also observed that the introduction of $-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ substituent (1.40) provides the significant increase of the compound's potency towards PD-L1 binding. As a result, the half inhibitory value determined for $\mathbf{1 . 4 0}$ was calculated to be 18 nM for the compound. Among the compounds patented by Maxinovel Corporation, 1.42-MAX-10129 with not fully disclosed structure showed significant oral bioavailability as well as high tolerability and dose-dependent inhibition of tumor progression in MC-38 mice model of colorectal cancer. 1.42-MAX-10129 was reported to have synergistic antitumor activity in combination therapy with CTLA-4 antibody, epacadostat (IDO inhibitor), Celebrex (COX-2 inhibitor) and cisplatin (Wang et al., 2018a, Wang et al., 2018b).

Feng's team at the Chinese Academy of Medical Science reported a series of bromo benzyl phenyl ether derivatives substituted with a methylene pyridine moiety. The representative examples of the compounds we were able to dissociate PD-1/PD-L1 checkpoint with extremely low, even picomolar ranges (Figure 18, 1.43, 1.44).

$1.43 \mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.08 \mathrm{pM}$

$1.44 \mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.45 \mathrm{pM}$

Figure 18. The examples of the most representative inhibitors - 1.43, 1.44- form bromo benzyl phenyl ether derivatives patented by Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences (Feng et al., 2017a, Feng et al., 2017b, Feng et al., 2017c).

Some of the compounds described in the patent were also able to release IFN- $\gamma$ in PBMCs expressing PD-1 and to abolish the inhibitory effect of PD-L1 on IFN- $\gamma$ at 10 nM . In addition, some of the disclosed immunomodulators were tested in the subcutaneously transplanted mouse B16F10 tumor model. It was found that the proposed inhibitors were able to significantly inhibit the growth of tumor volume and its weight at the dose of $15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. The inhibition rate of tumor weight reached $64.11 \%$ (Feng et al., 2017a, Feng et al., 2017b, Feng et al., 2017c).

The teams of Ping Gong and Xiaobo Wang from Shenyang Pharmaceutical University recently published two groups of compounds, [1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyridine derivatives and indoline-bearing compounds, as potential PD-1/PD-L1 immunomodulators (Figure 19) (Qin et al., 2019, Qin et al., 2020).



Figure 19. The examples of compounds 1.45, 1.46 disclosed by Shenyang Pharmaceutical University (Qin et al., 2019, Qin et al., 2020).

The structure of the first group of compounds is rationalized by SAR analyses, which indicated that the fusion of an additional heteroaromatic ring with pyridine could help fill the space and provide additional interactions during binding to PD-L1. In addition, the solubilizing group was attached at the 6 -position of the [1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyridine core. Analysing the indoline fragment bearing compounds, the ring fusion strategy was also used to attach additional five-membered ring. Among the presented group of inhibitors, compounds 1.45 and 1.46 provided the best IC50 values of 92.3 nM and 132.8 nM by HTRF assay, respectively. Moreover, both presented compounds caused the dose-dependent secretion of INF- $\gamma$ in a coculture model of Hep3B/OS-8/hPD-L1 and CD3 T cells (Qin et al., 2019, Qin et al., 2020).

The development of BMS-type compounds has progressively expanded. Below are two more examples of immunomodulators disclosed by Cheng and co-workers and Wang Y. and co-workers (Figure 20, 1.47, 1.48). Compound 1.47 is a quinazoline derivative formed by the fusion of the pyrimidine ring to the 2- and 3-positions of the biphenyl core. The analysis of the binding mode between the inhibitor and target protein shows that the strategy of additional heteroatomic ring introduction allows the $\pi$-alkyl interactions with Ala121 and Met115, which lead to the improvement of the binding mode. In the in vitro assay, compound $\mathbf{1 . 4 7}$ showed potency to reach the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of 1.57 nM . Moreover, $\mathbf{1 . 4 7}$ was not only able to release EC in the cell-based PD-1/PD-L1 blockade bioassay but also presented an acceptable toxicity profile on PBMCs with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of 12.42 mM (Wang, Y. et al., 2022).

$1.47 \mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.57 \mathrm{nM}$


NP19-1.48 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=12.5 \mathrm{nM}$

Figure 20. BMS-type inspired PD-1/PD-L1 immunomodulators $\mathbf{1 . 4 7}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 4 8}$ proposed by Cheng and co-workers and by Wang Y. and his team (Cheng et al., 2020, Wang, Y. et al., 2022).

Cheng et al. in the 2020 paper present compound 1.48 - NP19, which inhibits human PD-1/PD-L1 interaction with IC50 values of 12.5 nM in HTRF binding assays (Figure 20, 1.48). In addition, compound 1.48 - NP19 was shown to dose-dependently produce IFN- $\gamma$ in a co-culture model of Hep3B/OS-8/hPD-L1 and CD3 T cells. 1.48 - NP19 also exhibits significant in vivo
antitumor efficacy in a B16-F10 melanoma and H 22 hepatoma mouse tumor model (Cheng et al., 2020).

Muszak and co-workers proposed the group of small molecule PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors based on a terphenyl scaffold, which is derived from the rigidified biphenyl-inspired structure (Figure 21, 1.49, 1.50) (Muszak et al., 2021).


General structure XI


1.50

Figure 21. (A) The general structure XI of terphenyl based small molecules. (B) The examples of most prominent terphenyl based small molecule inhibitors, $\mathbf{1 . 4 9}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 5 0}$.

The SAR analysis on the general structure resulted in the selection of the best set of substituents and provided the molecule 1.49 which exhibits enhanced potency towards the target proved due to HTRF, NMR, and cell-based assay. The half inhibitory concentration value determined due to HTRF assay was below 1 nM , while $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ calculated due to ICB assay was estimated at ca. $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The best of the obtained compound also allowed the activation of Jurkat effector cells to levels comparable to those obtained with the use of antibodies. In addition, compound $\mathbf{1 . 4 9}$ showed efficacy to activate the antitumor response using primary human immune cells from healthy donors, inducing PD-1 expression and increasing the number of CD25-positive and HLA-DR-positive T cells in PBMCs and CHO/TCRAct/PD-L1 cell system. The presence of molecule $\mathbf{1 . 4 9}$ also provided an enhanced level of immune-related cytokines release, underlining its good properties towards primary human T cells. Moreover, the crossreactivity studies of $\mathbf{1 . 4 9}$ between murine and human PD-L1 proved that no cross-reactivity was observed, providing specificity for the human protein analog. The binding mode of the terphenyl-based molecule was studied using $\mathbf{1 . 5 0}$ as an example by X-ray crystallography. The analyses of the co-crystal structure of $\mathbf{1 . 5 0}$ and PD-L1 showed a similar to other anti-PD-L1 inhibitors' interaction with protein involving dimerization of target protein (Muszak et al., 2021).

In 2022, Wang, T. et al., proposed the group of small-molecule PD-1/PD-L1 antagonists as biphenyl derivatives, where the biphenyl core is directly attached to the $1,3,4$-oxadiazole moiety. The best of these molecules (structure $\mathbf{1 . 5 1}$ in Figure 22) shows the ability not only to inhibit PD-1/PD-L1 but also to promote dimerization, followed by PD-L1 internalization into the cytosol, to finally induce the degradation of PD-L1 in tumor cells through a lysosomedependent pathway. In addition, $\mathbf{1 . 5 1}$ also shows promising in vivo anticancer activity by decreasing the level of PD-L1 expression in tumor tissues in two different murine tumor models (the B16-F10 and the CT26 murine melanoma model in BALB/c mice). Wang, T. et al. claim that the application of $\mathbf{1 . 5 1}$ at a dose of $160 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ provides better results in terms of tumor growth reduction efficacy than the PD-L1 antibody tested. Compound $\mathbf{1 . 5 1}$ was also subjected to combination therapy with PD-L1 antibody in the B16-F10 tumor model, with even better results. The effect of combining $\mathbf{1 . 5 1}(80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ with the PD-L1 antibody was also significantly better than the effect of $\mathbf{1 . 5 1}(160 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ monotherapy (Wang, T. et al., 2022).


Figure 22. The visualisation of internalisation and degradation of PD-L1 due to introduction of $\mathbf{1 . 5 1}$ (Wang, T. et al., 2022).

### 1.8.2. Elongated Type of Small Molecule Inhibitors

More recently, an elongated generation of biphenyl core-based small molecules develops progressively. The elongation, or in some cases, dimerization of the compounds could provide the enhancement in binding potency by an increase of the surface of interactions.

One of the first elongated compounds was the group of (pseudo)symmetric immunomodulators reported by Arising International LLC as inhibitors of both PD-1/PD-L1 and CD80/PD-L1. Utilizing the HTRF assay, the estimated $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of molecule $\mathbf{1 . 5 2}$ was below $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Also, the other derivatives of this scaffold present in vitro activity in a similar, low micromolar range. This not fully satisfying potency can be justified, by poor solubility is a result of the highly hydrophobic core of compounds (1.52) (Figure 23) (Wang, M. et al., 2019).


Figure 23. The compounds proposed by Arising International LLC (1.52), Polaris Pharmaceuticals (1.53) and Gilead Sciences (1.54) (Wang, M. et al., 2019, Webber et al., 2018, Aktoudianakis et al., 2018).

Another company interested in the production of elongated PD-1/PD-L1 is Polaris Pharmaceutical Inc. In 2018, the company unveiled a group of (pseudo)symmetric biphenylbased derivatives containing a system of four aromatic rings (1.53). Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) allows to determine the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of the representative compound $\mathbf{1 . 5 4}$ in the range of $0.1-10 \mathrm{nM}$. (Figure 23) (Webber et al., 2018).

The next group announcing attractive PD-1/PD-L1 antagonists is Gilead Sciences Inc. Among this group of compounds, we can observe inhibitors designed as a fusion of previously reported molecules elaborated by the companies BMS (characteristic benzyl ether linkage), ChemoCentryx (rigidification), Arising International, and Incyte Corporation. The in vitro activities of the compounds were evaluated by the Amplified Luminescent Proximity Homogeneous Assay (ALPHA) and calculated for the low nanomolar range (1.54, Figure 23) (Aktoudianakis et al., 2018).

Prime examples of the dimeric type of compounds are molecules presented by two research groups, Basu et al. and Kawashita et al. (Figure 24) (Basu et al., 2019) (Kawashita et al., 2019). The presented ICP inhibitors 1.56 - LH1306 and $\mathbf{1 . 5 7 - L H 1 3 0 7}$ reach IC $_{50}$ values in the low nanomolar range of 25 and 3 nM , respectively. Both compounds were also tested in cellular assays, restoring effector Jurkat T cells with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values of 4.2 and $0.76 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. In contrast to $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-symmetric $\mathbf{1 . 5 6 - L H 1 3 0 6}$, its monomeric precursor was inactive in the same cellular assay (Basu et al., 2019). To compare the potency of the dimeric compounds 1.56 - LH1306 and 1.57 - LH1307 with their asymmetric analogs, a heterologous functional assay based on enzyme fragment complementation technology - the commercial PathHunter cell-based PD-1 (SHP-1) signaling assay - was performed. The results of the PathHunter cellbased PD-1 (SHP-1) assay allowed to investigate that the symmetric compounds $\mathbf{1 . 5 6}$ - LH1306 and $\mathbf{1 . 5 7} \mathbf{- L H 1 3 0 7}$ exhibit 8.2 -fold ( $\mathrm{EC}_{50}, 334 \mathrm{nM}$ ) and 2.8 -fold ( $\mathrm{EC}_{50}, 79 \mathrm{nM}$ ) better activity, respectively, compared to the asymmetric cognates (Basu et al., 2019). Therefore, extension or in this case dimerization of the compounds is necessary for the functional design of PD-1/PDL1 antagonists (Sasikumar et al., 2022).


Figure 24. Examples of dimeric compounds 1.56, 1.57 (Basu et al., 2019), and 1.58 (Kawashita et al., 2019).
The importance of structural elongation was also influenced by analyses such as NMR and X-ray determination of the $\mathbf{1 . 5 7 - L H 1 3 0 7}$ structure. These spectroscopic methods indicated the formation of a more symmetric PD-L1 dimer than previously reported for asymmetric compounds. Binding mode analysis indicated that the biphenyl core helps to anchor the hydrophobic cleft within the homodimer. The polar groups incorporated into the small molecule
structure are extended from the hydrophobic cleft and are located in the solvent-exposed region between the AG and $\mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}$ b strands of the respective PD-L1 proteins. Furthermore, solubilizing groups are responsible for assisting in bringing two PD-L1 monomers together, thanks to hydrogen bonding and electrostatic interactions with the polar residues from the AB and $\mathrm{CC}^{\prime}$ strands of PD-L1. As proposed by Kawashita et al, a symmetrical link would induce a sidechain inversion of the Tyr56 protein residue, allowing for an increase in binding affinity (Kawashita et al., 2019). All described binding modes increase the potency of symmetric $\mathbf{1 . 5 7} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{~ L H 1 3 0 7}$ towards PD-L1 (Basu et al., 2019).

Results of recent research by Park et al., 2021 present information of 1.59-ARB 272542, as the new release in the group of small molecules PD-1/PD-L1 antagonists. Analysis of the crystal structure of $\mathbf{1 . 5 9} / \mathrm{PD}-\mathrm{L} 1$ showed that molecule fits in the hydrophobic cleft, composed between two PD-L1 of the homodimer. The interface of $\mathbf{1 . 5 9}$ - ARB 272542/PD-L1 interaction corresponds to the residues of PD-L1 involved in the complex with PD-1 formation. Crystallographic studies also revealed that two of the pyridine rings interact with $\pi-\pi$ stacking with Tyr56, which provides crucial interactions for compound A binding mode. Both amidic linker carboxylates form water-mediated hydrogen bond interactions with Gln66 of chain A and chain B. The two linked central benzyls are rotated by $-106^{\circ}$ with respect to each other at the chain $\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{B}$ interface. The central biphenyl is also involved in the binding mode, forming bonds between the Ala121 side chain of one chain and the Met115 side chain of the other chain. In addition, aryls also interact within van der Waals interactions with Asp122, Tyr123, Ile54, and Ser117. The ethanolamine moiety attached to the compound as a solubilizing group forms hydrogen bonds with the Asp122 of chain A and chain B (Park et al., 2021). All described interactions are visualized in Figure 25.

The presented inhibitor was observed to exhibit a surprisingly low 17 nM EC 50 value, determine due to the cell-based NFAT reporter system (Jurkat-CHO-PD-1/PD-L1 bioassay). Moreover, 1.59-ARB 272542 was found to represent different - from that presented with the use of mAbs - mechanism of PD-L1 blockade. The latter works by molecular disruption of the programmed death 1 interaction. Conversely, 1.59-ARB 272542 reaches the PD-1/PD-L1 axis inducing cell surface PD-L1 dimerization, followed by rapid internalization into the cytosol. This process results in the effect that PD-L1 is no longer available on the cell surface to interact with its cognate receptor PD-1. In addition, 1.59-ARB 272542 is not only a potent in vitro inhibitor of PD-1/PD-L1 cell signaling, but can also lead to immunostimulatory activity in human primary cells by upregulating cytomegalovirus (CMV)-specific T cell responses. 1.59ARB 272542 was also tested in a mouse model of the colorectal tumor where both host and
tumor expressed human PD-L1 to further determine its in vivo properties. The treatment provided significant tumor growth inhibition and resulted in tumor stasis with off-treatment in three out of eight animals tested and complete response in one additional animal. Notably, 7 days of 1.59-ARB 272542 treatment provided similar tumor growth inhibition as 16 days of atezolizumab treatment in four of eight animals. In addition, 1.59-ARB 272542 was shown to enhance hepatitis B virus-specific T and B cell responses in patient samples by inducing T cell proliferative and IFN $\gamma$ responses to HBV peptides. This could lead to the development of curative CHB immunotherapies while applying more tolerable and reversible small-moleculebased therapy (Park et al., 2021).



Figure 25 (A) Structure of $\mathbf{1 . 5 9}$ - ARB 272542 (B) Co-crystal X-ray structure of $\mathbf{1 . 5 9}$ - ARB 272542 and dimeric PD-L1 protein. PD-L1 presented in ribbon representation (coloured cyan and green for chains A and B) 1.59ARB 272542 is shown in stick representation (yellow). (C) Interaction of $\mathbf{1 . 5 9}$ - ARB 272542 with PD-L1 chain A (left panel) and with PD-L1 chain B (right panel) (D) Internalisation of PD-L1 after incubation with $\mathbf{1 . 5 9}$ - ARB 272542. CHO-K1 cells transfected with cMyc-PD-L1 and labelled with anti-cMyc Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated antibody. The confocal fluorescence microscopy detects PD-L1-cMyc (green) and nucleus (blue) Park et al., 2021).

The latest reports revealed the information about molecule 1.60-INCB-086550 (Figure 26) disclosed by Incyte Corporations company. In 2018, the discussed compound was subjected to clinical trials, and phase 1 (NCT03762447) to study the safety, tolerability,
pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamics in patients with NSCLC urothelial cancer and renal cell carcinoma (Piha-Paul et al., 2020). The results obtained to date, present that oral administration of $\mathbf{1 . 6 0}$ - INCB-086550 led to dose-related pharmacodynamic T-cell activation, similar to that reported for PD-L1 mAbs. Reported studies have shown that 1.60-INCB086550 is biologically active in disrupting the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway, leading to T-cell proliferation and activation in patients (Piha-Paul et al., 2020, Sasikumar et al., 2022). Research is ongoing to evaluate the intratumoral pharmacodynamic activity, safety, and efficacy of the compound.


Figure 26. The structure of clinically tested molecule 1.60-INCB-086550 (Sasikumar et al., 2022).

The most recent reports revealed molecule 1.61-IMMH-010 as a new drug candidate which is in phase I of clinical trials. Molecule 1.62-YPD-29B disclosed in the patent CN109153670 was found to possess strong potency towards PD-1/PD-L1 inhibition, and exhibit extremely low $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $10^{-13} \mathrm{M}$ in HTRF assay. Despite promising in vitro activity, the molecule exhibited unfavorable physiochemical properties. These problems led to the modification of 1.62-YPD-29B structure and the transformation of the carboxylic acid group into the corresponding ester forming -1.61-IMMH $\mathbf{0 1 0}$ as $\mathbf{1 . 6 2}$ - YPD-29B prodrug. Although having a higher $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $10^{-8} \mathrm{M}, \mathbf{1 . 6 1}$ - IMMH $\mathbf{0 1 0}$ is expected to be converted into $\mathbf{1 . 6 2}$ -YPD-29B during metabolic processes.

However, the latter, was essential to be studied, because the differences in metabolisms among species. Under metabolic conditions 1.61-IMMH 010 transforms mainly to 1.62 -YPD-29B and three other compounds: 1.63-M2, 1.64-M3 and 1.65-M4 and the hydrolytic process catalyzed by CES1 Figure 27) (Wang, Y. et al., 2021).


Figure 27. Metabolic profile of $\mathbf{1 . 6 1}$ - IMMH-010, where $\mathbf{1 . 6 2}$ - YPD-19B, 1.63-M2, 1.64-M3 and 1.65-M4 were detected as metabolites due to LC-MS technique.

Metabolism studies of $\mathbf{1 . 6 1}$ - IMMH-010 showed that in rat and mouse plasma it was promptly metabolized into $\mathbf{1 . 6 2}$-YPD-29B, in opposition to human and monkey plasma, where the compound exhibit high stability. However, the metabolism of $\mathbf{1 . 6 1}$ - IMMH-010 in the liver S9 fractions exposes no differences among human, monkey, rat, and dog species. Moreover, the ratio of 1.61-IMMH-010 hydrolyses was studied in different species' intestines, showing that rat and human intestines are able to metabolite only low amounts of $\mathbf{1 . 6 1}$ - IMMH-010. The significant TGI was observed upon 1.61-IMMH-010 application in B16F10 melanoma model (for $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}, 55 \% \mathrm{TGI}, \mathrm{p}<0.001, \mathrm{n}=10$ ) and MC38 (for $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, $75 \%$ of TGI, $\mathrm{p}<$ $0.001, \mathrm{n}=10$ ) colon carcinoma xenograft mouse models. The in vivo studies also included the comparison of the pharmacodynamic profile of $\mathbf{1 . 6 1}$ - IMH-010 in rodent and primate animals, exhibiting lower exposure to $\mathbf{1 . 6 2}$ - YPD-29B in primates (Wang, Y. et al., 2021, Jiang et al., 2021).

## 2. Performed Research

In recent years, the development of small molecule PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors has become a widely explored scientific field. In Figure 28 and Table 1, some of the examples of already disclosed PD-L1 antagonists were correlated with clinically relevant mAbs. Two inhibitor factors such as $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values were compared, showing that the development of small molecule inhibitors is progressing, but the search for small molecule antagonists that could replace mAbs is still highly awaited.

## THE CORELLATION OF IN VITRO ACTIVITY OF PD1/PD-L1 INHIBITORS



Figure 28. The comparison of the potency of known PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors. The graph correlates $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values from HTRF assay and $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values from ICB assay. Various classes of compounds were visualized: blue - small molecules, violet- peptides, pink - antibodies. The numerical data on the basis of which the chart was presented have been collected in Table 1.

Table 1. The correlation of the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}, \mathrm{EC}_{50}$ and $\%$ RLUmax values of representative various PD-L1 inhibitors. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values and $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values were determined due to HTRF and ICB assay respectively. (Skalniak et al., 2017; Konieczny et al., 2020; Muszak et al., 2021; Park et al., 2021; Magiera K. et al., 2017; Basu et al., 2019

| Compounds | IC $_{\mathbf{5 0}}$ (HTRF) | EC $_{\mathbf{5 0}}(\mathbf{I C B})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| durvalumab | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| nivolumab | 0.2 | 1.3 |
| $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ (BMS-57) | 9.0 | 566 |
| $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ (BMS-71) | 7.0 | 293 |
| $\mathbf{1 . 1 7} \mathbf{- \text { BMS1166 }}$ | 1.4 | 276 |
| $\mathbf{1 . 4 9}$ | 0.5 | 1026 |
| $\mathbf{1 . 5 6} \mathbf{- \text { LH1306 }}$ | 25.0 | 4214 |
| $\mathbf{1 . 5 7} \mathbf{- \text { LH1307 }}$ | 3.0 | 763 |
| $\mathbf{1 . 5 9 -}$ ARB 272542 | 0.4 | 19 |

Within presented in this thesis research, ten different general structures of potential PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors were composed. The proposed general structures are shown in Figure 29.


Figure 29. The plan of performed research with visualisation of the general structures of prepared groups of PDL1 inhibitors.

Firstly, 2H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-3(4H)-one scaffold (general structure II.0) derivative was prepared. Secondly, a wide range of biphenyl-based small molecular antagonists were obtained (general structure II.I-II.III) as 2-bromo-1,1'-biphenyl, 1,1':2', 1"-terphenyl, 2-fluoro-1,1'-biphenyl, 2-chloro-1,1'-biphenyl, 2-iodo-1,1'-biphenyl derived compounds. Afterwards, to
improve the compounds' potency the attempt to synthetized elongated types of inhibitors was undertaken. Herein, the synthesis of 2,3-dihydro-1H-indene, 2,2'-dimethyl-1,1'-biphenyl, 2-fluoro-2'-methyl-1,1'-biphenyl, and 3,4-dihydro-2H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazine based molecules was described and potential PD-L1 inhibitors as derivatives of General Structures II.IV-IX were obtained.

### 2.1. 2H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-3(4H)-one's Derivatives as Potential PD-1/PD-

## L1 Immunomodulators

### 2.1.1. 2 Synthesis of $\mathbf{2 H}$-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-3(4H)-one's Derivative

The synthetic route shown in Figure 30 was followed to obtain the final compound based on the 2 H -benzo[b][ 1,4$]$ oxazin- $3(4 \mathrm{H})$-one scaffold.


Figure 30. Synthetic route of 2H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-3(4H)-one's based inhibitors.

The synthetic route was started with the preparation of 7-bromo- 2 H -benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-3(4H)-one (2.2). In this case, 5-bromo-2-nitrophenol was subjected to nucleophilic substitution with bromoacetonitrile under basic conditions. Subsequently, isolated $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ was subjected to conditions including iron and acetic acid, which allowed reductive cyclization and ring closure of the 2 H -benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-3(4H)-one (Ramesh et al., 2011). In parallel, an alkylating agent (2.3) was prepared. Commercially available morpholine and 2-bromoacetyl bromide were
mixed to obtain 2-bromo-1-morpholinoethan-1-one as 2.3. This product was then used as a substrate in the reaction with 7-bromo-2H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-3(4H)-one (2.2) to form the N alkylated molecule 2.4.

To further improve the structure of the potential inhibitor, the Suzuki cross-coupling reaction was applied. Organometallic cross-coupling reactions were found to be one of the most useful tools for C-C bond formation. Among these methods, Suzuki cross-coupling is a commonly used strategy. The reaction was first published in 1979 by Akira Suzuki, who was awarded the Noble Prize in 2010 for his discovery (Miyaura et al., 1979a). In his work, he proposed the use of a palladium catalyst to promote the coupling between an aryl or vinyl boronic acid and an aryl or vinyl halide (Miyaura et al., 1979a, Miyaura et al., 1979b, Miyaura et al., 1995). The mechanism of the discussed reaction is shown in Figure 31. The preliminary step in the Suzuki cross-coupling is the generation of $\mathrm{Pd}(0)$ species from Pd (I). This form of palladium is active, and in the presence of vinyl or aryl halide (A), it can participate in oxidative addition, which is the rate-determining step of the entire reaction. Oxidative addition involves the oxidation of palladium (0) to palladium (II) during the formation of organometallic intermediate (II). In the next step, a double displacement reaction between the organopalladium derivative and base takes place, yielding an intermediate (III). Subsequently, (III) participates in the transmetalation step with the formation of a more nucleophilic boronate complex (IV) from boronic compound (B) (Smith et al., 1994). As a result, a transient organopalladium derivative $(\mathrm{V})$ is formed. The final step of the catalytic cycle involves reductive elimination. Bulky substituents of palladium ligands enhance the elimination step and promote the formation of the desired product with the newly formed C -C bond. At the same time, the palladium catalyst is restored, allowing a new catalytic cycle to begin. The main role in the discussed reaction is played by the presence of the base. Besides taking part in a double displacement reaction (from II to III), it generates Lewis acid (IV) for the transmetalation step and promotes reductive elimination by reaction with palladium complex (Amatore et al., 2011). Discussing the superiority of Suzuki coupling over the other organometallic reactions, Suzuki's substrates - boron derivatives - are less toxic and more available in comparison to organozinc or organotin compounds.

Moreover, mild conditions and a relatively wide variety of reagents that can be used in this reaction cause its wide applications in performed work and a special role in the preparation of $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ fragment.


Figure 31. The mechanism of Suzuki cross-coupling, where (A)-vinyl/aryl halide, (B)-boronic compound, (C)desired product, (I)-active palladium catalyst, (II)-organopalladium intermediate, (III)-intermediate after double displacement reaction, (IV)-boronate complex, (V)-transient organopalladium derivative.

The next step of the presented synthetic route (Figure 30) is the Williamson etherification carried out on the prepared form $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ benzylic chloride. This reaction is a useful way to prepare phenyl-benzyl ethers and proceeds according to the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ mechanism. The reaction conditions include the use of base, which is essential for the formation of alkoxyl anion. The phenoxyl anion formed in the reaction presents the increased nucleophilicity of the oxygen atom, thus providing the nucleophilic attack on the positively charged carbon atom of the benzylic halide (Williamson, 1850). Consequently, the conditions applied lead to the isolation of $O$-alkylated 2.6.


Figure 32. Reductive amination-condensation of carbonyl compound and amine via iminium ion as the intermediate - mechanism.

The final step of the synthetic route shown in Figure 30 involves the conversion of $\mathbf{2 . 6}$ to 2.7 via reductive amination. The latter is a versatile strategy to create a C-N bond and can be used to attach a variety of different alkyl groups to an amine. In the research conducted, this reaction was studied as a powerful tool to convert the carbonyl group to the corresponding amine Figure 32). A more detailed look at the discussed process, reveals the crucial role of catalytic amounts of acetic acid. The latter, protonates oxygen from the carbonyl group, thus increasing the electrophilicity of carbon atom from carbonyl moiety. However, too much acidic conditions might not be preferred because of the possible protonation of amine and its conversion to non-nucleophilic ammonium salt. Therefore, in slightly acidic conditions, the more electrophilic carbonyl group is formed, each attacked by lone electron pair of nitrogen atom from an amine compound. A tetrahedral hemiaminal intermediate is formed, which undergoes proton transfer and water elimination according to alkylimino-de-oxo-bisubstitution. As a result, an imine ion (or in the case of a secondary amine, an iminium ion) is formed. The in situ reduction of the imine allows the isolation of the corresponding amine as a product of reductive amination. Sodium cyanoborohydride $\left(\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ and sodium triacetoxyborohydride $\left(\mathrm{NaBH}\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$ are commonly used in this case because of their stability in mildly acidic conditions (Baxter et al., 2004, Ahmed et al., 1996). The use of such reductants also avoids side reactions and enhances the selectivity of the reaction. Generated iminium ion reacts with reductant much more readily than carbonyl compound, thus providing amine rather than alcohol as a product The compound obtained after reductive amination was transformed into corresponding hydrochloric salt, which allowed isolation of 2.7 as the final product of carried synthetic route.

### 2.1.2. Activity Evaluation of $\mathbf{2 H}$-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-3(4H)-one Derivatives

The biochemical activity of the final compound - 2.7- and its intermediates - 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - for PD-1/PD-L1 complex dissociation was evaluated. The interaction of the obtained compounds with PD-L1 protein was preliminarily tested by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. The 1 H NMR measurements of apo-PD-L1 and apo-PD-L1 with different 2H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-3(4H)one's derivatives were performed and visualized in Figure 33. Compounds 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, and 2.7 were measured with the ratio 1:10 protein: compound concentration. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the 2.8 - STD4 compound (Kitel et al., 2022), which has already been shown to bind to short apo-PD-L1, were used as a reference for the measurements. The binding of all molecules was confirmed by broadening of NMR signals after the addition of an inhibitor to apo-PD-L1. The
observed broadening is the effect of ligand-induced interaction with the protein, although it is evident that the proposed compounds are only weak binders.


Figure $33 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of apo-PD-L1 protein (blue line) and apo-PD-L1 protein with different $2 \mathrm{H}-$ benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-3(4H)-one's derivatives (red line - $\mathbf{2 . 4}$ measured at 1:10, protein: compound concentration, green line - $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ measured at 1:10, protein: compound concentration, violet line $\mathbf{- 2 . 6}$ measured at 1:10, protein: compound concentration, yellow line - $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ measured at 1:10, protein: compound concentration, orange line - $\mathbf{2 . 8}$ - STD4 measured at 1:5, protein: compound concentration.

To confirm and quantify the results obtained, a homogeneous time-resolved fluorescence (HTRF) assay was performed. This technique (Degorce et al., 2009) utilizes the Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) phenomenon and is a relatively simple and rapid method for monitoring the PD-1/PD-L1 interaction. The detection of PD-1/PD-L1 interaction can be observed due to the presence of HTRF donor - anti-Tag1-Europium and HTRF acceptor - anti-Tag2-XL665. When PD-1 and PD-L1 bind, the HTRF donor and HTRF acceptor are close enough to trigger fluorescence energy transfer (FRET) from the excited donor to the acceptor. Consequently, this energy transfer results in specific emission of the HTRF acceptor at a wavelength of 665 nm , which is proportional to the extent of PD-1/PD-L1 interaction. Therefore, PD-L1 antagonists promote the reduction of HTRF signal by blocking PD-1/PD-L1 interaction.

Two of 2H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-3(4H)-one's derivatives with relatively good solubility were subjected to HTRF assay to evaluate their affinity toward PD-1/PD-L1 immune complex ${ }^{1}$ (Table 2).

Table 2. Results of HTRF assay carried out for 2H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-3(4H)-one's derivatives

| Compund name | The presentage of undissociated <br> PD-1/PD-L1 complex [\%] | The concentration of the <br> compound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ | 36.1 | $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ | 26.5 | $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |

The results summarized in Table 2 show that after the addition of $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 7}, 36.1 \%$ and $26.5 \%$ of the PD-1/PD-L1 complex remained undissociated, respectively. The HTRF results confirmed that the 2 H -benzo $[\mathrm{b}][1,4]$ oxazin- $3(4 \mathrm{H})$-one derivatives belong to the group of 'weak PD-L1 binders'. Therefore, the modifications of the proposed scaffold are necessary for the evaluation of inhibitor activity.

[^0]
### 2.2. Synthesis of Biphenyl Based Small-molecule Inhibitors of the PD-1/PD-L1 Immune Checkpoint

### 2.2.1. Identification of the Short Fragments That Bind to PD-L1

To enhance the activity of the obtained PD-1/PD-L antagonists, substituent rearrangement within the biphenyl scaffold was proposed. In silico screening of designed short fragments was performed by AutoDock Vina integrated with PyRx software (Trott et al., 2009) ${ }^{2}$. The screening involved the use of the dimeric structure of PD-L1 with BMS-1166 (PDB ID: 5NIX) to which short fragments were docked for structure-activity relationship (SAR) elucidation. In silico screening clearly showed a preference for hydrophobic substituents over hydrophilic ones. Therefore, the introduction of nitryl group or hydroxyl group to the biphenyl scaffold negatively influences its binding affinity. Conversely, decoration of the biphenyl scaffold with halides can lead to a decrease in binding affinities down to $-9.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for compounds $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ (Figure 34). Although it is easy to see that the enlargement of the structure to 2,3dihydrobenzo $[\mathrm{b}][1,4]$ dioxine $(\mathbf{3 . 3}, \mathbf{3 . 6})$ or $1,1^{\prime}: 2^{\prime}, 1^{\prime \prime}$-terphenyl (3.2) derivatives is not preferred according to in silico studies (Konieczny et al., 2020).

3.1
$\mathrm{IC}_{50}=50.7 \mu \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{BA}=-9.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

3.2

3.3
$\mathrm{IC}_{50} \sim 55.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{BA}=-6.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

3.4
$\mathrm{IC}_{50}=66.3 \mu \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{BA}=-9.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

3.5
$\mathrm{IC}_{50} \mathrm{ca} .100 \mu \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{BA}=-6.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

3.6
$\mathrm{IC}_{50}=64.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{BA}=-8.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

3.7
$\mathrm{IC}_{50}=55.9 \mu \mathrm{M}$
BA $=-9.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

Figure 34. The synthetised short, biphenyl based fragments with their binding affinities (BA) and IC50 values (Konieczny et al, 2020).

Results obtained by molecular modeling encouraged to obtain synthetically the above mentioned compounds. To prepare compounds 3.1, 3.4, 3.5 and 3.63 -step synthetic pathway was carried out. The starting material - 2-bromo-3-methylaniline - was subjected to Sandmeyer reaction to exchange amine and introduce iodine into aromatic ring (3.1.1.1, A, Table 3). Since

[^1]iodine is a better leaving group, its introduction allowed to selectively perform Suzuki coupling in the presence of bromide substituent (B, Table 3). Consequently, 3.1.1, 3.4.1, 3.5.1, 3.6.1 were isolated. The final step in the preparation of short biphenyl fragments was radical bromination with NBS and benzoyl peroxide (C, Table 3), which led to the isolation of 3.1, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6. Reaction conditions and yields are summarized in Table 3 .

Table 3. Preparation of 2-bromo-3-(bromomethyl)-1,1'-biphenyl scaffold derivatives.
3.4.1

Reagents and conditions: (A) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, $\mathrm{KI}, \mathrm{NaNO}_{2}$, water, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 68 \%$ for 3.1.1.1 (B) phenylboronic acid (3.1.1)/ (4-cyanophenyl)boronic acid (3.4.1)/ (3-fluorophenyl)boronic acid (3.5.1)/ (2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxin-6yl)boronic acid (3.6.1) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 1,4$-dioxane/water, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$, rt, overnight, $65 \%(\mathbf{3 . 1 . 1}) / 68 \%$ (3.4.1)/ $91 \%$ (3.5.1) $/ 64 \%$ (3.6.1) (C) NBS, benzoyl peroxide, $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}, 59 \%$ (3.1)/43\% (3.4)/ $68 \%$ (3.5)/ 41\% (3.6).

To prepare fragments 3.2, $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ another synthetic manner was used. Respective alcohols were directly subjected to Suzuki cross-coupling. Applied conditions and reaction yields are correlated in the Table 4.

Table 4. Preparation of $\left[1,1^{\prime}: 2^{\prime}, 1^{\prime \prime}\right.$-terphenyl]-3'-ylmethanol (3.2), (3-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxin-6-yl)-2fluorophenyl)methanol (3.3) and (2-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methanol (3.7) fragments.

3.2.1/3.3.1/ 3.7.1
3.2/3.3/3.7

| Name | $\mathbf{R}_{0}$ | R1 | Yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.2 | -Br | $82 \%$ |  |
| $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ | -F | -F | $75 \%$ |

Reagents and conditions (A): phenylboronic acid (3.2, 3.7)/ (2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxin-6-yl)boronic acid (3.3), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 1,4$-dioxane/water, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 3 h , rt, overnight, $82 \%$ (3.2) $75 \%$ (3.7)/ $97 \%$ (3.3).

To verify the in silico studies were consistent with the actual biochemical activity of prepared compounds, 3.1-3.7 were subjected to HTRF assay. The half maximal inhibitory concentration ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ) values determined for compounds 3.1-3.7 were in micromolar range. The most prominent compounds $-\mathbf{3 . 1}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ - were found to possess $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values as 51 and $56 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. The obtained results are relatively good, since the short fragment shows tolerable solubility in aqueous media. The biochemical validation of the in silico studies proved their accuracy and allowed the selection $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ as the best candidates for further development.

Although HTRF is a rapid and convenient assay widely used in in vitro activity evaluation, the approach is associated with some possible inconveniences such as autofluorescence of the compound and aggregation possibility. Therefore, an additional method - w-AIDA NMR assay - was used to check the affinity of the proposed short fragments towards the target. The w-AIDA NMR is a weak antagonist dissociation assay in which the specific N66A mutation of PD-1 is responsible for the decrease in binding affinity to the PD-L1 protein within the complex (Musielak et al., 2020). However, the interface of PD-L1 involved in the binding remains unaltered, regardless of the introduction of PD-1 mutations. The w-AIDA NMR competition assay allows the indication of "short fragments" with promising potency as good candidates for further evaluation. Analyzing the aliphatic part of the $1 \mathrm{D}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra
of 66N-labeled PD-1 (blue line) and PD-L1 (red line), we can observe that the spectra appear more flattened (marked gray) after N66-PD-1/PD-L1 complex formation (green line). In the situation where weak binders - $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ (purple spectra) or $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ (yellow spectra) - are added to the N66-PD-1/PD-L1 complex, we can observe the restoration of the characteristic PD-1 peaks caused by the dissociation of the protein complex. The fact that $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ are able to target the PD-1/PD-L1 immune checkpoint is also well visible in $2 \mathrm{D}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC NMR spectra. Figure 35 presents respectively the spectrum of ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-labeled N66A-PD-1 (A) and the spectrum of the N66A-PD-1/PD-L1 complex with ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-labeled PD-1 (B). The red boxes (B) highlight the changes in peak pattern associated with complex formation. However, after the addition of $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ or 3.7 (C and D), we can observe the restoration of the characteristic for N66-PD-1 peak pattern, which proves the ability of small molecule for PD-1/PD-L1 complex dissociation (Konieczny et al., 2020).



Figure 35. w-AIDA-1H-15N NMR HSQC (A-D) and 1D NMR spectra E. A) spectrum of N66A-PD-1 with marked characteristic peak pattern B) spectrum of N66A labelled PD-1/PD-L1 complex C) N66A-PD-1/PD-L1 complex +3.1 - restoration of spectrum A after addition of $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ D) N66A-PD-1/PD-L1 complex $+\mathbf{3 . 7}$ - restoration of spectrum A after addition of $\mathbf{3 . 7}$; E) 1D NMR spectrum of N66A-PD-1 (blue line), PD-L1 spectrum (red line), flatten spectrum of N66A-PD-1/PD-L1 complex (green line), restoration of spectrum A after addition of $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ to N66A-PD-1/PD-L1 complex (purple line), restoration of spectrum A after addition of 3.7 to N66A-PD-1/PD-L1 complex (yellow line) (Konieczny et al., 2020).

### 2.2.2. Development of the 2-Bromo-1,1'-Biphenyl-Based Antagonists of PD-L1 -

 Synthesis and Activity DeterminationFollowing the demonstration of in vitro activity of the 2-bromo-1,1'-biphenyl fragment, further development of inhibitors was undertaken. Another advantageous extension was the addition of a third aromatic ring via an ether linker. Therefore, 2-bromo-3-(bromomethyl)-1,1'biphenyl (3.1) was subjected to Williamson etherification with various $p$-hydroxybenzaldehyde derivatives/methyl-4-hydroxybenzoate to form molecules 3.8-3.12. Subsequently, the aldehydes (3.8-3.11) obtained were subjected to the $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$-mediated reductive amination to give the final compounds (3.13-3.17). In the case of ester (3.12), the final compound (3.18) was isolated after introduction of amine component in the presence of DBU. The obtained compounds were subjected to HTRF assay to evaluate their ability for PD-1 displacement from PD-1/PD-L1 complex. The structures of the synthesized compounds as general structure II.I derivatives with their $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were summarized in Table 5.

Table 5. Synthetic Pathway of Preparation of compounds consistent with general structure II.I as 2-bromo-1,1'biphenyl Derivatives (Konieczny et al., 2020).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |
| Name | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{IC}_{50} \\ {[\mathrm{nM}]} \end{gathered}$ | Name | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}[\mathrm{nM}]$ |
| 3.8 | -H |  | $\sim 50000$ | 3.13 | $-\mathrm{Br}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 12.2 \\ & \pm 0.2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3.9 | $-\mathrm{Br}$ |  | ~50000 | 3.14 | -H |  | $\begin{gathered} 522.0 \\ \pm 0.5 \end{gathered}$ |
| 3.10 | -Me |  | ~50000 | 3.15 | -Me |  | $\begin{gathered} 175.1 \\ \pm 13.3 \end{gathered}$ |
| 3.11 | -OMe |  | >100000 | 3.16 | -OMe |  | $\begin{gathered} 203.9 \\ \pm 6.4 \end{gathered}$ |
| 3.12 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $-\mathrm{Br}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 28600 \\ \pm 500 \end{gathered}$ | 3.17 | $-\mathrm{Br}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 15.0 \\ & \pm 0.2 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  | 3.18 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $-\mathrm{Br}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 13200 \\ & \pm 150 \end{aligned}$ |

Reagents and conditions: (A) substituted 4-hydroxybenzaldehde, potassium carbonate, DMF, rt, overnight (43\% yield for 3.8, $39 \%$ yield for $3.9,38 \%$ yield for $\mathbf{3 . 1 0}, 52 \%$ yield for 3.11) (B) NHR, sodium cyanoborohydride, acetic acid, DMF, rt, overnight ( $53 \%$ yield for $\mathbf{3 . 1 3}$, $65 \%$ yield for $\mathbf{3 . 1 4}, 56 \%$ yield for $\mathbf{3 . 1 5}, 72 \%$ yield for 3.16, $51 \%$ yield for 3.17). ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (A) methyl 3-bromo-4-hydroxybenzoate, potassium carbonate, DMF, rt, overnight (45\% yield for 3.12); (B) NHR, 1,8-Diazabicyklo[5.4.0]undek-7-en (25\% yield for 3.18).

Analysing the obtained results, it is not difficult to see the correlation between solubility of a compound and its biochemical activity. If we compare the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of aldehydes and their corresponding final compounds - equipped with a solubilizing group - we can observe the significant decrease of the maximal half inhibitory concentrations. The most prominent example is aldehyde $3.9\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=50000 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, which is transformed into final compound $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}$ $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=15 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, presenting more than 3000 better activity in HTRF assay.

The satisfactory results obtained encouraged further development of PD-L1 antagonists based on 3.2-3.7 fragments. The synthesis of small molecule antagonists based on $\left[1,1^{\prime}: 2^{\prime}, 1^{\prime \prime}-\right.$ terphenyl]-3'-ylmethanol (3.2) and (3-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxin-6-yl)-2fluorophenyl)methanol (3.3) involved the conversion of the respective alcohols to the corresponding chlorides and the alkylation of deprotonated 3-bromo-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde under basic conditions. This resulted in the formation of $1,1^{\prime}: 2^{\prime}, 1$ "-terphenyl- and 6-(2-fluorophenyl)-2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-based aldehydes (3.21, 3.23), which were subsequently subjected to sodium cyanoborohydride-mediated reductive amination to give $\mathbf{3 . 2 2}$ and 3.24. Inhibitors constructed from 3.23-3.25 fragments were prepared using a similar method. Williamson etherification of deprotonated $p$-hydroxyaldehyde $/ p$-hydroxyalcohol derivatives afforded the compounds (3.19, 3.25, 3.27, 3.31). Subsequently, the isolated aldehydes were subjected to $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$-mediated reductive amination to introduce different amine moieties, giving the final inhibitors ( $\mathbf{3} .20,3.26,3.28,3.29,3.30)$. For the preparation of compound 3.32, benzylic chloride was generated from (3-((2-bromo-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3yl)methoxy)phenyl)methanol (3.31) and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ reaction was performed with thiomorpholine. All obtained compounds as general structure II.II derivatives and their IC IC $_{50}$ values are summarized in Table 6.

Analyses of the obtained compounds lead to the conclusion that besides $-\mathrm{Br},-\mathrm{F}$ is also considered as a promising candidate for the $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ substituent. On the contrary, - Ph was found to be unsuitable in this position. Also, introduction of 4-cyanophenyl moiety in $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ position instead of phenyl ring is not beneficial. Moreover, it was found that, enhancement of the molecule with 2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine provided different, depending on single molecule influence on enhancement of its properties (see examples 3.20, 3.22). Interestingly, after studying the results collected in Table 5 and in Table 6, -Br was found to be the best option for $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ substituent. Considering the best amine moiety $\left(\mathrm{R}_{4}\right)$, the attachment of L-pipecolinic acid most positively influences the molecules affinity for PD-1/PD-L1 targeting in HTRF assay.

Table 6. Synthetic Pathway of Preparation of 2-bromo-1,1'-biphenyl compounds as 6-(2-bromo-3-(bromomethyl)phenyl)-2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine (3.6), 1, $1^{\prime}: 2^{\prime}, 1^{\prime \prime}$-terphenyl (3.2), 6-(3-(bromomethyl)-2-fluorophenyl)-2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine (3.3), [1, $1^{\prime}: 2^{\prime}, 1^{\prime \prime}$-terphenyl]-3'-ylmethanol, 2-bromo-3-(bromomethyl)-3'-fluoro-1,1'-biphenyl (3.5) and 2'-bromo-3'-(bromomethyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carbonitrile (3.4) derivatives (3.19-3.32) (Konieczny et al., 2020).
$\mathbf{3}$
3.31

Reagents and conditions: (A) for compounds 3.4-3.6 where X is -Br: derivatives of $p$-hydroxybenzaldehyde, potassium carbonate, DMF, rt, overnight ( $88 \%$ yield for $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}, 61 \%$ yield for $\mathbf{3 . 2 5}, 57 \%$ yield for $\mathbf{3 . 2 7}, 52 \%$ yield for 3.31) for compounds 3.2, 3.3 where X is - OH : 1. thionyl chloride, $\mathrm{DCM}, 40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 2$. Derivatives of $p$ hydroxybenzaldehyde, potassium carbonate, DMF, rt, overnight ( $30 \%$ yield for $\mathbf{3 . 2 1}, 60 \%$ yield for $\mathbf{3 . 2 3}$ ) (B) for compounds 3.19, 3.21, 3.23, 3.25, 3.27: amine component, sodium cyanoborohydride, acetic acid, DMF, rt, overnight ( $43 \%$ yield for $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}, 61 \%$ yield for $\mathbf{3 . 2 2}, 37 \%$ yield for $\mathbf{3 . 2 4}, 26 \%$ yield for $\mathbf{3 . 2 6}, 44 \%$ yield for $\mathbf{3 . 2 8}$, $67 \%$ yield for $\mathbf{3 . 2 9}, 33 \%$ yield for $\mathbf{3 . 3 0}$ ) for compounds 3.31 . thionyl chloride, $\mathrm{DCM}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 2$. amine component, potassium carbonate, DMF, rt, overnight ( $29 \%$ yield for 3.32).

Some of the compounds obtained were further investigated to determine their biochemical activity. Compound $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}$ was tested in competitive ELISA (Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay) to determine its activity against hPD-L1 in comparison to 1.17-BMS1166 (strong PD-1/PD-L1 antagonist developed by Bristol-Meyer Squibb) ${ }^{3}$. The data obtained clearly showed the advantage of $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}$ over 1.17-BMS-1166, indicating $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}$ as stronger PDL1 antagonist ( $1.47 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{nM}$ and $28.04 \pm 0.36 \mathrm{nM}$, respectively) (Konieczny, et al, 2020).

The compounds that showed promising activities in the HTRF assay were further evaluated in the PD-1/PD-L1 immune checkpoint blockade cell-based assay ${ }^{4}$. The co-cultured artificial antigen presenting cells (aAPCs) and Jurkat reporter T cells in the experiment provide the PD-1/PD-L1 interaction. Inhibitors capable of targeting the PD-1/PD-L1 interaction lead to TCR-mediated activation of Jurkat cells, as evidenced by an increase in luciferase activity. Thus, luciferase activity represents the level of stimulation of Jurkat T cells. According to the fitting of Hill's equation to the experimental data, durvalumab, a therapeutically applicable PDL1 blocking antibody, unleashed TCR signalling with an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value of $0.2 \pm 0.06 \mathrm{nM}$. (Figure 36). Analysing results obtained, most of the compounds tested, as well as durvalumab, possess the ability to boost effector cell activation, but at much higher concentrations than the antibody. In the tests performed, the inhibitor $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}$ as the best of all the compounds. $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}$ was calculated to have the lowest $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value of $6.6 \mu \mathrm{M} \pm 0.8 \mathrm{M}$ and the highest degree of Jurkat T cell activation (2.1-fold at 50 M compound concentration). (Figure 36). Promising results (EC $\mathrm{E}_{50}$ value of $6.8 \pm 1.1 \mu \mathrm{M})$ were also obtained for compound $\mathbf{3 . 1 3}$, but due to its cytotoxicity above $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration, the maximal half inhibitory value was calculated from partial data. The

[^2]other compounds tested were found to provide only low levels of TCR-inducible expression of luciferase activity, with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values above $40 \mu \mathrm{M}$.


Figure 36. The profiles of PD-1/PD-L1 immune checkpoint blockage cell-based assay for compounds 3.13, 3.14, 3.15, 3.16, 3.17 and 3.20. Activities of the compound are presented in correspondence to durvalumab-clinically relevant anti-PD-L1 antibody .(Konieczny et al., 2020).

Additionally, the cytotoxic effect of the small molecules tested was determined in a cellbased assay for PD-1/PD-L1 immune checkpoint blockade. Jurkat PD-1 effector cells alone or in co-culture with CHO K-1 PD-L1 aAPCs were treated with increasing concentrations of the compounds. The most prominent compound $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}$ provided also satisfying results in the cell viability assay, being non-toxic up to a final concentration of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Konieczny et al., 2020). This is a much better outcome than for the compound 1.17-BMS-1166 compound (Skalniak
et al., 2017, Figure 2a), as $\mathbf{1 . 1 7}$ - BMS-1166 reduced cell viability by $50 \%$ at a concentration of $40 \mu \mathrm{M}$, while other BMS compounds did so at even lower inhibitor concentrations.

### 2.2.3. Modeling the Interactions with Dimeric PD-L1

In addition, by docking the inhibitor to a dimeric PD-L1 using PyRx software, we were able to study the molecular interactions of the most potent compound (3.17) with PD-L1 ${ }^{5}$. Protein-Ligand Interaction Profiler was then used to evaluate the binding profile (Figure 37) (Konieczny et al., 2020).


Figure 37. Visualisation of interactions between PD-L1 and compound 3.17. Inhibitor was docked into dimeric PD-L1 (PDB ID: 5NIX). Detected interactions: hydrophobic interactions (grey dashed lines) with residues Ile54A Tyr56A and B, Gln66B, Met115B, Ala121B and Tyr123A, $\pi$-stacking (green dashed line) between distal phenyl ring within biphenyl scaffold and Tyr56A and between phenyl form phenoxymetyl with Tyr56B, hydrogen bonds (blue solid line) between Tyr56B hydroxyl group and tertiary amine in piperidine solubilizer and Asn63B amide nitrogen and oxygen from carboxylic group of solubilizer (Konieczny et al., 2020).

The most important interactions observed are those within the biphenyl core. This fragment is responsible for anchoring the dimeric PD-L1 due to $\pi-\pi$ stacking with Tyr56A and an aromatic phenyl ring with Tyr56B. Hydrogen bonding between the OH group of Tyr56B and the N atom of the solubilizer and between the N atom of Asn63B and the O atom of the COOH group of L-pipecolinic acid also have a stabilizing effect on binding of the molecule. Analysing the docking profile, we can also distinguish the salt bridge formed between tertiary amine solubilizer and - COOH group of Asp122A. Furthermore, hydrophobic interactions of the compound with Tyr56A, Tyr56B, Gln66B, Ile54A, Met115B, Ala121A stabilize the whole complex.

[^3]
### 2.3. Preparation of 2-Substituted 1,1'-Biphenyl Derivatives

### 2.3.1. Optimisation of the 1,1 '-Biphenyl Fragment

As a continuation of the promising results obtained for the group of 2-bromo-1,1'biphenyl derivatives, another group of compounds derived from the biphenyl scaffold was obtained. The subject of further studies was to investigate how the replacement of the bromine from the 2 -bromo-1,1'-biphenyl core with another halide of different size and electronegativity influences the activity of the compound. Moreover, the optimization of the biphenyl core also involved the preparation of 2-bromo-1,1'-biphenyl derived short fragments with introduced hydrophobic motif. All proposed fragments are shown in Figure 38.


3.3, $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \sim 55.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$

3.34, ~9\%

3.37, ~40\%
3.1, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=50.7 \mu \mathrm{M}$

3.33, $\sim 91 \%$

3.7, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=55.9 \mu \mathrm{M}$

3.35, $\sim 28 \%$

3.36, $\sim 57 \%$


Figure 38. Modification on biphenyl core based short fragments and the affinity of the short fragments presented as IC50 values or as the percentage of dissociated PD-1/PD-L1 complex with $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration of the compound.

To identify the fragments that bind to PD-L1 with the satisfying affinity, the dissociation of PD-1/PD-L1 for 3.3, 3.7, 3.33-3.37 compounds was determined. The reference for obtained results was the affinity of 2-bromo-3-(bromomethyl)-1,1'-biphenyl (3.1), for which the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value was calculated to be $50.7 \mu \mathrm{M}$. This result corresponds to a dissociation of $53 \%$ of the present PD-1/PD-L1 complex in the presence of a $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration of fragment 3.1. The data obtained for $\mathbf{3 . 3}, \mathbf{3 . 7}, \mathbf{3 . 3 4}, \mathbf{3 . 3 5}$, and $\mathbf{3 . 3 7}$ are shown in Figure 38. These results present
that the structural modifications on the biphenyl core based on the hit compound led to a moderate improvement of the activity, but in general, the affinity of the fragments is in the same range. The best results were obtained for 2-chloro-1,1'-biphenyl derivatives ( $\mathbf{3 . 3 4}, \mathbf{3 . 3 5}$ ). Taking into account the data obtained for (2-chloro-3-(pyridin-3-yl)phenyl)methanol (3.36) (dissociation of $43 \%$ of the present PD-1/PD-L1 complex) and 2-(2-bromo-3(bromomethyl)phenyl)naphthalene (3.33) (dissociation of around $9 \%$ of the present PD-1/PDL1 complex), they do not seem advantageous. All in all, building final small molecules on short, hydrophobic biphenyl fragments is constructive and favorable for their affinity to the hydrophobic cleft of the target. Based on the promising results of the HTRF assay, we decided to use some of the prepared short fragments as starting points for further synthesis of final PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors.

### 2.3.2. Synthesis of the PD-1/PD-L1 Inhibitors 3.46-3.60

Figure 39 comprises the synthetic route to obtain compounds 3.44-3.58 as final structures. The strategy of short biphenyl-based fragments (3.3, 3.7, 3.34, 3.35, 3.37) preparation is described in Sections 4.2.2 and 4.2.3. The series of final inhibitors was constructed using three different methods. In order to extend the short fragment (3.7) with an additional heteroaromatic ring, palladium-mediated Buchwald-Hartwig cross-coupling was performed with 2-chloro-6-methoxy-3-pyridinecarboxaldehyde, which provided the aldehyde (3.38). In the case of the formation of the aldehyde (3.43) Williamson etherification with $\mathbf{3 . 3 3}$ and 3-bromo-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde was followed. The third method involves the generation of benzylic chloride from various benzylic alcohols (3.3, 3.7, 3.34-3.37) and subsequent $O$ alkylation of phenolic aldehydes. The final synthetic step, common to all aldehydes isolated, was sodium cyanoborohydride-mediated reductive amination, which allowed the incorporation of the various amines into the structure of the compounds. The amine reagents used included ethanolamine, 2 -amino-1,3-propanediol, tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane, N-(2aminoethyl)acetamide, and amino acids: L-pipecolic acid and L-serine. The structures of the isolated compounds as general structure II.III derivatives were gathered in Table 7.


Figure 39. General Pathway for the synthesis of small molecules 3.44-3.58 as II.III derivatives. Reagents and conditions: (I) 2-chloro-6-methoxy-3-pyridinecarboxaldehyde, t-BuXPhos, $\mathrm{Cs} 2 \mathrm{CO} 3, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc}) 2$, toluene, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4$ h ( $49 \%$ for 3.38 ), (II) $1 . \mathrm{SOCl} 2$, $\mathrm{DCM}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 2$. 3-bromo- 4-hydroxybenzaldehde, K2CO3, DMF, rt, overnight ( $38 \%$ for $\mathbf{3 . 3 9}, 47 \%$ for 3.40 , $56 \%$ for $\mathbf{3 . 4 1}, 51 \%$ for $\mathbf{3 . 4 2}$ ), (III) 3-bromo-4-hydroxybenzaldehde, K2CO3, DMF, rt, overnight ( $41 \%$ for 3.43), (IV) amine component, NaBH 3 CN , AcOH, DMF, rt, overnight ( $32 \%$ for $\mathbf{3 . 4 4}, 66 \%$ for $\mathbf{3 . 4 5}, 72 \%$ for $\mathbf{3 . 4 6}, 33 \%$ for $\mathbf{3 . 4 7}, 51 \%$ for $\mathbf{3 . 4 8}, 59 \%$ for $\mathbf{3 . 4 9}, 30 \%$ for $\mathbf{3 . 5 0}, 31 \%$ for $\mathbf{3 . 5 1}, 54 \%$ for $\mathbf{3 . 5 2}, 56 \%$ for $\mathbf{3 . 5 3}, 31 \%$ for $\mathbf{3 . 5 4}, 33 \%$ for $\mathbf{3 . 5 5}, 42 \%$ for $\mathbf{3 . 5 6}, 46 \%$ for $\mathbf{3 . 5 7}, 82 \%$ for $\mathbf{3 . 5 8}$ ).

Table 7. General structure II.III of obtained inhibitors and substituents arrangement in particular final compounds.

3.44
3.54

### 2.3.3. Activity Evaluation of 1,1 '-Biphenyl Fragment Based Inhibitors

Selected synthesized compounds were tested by homogeneous time-resolved fluorescence (HTRF) assay for their ability to displace PD-1 from the complex with PD-L1. The measurements were performed at 5 nM PD-L1 and 50 nM PD-1 final concentrations after 2 h incubation with the compounds. The ability of the compounds to dissociate the PD-1/PDL1 complex was determined with 5 nM concentration of the compounds. For selected inhibitors maximal half inhibitory concentration was also calculated, by fitting the experimental dataset with a modified Hill's equation. Obtained results of the HTRF assay were reported in Table 8.

Table 8. The activity of obtained compounds determined due to HTRF assay with the comparison to the anti-PDL1 activity of $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}$.

| ID | Anti-PD-L1 activity*/IC $\mathbf{5 0}$ | ID | Anti-PD-L1 activity*/IC50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3 . 4 4}$ | $86.9 \pm 19.2 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 . 5 2}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=73.6 \pm 3.3 \mathrm{nM}$ |
| $\mathbf{3 . 4 5}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=70.2 \pm 18.4 \mathrm{nM}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 5 3}$ | $86.5 \pm 9.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 . 4 6}$ | $45.8 \pm 21.6 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 . 5 4}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=142.18 \pm 3.60 \mathrm{nM}$ |
| $\mathbf{3 . 4 7}$ | $54.7 \pm 40.6 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 . 5 5}$ | $83.8 \pm 0.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 . 4 8}$ | $79.2 \pm 4.3 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 . 5 6}$ | $86.3 \pm 6.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 . 4 9}$ | $49.6 \pm 28.9 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 . 5 7}$ | $71.0 \pm 9.2$ |


| $\mathbf{3 . 5 0}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=71.1 \pm 29.1 \mathrm{nM}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 5 8}$ | $31.9 \pm 1.5$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3 . 5 1}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=78.2 \pm 6.2 \mathrm{nM}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=15.0 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{nM}$ |

*Anti-PD-L1 activity is as the percentage of undissociated PD-1/PD-L1 complex with 5 nM concentration of inhibitor.

The structure-activity relationship analyses and preliminary in vitro biochemical analyses showed that the incorporation of a proper modification on the biphenyl core and the use of a favorable solubilizing group in the small molecule structure is essential for tuning the compatibility of the inhibitor against PD-L1. After optimization of the tail group, it has been investigated that inhibitors with solubilizers containing -OH group provide the best anti-PD-L1 activity. Prime examples are molecules 3.46, 3.49, and 3.58, containing 2 -amino-1,3propanediol and tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane moieties. Discussed molecules were able to displace PD-1 form PD-1/PD-L1 complex in around 55\%,50\%, and in around 70\% for $\mathbf{3 . 4 6}$ 3.49 and 3.58 respectively with 5 nM compound concentration. On the other hand, molecules 3.53 and 3.57 containing ethanolamine as the solubilizing agent does not provide such satisfying results. 5 nM concentrations of compounds provide only around $14 \%$ and around $29 \%$ of PD-1/PD-L1 complex disruption, for $\mathbf{3 . 5 3}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 5 7}$ respectively.

To further study SAR, the activities of two inhibitors $\mathbf{3 . 5 0}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 5 2}$ differing from each other with just one atom of halide introduced into the 1,1 '-bifenyl scaffold were compared. The correlation of activities of 2-fluoro-1,1'-biphenyl- based inhibitor (3.52) and 2-iodo-1,1'biphenyl derived inhibitor (3.50) lead to the conclusion that the exchange of F- into Isubstituent does not affect compound's activity in HTRF assay. The maximal half inhibitory values of both $\mathbf{3 . 5 0}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 5 2}$ are around 70 nM values. As well, inhibitor $\mathbf{3 . 4 5}$ as 2 -chloro-1,1'biphenyl derivative was determined to possess the value of $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ equal to 70 nM .

Further analyses proved that extension of the distal phenyl ring to naphthalen-2-yl in $\mathbf{3 . 4 4}$ does not provide a beneficial influence on the compound's activity. A negative effect was also observed after the introduction of heteroatom into the central aromatic ring of the molecule. 3.55 and $\mathbf{3 . 5 6}$ compounds, bearing pyridine moiety were barely able to dissociate $15 \%$ of the present PD-1/PD-L1 complex.

The homogeneous time-resolved fluorescence assay also provided compelling data showing that the presence of the 2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine moiety is not essential for the activity of the compounds, what was previously proposed to be fundamental (Skalniak, et al., 2017, Magiera, et al., 2017). For example, in the case of our equivalent compounds 3.47
and 3.48 2,3-dihydrobenzo $[b][1,4]$ dioxine's presence does not significantly affect the potency of the compounds. In this case, even a compound without 2,3 -dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine fragment gave better results related to around $45 \%$ of PD-1/PD-L1 complex dissociation.

To further verify the affinity of the tested compounds for the long PD-L1 protein, proton ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectra was recorded (Figure 40). After the addition of $\mathbf{3 . 4 6}$ compound to the protein, we observed the broadening of the PD-L1 proton signals in the NMR spectra. The binding of $\mathbf{3 . 4 6}$ caused a flattening of the signals in 1D spectra already at a 1:1 molar ratio of protein to compound, which is characteristic for oligomerization of hPD-L1 and is observed for compounds with a biphenyl core. Further addition of the compound did not change the spectra, suggesting a $K_{d}$ in the millimolar range.


Figure 40. The aliphatic part of 1H NMR spectra of long PD-L1 (blue) with 3.46 in the molar ration 1:1 (red), and 1:10 (green) protein to compound.

Moreover, crystallization probes to obtain the co-crystal structure of PD-L1 with 3.54 were undertaken. High-quality crystals with a final resolution of $3.2 \AA$ of the complex of PDL1 and $\mathbf{3 . 5 4}$ were obtained, what provided insight into the molecular interactions of $\mathbf{3 . 5 4}$ with the target. ${ }^{6}$ Purified human PD-L1 was isolated, concentrated to $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$, and then mixed in a 1:3 molar ratio with a 3 -molar excess of the $\mathbf{3 . 5 4}$ compound. Diffraction-quality crystals were collected at room temperature under the conditions described in Section 4.9.

[^4]Firstly binding mode of $\mathbf{3 . 5 4}$ with dimeric PD-L1 was analyzed with BIOVIA Discovery Studio Visualizer and then it was viewed in PyMOL Molecular Graphics System (Figure 41).


Figure 41. Visualization of interactions within co-crystalized $\mathbf{3 . 5 4}$ (pink) and dimeric hPD-L1 (violet). Detected interactions (yellow) and distance between respective residues are presented.

Analyses of the crystal structure show that molecule $\mathbf{3 . 5 4}$ is locked within a hydrophobic cleft between two PD-L1 residues. The 1,4-benzodioxane moiety being engaged in the $\pi$-alkyl interaction with Tyr56 ${ }_{A}$ and providing further hydrophobic contacts with Ile54 ${ }_{A}$ and Ala121 ${ }_{B}$ stabilizes the complex. Furthermore, both aromatic rings from the biphenyl core are involved in numerous $\pi$-alkyl interactions with residues from both PD-L1 chains such as Ala121 ${ }_{\mathrm{B}}$, Met $115_{\mathrm{A}}$, Met $115_{\mathrm{B}}$, and Ala $121_{\mathrm{A}}$. Moreover, central aromatic ring of the molecule provides $\pi$ $\pi$ stacking interaction with Tyr56 ${ }_{\mathrm{B}}$ sidechain. Also -Br substituent attached to the central aromatic ring of the molecule is engaged in ligand non-bond hydrophobic contacts with Ile54 ${ }_{B}$ and Val68B and $\pi$-alkyl interaction with Tyr56 ${ }_{\mathrm{B}}$. Binding mode analyses provide also information about the significant impact of tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane, which allows hydrogen bonding with Lys $124_{\mathrm{A}}$ and Asp122A. However, unlike to previously reported crystal structure containing the terphenyl scaffold (Muszak et al., 2021) halogen form 2-fluoro-1,1'bifenyl core of $\mathbf{3 . 5 4}$ do not interacts with PD-L1. That might partially explain the less potency of $\mathbf{3 . 5 4}$ in the biophysical experiments when compared with small molecules containing the terphenyl scaffold.

The compounds $\mathbf{3 . 4 6} \mathbf{- 3 . 5 0}, \mathbf{3 . 5 4}, 3.57$ presenting the best results in HTRF tests, were further subjected to cell-based immune checkpoint blockade assay. PD-1/PD-L1 interaction was provided by Jurkat T cells, expressing PD-1 co-cultured with PD-L1 equipped CHO cells.

The compounds presenting ability to block the PD-1/PD-L1 complex, led to increase of luciferase luminescence, what proved the activation of effector cells. (Figure 42). For the antagonists, exhibiting the highest fold induction, maximal half effective concentrations were determined and summarized in Table 9.


Figure 42. The activity of 2-fluoro-1,1'-biphenyl (3.46-3.48, 3.54, 3.57), 2-chloro-1,1'-biphenyl (3.48), 2-iodo-1,1'-biphenyl ( $\mathbf{3 . 5 0}$ ) derived compounds in PD-1/PD-L1 ICB cell-based assay. Data for durvalumab presented as positive control of PD-1/PD-L1 monoclonal antibody.

Table 9. $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values calculated for $\mathbf{3 . 4 6}, \mathbf{3 . 5 2}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 5 4}$ inhibitors in PD-1/PD-L1 immune checkpoint blockade cell-based assay. Values for durvalumab presented as positive control of PD-1/PD-L1 monoclonal antibody.

| Name of the compound | $\mathbf{E C}_{50}[\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M}]$ | Cytotoxic concentration $[\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3 . 5 2}$ | $1.01 \pm 0.15$ | 16 |
| $\mathbf{3 . 5 4}$ | $0.51 \pm 0.16$ | 16 |
| $\mathbf{3 . 4 6}$ | $1.79 \pm 0.36$ | 40 |
| Durvalumab | $0.25 \pm 0.06$ | n.o. |

* n.o. - not observed in the tested range of concentrations

Among the tested inhibitors $\mathbf{3 . 5 4}$ exhibit the lowest $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value of $0.51 \pm 0.16 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Two other compounds - $\mathbf{3 . 4 6}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 5 2}$ - provided maximal half inhibitory values $1.01 \pm 0.15 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $1.79 \pm 0.36 \mu \mathrm{M}$ respectively. However, further analyses showed the relative luminescence decrease in higher concentrations of inhibitors. This observation is correlated with the cytotoxic effect of tested compounds from $16 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentrations of $\mathbf{3 . 5 2}$ and 3.54. In contrast, presented previously 2-bromo-1,1'-biphenyl- based inhibitor 3.17, characterized with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=6.6 \pm 0.8$ $\mu \mathrm{M}$. (Section 2.2.2) did not cause toxicity up to $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration. The cytotoxic effect could be potentially, related to the exchange of 2 -bromo-1,1'-biphenyl to the 2 -fluoro-1,1'biphenyl fragment. The performed analyses prove that a low half maximal effective value is not sufficient criterium to analyze compounds potency.

### 2.4. 2,3-dihydro-1H-indene Derivative as the Elongated Potential PD-L1 Antagonists

The next generation of compounds involves modification of the compound structure based on the idea of increasing the solubility of the inhibitors. Solubility is one of the critical factors in achieving the necessary drug concentration in the systemic circulation for the intended pharmacological response. Therefore, to increase this factor, the structure of the compound was extended and equipped with a second solubilizing group. The inspiration of the proposed new scaffold was based on the structure revealed by ChemoCentryx, in which 2,3-dihydro- 1 H -indene was introduced by fusing the cyclopentane ring to the biphenyl core (Figure 16). Also, based on compounds disclosed by Guangzhou Maxinovel Corporation (Figure 17, Wang, Y. et al., 2018a), ether linker between biphenyl core and third aromatic ring was replaced with ethenyl moiety. Consequently, general structure II.IV was formed as showed on the Figure 43.


Figure 43. The formation of new, potential, 2,3-dihydro-1H-indene based PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor consistent with general structure II.IV.

### 2.4.1. Synthesis of Potential 2,3-dihydro-1H-indene Based Derivative

The synthetic pathway to obtain a derivative of 2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-based inhibitor within the following set of substituents $-\mathrm{R}_{1}=4$-piperidinol, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{4}=$ serine ) - was designed and involved 13 steps (Figure 44). 3 parallel synthetic routes were followed to prepare the corresponding co-substrates.


Figure 44. Synthesis of 2,3-dihydro-1H-indene derivative as elongated potential PD-1/PD-L1 antagonists.
The first synthetic route (I) was started from commercially available (4-bromo-2methoxyphenyl)methanol. The following alcohol was protected with TMSCl to isolate the
corresponding trimethylsilyl ether 4.1. During the next step, the formyl group was introduced into the aromatic ring. In this case, $n$-butyllithium with its highly polarized $\mathrm{Li}-\mathrm{C}$ bond was used. The nucleophilicity of the carbon atom, caused by the concentration of most of electron density on this atom, allows nucleophilic attack and conversion of $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{Br}$ into the corresponding $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{Li}$ compound. Subsequently, the Ar-Li compound reacts with an excess of DMF to form a formylated compound, releasing lithium dimethylamide. Application of acidic conditions allows removal of TMS group and isolation of 4-(hydroxymethyl)-3-methoxybenzaldehyde (4.2) with $40 \%$ yield.

In parallel, the second co-substrate was prepared, starting from 4-bromo-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-one. The cyclic ketone was subjected to reaction with the use of sodium borohydride, which plays the role of reducing agent as it is the source of hydride ion ( $-\mathrm{H}-$ ). Isolated almost equivalent amounts of alcohol (4.3) were converted to the corresponding bromide (4.4) after addition of hydrobromic acid. In the next step, 4.4 was heated in the presence of triphenylphosphine in toluene, which allowed precipitation of the corresponding triphenylphosphonium bromide (4.5) after cooling the reaction mixture.

Two of the prepared co-substrates - aldehyde (4.3) and ylide (4.5)- were further coupled via Wittig reaction, which led to the formation of $\mathbf{4 . 6}$ as alkene product. In this reaction, the presence of two isomers of the product was detected, which will be further discussed in 2.4.2 chapter. The $E$ and $Z$ diastereoisomers were separated by chromatographic methods and the E isomer was selected based on analyses of 2D NOESY spectroscopic results. 4.6 was further subjected to reaction with Dess-Martin periodinane reagent (DMP) for oxidation of alcohol (4.6) into respective aldehyde (4.7). During reaction DMP reagent possesses ability for effective complexation of hydroxyl group forming diacetoxyalkoxyperiodinane intermediate (Dess et al., 1983). This species is further deprotonated by previously released acetates, allowing the formation of the corresponding aldehyde. The use of DMP instead of other commonly used oxidizing agents, such as pyridinium chlorochromate (PCC) and DMSO-based reagents, allows the application of less stringent reaction conditions, shorter reaction time, and has been associated with simplified workup.

Collaterally, the third synthetic route was carried out to provide the co-substrate for further modification of 4.7. For this purpose, 3-bromo-2-methylaniline was used as the starting material. The addition of sodium nitrite under strong acid conditions, provided by the presence of sulfuric acid, led to the formation of 3-bromo-2-methylbenzenediazonium salt. The diazonium salt was then hydrolyzed by heating in an aqueous solution of sulfuric acid, yielding the corresponding phenol (4.8) as the product. In the next step, the phenolic compound was
further deprotonated with potassium carbonate and substituted with 3-bromopropan-1-ol to give the compound 4.9. Obtained alcohol was then converted to the corresponding chloride and substituted with 4-piperidinol to allow the isolation of 4.10. The application of conditions including bis(pinacolato)diboron, potassium acetate, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{DCM}$ and anhydrous dioxane led to the isolation of the boronic acid ester (4.11), which represents the third co-substrate necessary for the formation of the final inhibitor.

Subsequently, compound 4.11 was coupled to the previously prepared molecule 4.7. C-C bond formation was enabled by the use of palladium-mediated Suzuki cross-coupling, which allowed the isolation of 4.12. The last step of the whole synthetic pathway involved sodium cyanoborohydride mediated reductive amination to introduce second solubilizing group. The final compound with D-serine and 4-piperidinol was purified on silica using column chromatography to give the molecule 4.13 as the final product.

### 2.4.2. The Study of Geometric Isomerism of the Products of the Wittig Reaction

2D NOESY spectroscopy is a powerful tool to study the configurational isomerism of organic compounds. The method is based on the nuclear Overhauser effect (NOE), which concerns cross-relaxation as the transfer of nuclear spin polarization between two populations of spin-active nuclei (Overhauser, 1953). In solutions of organic compounds, cross-relaxation is expressed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ dipole-dipole couplings of protons in close proximity. Thus, the cross peaks of a NOESY spectrum show the protons that are close to each other.

In my work, this 2D NOESY spectroscopic approach was used to determine which of the two diastereoisomeric products of the Wittig reaction is the desired E-isomer (Figure 45). Analysing structure of the E isomer, cross relaxation peaks should be observed between protons bonded to C 2 of the cyclopentane ring and C 3 of the aromatic ring. Also signals related to coupling of ethylene proton and protons attached to C5 of aromatic moiety should be well visualized. Conversely, in the case of Z-isomer, the cross-relaxation effect between ethylene proton (1) and proton nuclei forming cyclopentane ring (2) should appear.



Figure 45 . Two possible isomers of 4.5 and their possible cross-relaxations.


Figure 46. The NOESY spectrum of the two isomers of 4.6. A) The NOESY spectrum of isomer $Z$ with presented coupling between ethylene proton ( $\delta=6.60 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and protons from aliphatic range ( $\delta=2.91 \mathrm{ppm}$ ). B) The NOESY spectrum of the isomer E . The coupling corresponding to the interaction between aliphatic protons (related to the carbon 2$)(\delta=3.11 \mathrm{ppm})$ and aromatic proton (related to the carbon 3$)(\delta=6.96 \mathrm{ppm})$ and the coupling between ethylene proton $(\delta=6.91 \mathrm{ppm})$ and aromatic proton (related to the carbon 5$)(\delta=7.52 \mathrm{ppm})$ are demonstrated.

To determine the configurational isomerism of the two isolated compounds, the 2D NOESY NMR spectra were recorded, what is visualized in Figure 46. After of the obtained results, the correct structures were assigned to both 2D NOESY spectra. Figure 46A was correlated with isomer Z as there is a coupling between ethylene proton $(\delta=6.60 \mathrm{ppm})$ and protons from the aliphatic region ( $\delta=2.91 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) is present. Followingly, observed on the Figure 46B cross relaxation peaks between aliphatic protons (related to the carbon 2$)(\delta=3.11 \mathrm{ppm})$ and aromatic proton (related to the carbon 3 ) $(\delta=6.96 \mathrm{ppm})$ and the coupling between ethylene proton ( $\delta=$ $6.91 \mathrm{ppm})$ and aromatic proton (related to the carbon 5) $(\delta=7.52 \mathrm{ppm})$ were assigned to isomer E.

### 2.4 Assessment of in vitro Activity of 2,3-Dihydro-1H-Indene Derivative Towards PD-1/PD-L1

Successfully synthesized molecule (4.13) was tested in HTRF assay to check its ability for PD-1/PD-L1 disruption. Results indicate that after introduction of 5 nM concentration of molecule 4.13, $68.8 \%$ of PD-1/PD-L1 complex still remained undissociated. This result is still not satisfying, therefore proposed modifications of the structure didn't provide improvement of activity.

Despite these results, compound 4.13 was further investigated in PD-1/PD-L1 immune checkpoint blockade cell-based assay to determine its ability to block PD-1/PD-L1 pathway. The results obtained showed a slightly increasing activity observed for the concentration range of $3 \neg 30 \mu \mathrm{M}$. This corresponds to an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value of about $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, which is not a spectacular result (Figure 47)


Figure 47. The profile of PD-1/PD-L1 immune checkpoint blockage cell-based assay for compound 4.13.

Proposed modification of the scaffold did not meet the desired expectations. The introduction of 2,3 -dihydro- 1 H -indene ring and connecting it via ethenyl moiety with third aromatic ring caused that compound has decreased affinity towards PD-L1 target. Therefore, some other means need to be applied to increase the compound's solubility and enhance its potency to disrupt PD-L1 from the complex.

### 2.5. Preparation of ARB272542 Derivatives as Potential PD-1/PD-L1 Inhibitors - Synthesis and Activity Determination

The subject of further experimental work was the development of a potential PD-L1 inhibitor structure. The following work describes the preparation of $\mathbf{1 . 5 9}$ - ARB 272542 derivatives (general structure II.V), which structure was inspiration for further research (Park et al., 2021). The first modifications performed involved the exchange of solubilizing groups with retention of the core consisting of four aromatic rings of the molecule. The idea was to see how the introduction of different solubilizing agents affected the potency of the compound. Figure 48 illustrates the synthetic route followed to get $\mathbf{1 . 5 9}$ - ARB $\mathbf{2 7 2 5 4 2}$ derivatives.


Figure 48. The synthetic pathway performed to obtained compound 1.59-ARB272542 derivatives.

First synthetic step involved the quantitative conversion of 3-bromo-2-methylaniline to the corresponding boronic ester (5.1). Then, biphenyl core (5.2) was constructed by coupling the prepared boronic ester (5.1) with 3-bromo-2-methylaniline. The next synthetic step involved the elongation of the structure with two pyridine moieties via an amide bond. For this purpose, HATU was used as a coupling agent. The mechanism of this coupling involved two main steps.

First, deprotonated carboxylic acid attacks HATU, resulting in the formation of $O$ acyl(tetramethyl)isouronium salt. Next, the anion formed from 1-hydroxy-7-azabenzotriazole (AtO-) attacks the isouronium salt, resulting in the formation of the OAt-active ester and the release of tetramethylurea. The next step involves acylation of the amine derivative by the OAtactive ester and formation of the desired amide bond (Carpino et al., 1993, Carpino et al., 2000). The application of this coupling agent allowed the isolation of compound $\mathbf{5 . 3}$ with $93 \%$ yield. The ester groups were then selectively reduced to alcohols in the presence of amide bonds. Such a treatment was possible thanks to the application of lithium borohydride, which is a stronger reducing agent than its equivalent sodium borohydride, which is not able to reduce esters. The properties of $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}$ are attributed to the presence of the lithium cation, which allows a higher polarization of the $-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ bond by participating in the complexation of the carbonyl structure (Banfi et al., 2001).

The use of this reducing agent allowed the almost quantitative isolation of 5.4. Followingly, benzylic alcohol moieties of $\mathbf{5 . 4}$ were converted into respective chlorides to equipped the compound in good leaving groups. The generated chloride derivative was subjected to $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ reaction with 3 different amine components to afford 5.5, 5.6 and 5.7 compounds with rather low reaction yields. In addition, compound $\mathbf{5 . 8}$ was subjected to a second reductive amination to form a tertiary amine. For this purpose, conditions including addition of formaldehyde, acetic acid and sodium cyanoborohydride were applied. Overnight stirring of the resulting reaction mixture allowed formation of the final compound $\mathbf{5 . 8}$ with 20\% yield.

The obtained compounds $\mathbf{- 5 . 5}, \mathbf{5 . 6}, \mathbf{5 . 7}$ and $\mathbf{5 . 8}$ - were subjected to HTRF assay and ICB cell based assay to evaluate their ability for inhibition of PD-1/PD-L1 pathway. The collected data were correlated in Table 10.

Table 10. The comparison of II.V derivatives biochemical activity in HTRF and ICB assays.

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of the compound | $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | HTRF [\%]* | EC50 [nM] |
| 5.5 | $\dot{<}_{\mathrm{OH}}^{\mathrm{OH}}$ | $0.33 \pm 0.14(5 \mathrm{nM})$ | 282 |
| 5.6 | $\int_{\text {OH }}^{\text {OH }}$ | 0.03 ( 5 nM ) | 45 |
| 5.7 | $\sim_{\text {OH }}$ | $0.06 \pm 0.08(5 \mathrm{nM})$ | 19 |
| 5.8 | $\int_{\text {он }}^{\text {он }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.64 \pm 0.19(5 \mathrm{nM}) \\ 1.06 \pm 0.001(0.5 \mathrm{nM}) \end{gathered}$ | 119 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1.59- \\ \text { ARB272542** } \end{gathered}$ | - OH | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=400 \mathrm{pM}$ | 17 |

*results shown as the percentage of undissociated PD-1/PD-L1 complex in conditions of 5 nM or 0.5 nM compound's concentration
**data from Park et al., 2021.
Analysing obtained results, we can deduce that of solubilizing group of compound 1.59 ARB272542 does not affect its potency much. The best of the obtained compound $-\mathbf{5 . 7}$ with $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ as 2-(methylamino)ethan-1-ol was determined to possess $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ of 19 nM what is comparable to the $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ of $\mathbf{1 . 5 9}$ - ARB272542. Moreover, we can observe the tendency of decrease of the compound's affinity towards PD-L1 with the increase of the bulkiness of the solubilizing group. Among the tested inhibitors, molecule 5.5, with $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\operatorname{tris}($ hydroxymethyl)aminomethane, was determined to give the less satisfactory results. Probably the molecule's solubilizing group formed to large steric hindrance and inhibit targeting PD-L1. Also, the presence of additional methyl group on nitrogen atom of amine moiety slightly influences its biochemical properties, leading to equal or lower inhibitor's potency. Besides the above observations, all solubilizing groups provided inhibitors with significant potency when comparing the obtained results with other disclosed PD-L1 inhibitors. The tested solubilizers will continue to be used to evaluate next generation inhibitor structures.

### 2.6. 3,4-dihydro-2H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazine Derivatives as Potential PD-1/PD-L1 Antagonists

### 2.6.1. 3,4-Dihydro-2H-Benzo[b][1,4]Oxazine - Fragment Identification

The next proposal of scaffold modification concerns the fusion of the morpholine ring with the biphenyl core for the construction of 3,4-dihydro-2H-benzo $[\mathrm{b}][1,4]$ oxazine derivatives (general structure II.VI). The idea originated from the satisfactory results obtained for the short fragment 2.8-STD-4 described by Kitel et al., 2022. The presented compound 2.8-STD-4 was found to bind to PD-L1 ectodomain with $K_{D}=2.21 \pm 0.33 \mathrm{mM}$, which was demonstrated by superimposing ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{-15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC spectra of apo-short PD-1 and PD-L1 with $\mathbf{2 . 8}$ - STD-4 (Figure S1, Kitel et al., 2022). That is an unexpectedly satisfying result for such a small fragment. Therefore, the decision was made to enlarge the molecular structure and improve the properties of the compound. As a result, a short biphenyl-derived fragment was composed and then a long pseudo- $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ dimeric inhibitor was proposed, which is shown in Figure 49.


Figure 49. Conceptualisation of 3,4-dihydro-2H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazine derivatives.

### 2.6.2. Synthesis of 3,4-Dihydro-2H-Benzo[b][1,4]Oxazine Derivatives

A convergent synthesis was carried out to obtain the 3,4-dihydro-2H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazine derivatives, which are shown in Figure 50. Synthesis of the first intermediate (6.2) was started from 2-amino-6-bromophenol which was heated overnight in $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with 1,2-dibromoetan, potassium carbonate and DMF allowing the ring closure and composition of small 3,4-dihydro2 H -benzo [b][1,4]oxazine derived fragment (6.1). The latter was coupled with generated from 4-formylbenzoic acid chloride to form amide bond and compose 6.2 intermediate. The second intermediate was prepared from (3-bromo-2-methylphenyl)methanol, which was converted to the corresponding boronic acid ester-6.3. The obtained alcohol was converted to corresponding benzylic chloride and used as alkylating agent for $O$-alkylation of meta $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ substituted 4hydroxobenzaldehydes. Subsequently, the isolated aldehydes - were subjected to palladiummediated cross-coupling with the previously 6.2 fragment. Thus obtained dialdehydes

- 6.7-6.9 - were converted into corresponding dialcohols - 6.10, 6.11, 6.12. The applied reduction conditions included dropwise addition of 2 M solution of lithium borohydride in THF, which resulted in product formation after 2 h . Conversion of -OH groups into better leaving groups allows substitution of various amine components optimized previously in Section 2.5, which led to formation of the series of final potential PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors 6.13-6.20.


Figure 50. Synthetic pathway to obtain series of dimeric, pseudo $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-symmetric 3,4-dihydro-2Hbenzo[b][1,4]oxazine derived PD-L1 inhibitors (general structure II.VI). Yields of obtained compounds: 6.4 (where $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ is -OMe: $68 \%$ ), $\mathbf{6 . 5}$ (where $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ is $-\mathrm{H}: 57 \%$ ), $\mathbf{6 . 6}$ (where $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ is $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}: 40 \%$ ), $\mathbf{6 . 7}$ (where $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ is -OMe: $68 \%$ ), 6.8 (where $R_{1}$ is $-H: 76 \%$ ), 6.9 (where $R_{1}$ is -Me: $51 \%$ ), $\mathbf{6 . 1 0}$ (where $R_{1}$ is $-O M e: 85 \%$ ), $\mathbf{6 . 1 1}$ (where $R_{1}$ is - $H$ : $82 \%$ ), $\mathbf{6 . 1 2}$ (where $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ is $-\mathrm{Me}: 52 \%$ ). The sets of all $\mathrm{R}_{1}, \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{3}$ substituents and respective reaction yields for products after last reaction step $(\mathbf{6 . 1 3 - 6 . 2 0})$ are correlated in the Table 11.

### 2.6.3. Assessment of Biochemical Activity of 3,4-Dihydro-2H-Benzo[b][1,4]-

## Oxazine Derivatives towards PD-1/PD-L1

3,4-dihydro-2H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazine derived PD-L1 inhibitors were subjected to HTRF assay to estimate their affinity towards the target. Obtained results as percentage of undissociated PD-1/PD-L1 complex were collected in Table 11 of the determined values
suggests that none of the obtained compounds -6.13-6.20- exhibit satisfactory potency. With 5 nM and even $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration of the compound almost all PD-1/PD-L1 complex remained undissociated. The best of the obtained compound - $\mathbf{6 . 1 8}$ - give the result of $27 \%$ inhibition of PD-1/PD-L1 pathway with $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration, which is an undesirable result. To better understand the low affinity of the generated series of 3,4 -dihydro- 2 H -benzo[b][1,4]oxazine derivatives, further structural analyses were performed. It turns out that the introduction of the 3,4-dihydro-2H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazine moiety linked to the rest of the scaffold via an amide bond is highly inadvisable. The generated rigidity of the scaffold causes that the compounds are not flexible enough to reach the protein binding hot spot. Therefore, the introduction of 3,4-dihydro-2H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazine in this position on the scaffold is not suitable.

Table 11. The comparison of general structure II.VI derivatives and their activity in HTRF assay.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of the compound | R1 | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | Y[\%] | HTRF [\%]* |
| 6.13 | $-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ |  | 56 | $101.3 \pm 13.8$ at 5 nM |
| 6.14 | $-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | ~아 | 55 | $110.2 \pm 3.6$ at 0.5 uM |
| 6.15 | $-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $\int_{\text {он }}^{\text {он }}$ | 61 | $\begin{gathered} 88.4 \pm 5.0 \% \text { at } 0.5 \mathrm{uM} \\ 82.6 \pm 1.3 \% \text { at } 5 \mathrm{nM} \end{gathered}$ |
| 6.16 | -H | $\stackrel{\text { Lor }}{\mathrm{OH}}^{2}$ | 25 | $119.0 \pm 1.1$ at 5 nM |
| 6.17 | -H | $\sim_{\text {он }}$ | 37 | $98.8 \pm 0.7$ at 0.5 uM |
| 6.18 | -H | $\int_{\text {он }}^{\text {он }}$ | 56 | $73.0 \pm 3.9$ at 0.5 uM |
| 6.19 | -H | $\rangle_{\hat{\mathrm{OH}}}$ | 37 | $113.9 \pm 3.0$ at 0.5 uM |
| 6.20 | $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |  | 38 | $93.8 \pm 6.4$ at 0.5 uM |

[^5]
### 2.7. Elongated Pseudo C $_{2}$ - PD-1/PD-L1 Inhibitors

### 2.7.1. Elongated Pseudo C $_{2}$-Symmetric PD-1/PD-L1 Inhibitors - Evolution

In the next stage of the research, elongated types of PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors were developed and structure-activity relationship (SAR) analysis was performed. Modification of small molecules related to their elongation and enlargement of their structure could potentially improve the binding strength of inhibitors by increasing the interaction with the PD-L1 protein. In Figure 51 three new general structures of potential PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors have been proposed (general structure II.VII, general structure II.VIII, general structure II.IX). The changes in the general structures were determined in comparison to the known dimeric compound 1.59-ARB 272542.

general structure of II.V


Figure 51. General structure II.V and three proposed modifications of dimeric pseudo $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-symmetric potential PD-1/PD-L1 immunomodulators (general structure II.VII, general structure II.VIII general structure II.IX).

In all general structures main modification concerned the desymmetrization of the scaffold by exchange of one amide bond into an etheric linker. Moreover, additional modification proposed within general structure II.VII involve the adjustment of the type of aromatic moieties within the scaffold. Thus, in the general structure II.V both of pyridine moieties were exchanged into phenyl components. According to the general structure II.VIII except the introduction of ether linker, -OMe substituent within pyridine moiety was added. Furthermore, the general structure II.IX propose the exchange of both: linker and aromatic moiety. Consequently, ether bond appears between phenyl ring of biphenyl nucleus and distal phenylic ring. Furthermore, the proposal of the third general structure II.IX corresponds to the adjustment of the $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ substituent within the biphenyl core, the elaboration of the $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ substituent on the one of the aryl component and the optimization of the solubilizing agent $\mathrm{R}_{3}$.

### 2.7.2. Synthesis and Activity Determination of Elongated Pseudo $\mathbf{C}_{2}$-symmetric PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors

The synthetic pathway to obtain dimeric pseudo $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-symmetric compound according to the structure was presented in Figure 52.


Figure 52. Synthetic pathway to obtain dimeric pseudo C2-symmetric elongated PD-L1 inhibitor - 7.5 (derivative of general structure II.VII).

Two parallel synthetic routes were followed to prepare suitable co-substrates for further coupling. The first one started from 4-(methoxycarbonyl)benzoic acid, which was heated in thionyl chloride under anhydrous conditions, allowing its conversion to the corresponding acid chloride. After removing the excess of thionyl chloride under reduced pressure, 3-bromo-2methylaniline and triethylamine were added dropwise, resulting in the precipitation of 7.1. Followingly, ester group of $\mathbf{7 . 1}$ was selectively reduced by the application of $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}$ to form the
alcohol 7.2. In the same time, a second co-substrate was prepared. For this purpose, boronic acid ester was obtained from (3-bromo-2-methylphenyl)methanol via Suzuki-Miyaura reaction. The obtained boronic ester was transferred to 1-bromo-3-(chloromethyl)-2-methylbenzene and used for $O$-alkylation of deprotonated 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde. Subsequently, the isolated boronic ester - $\mathbf{6 . 5}$ - was coupled to the previously prepared aryl bromide - $\mathbf{7 . 2}$ - by palladiummediated Suzuki cross-coupling. Formed 7.3 was further subjected to reaction with Dess-Marin periodinane to oxidize molecule's hydroxyl group and provide compound with two formyl substituents (7.4). Finally, reductive amination with ethanolamine performed on both formyl groups of the molecule afforded the final compound 7.5 with $31 \%$ yield.

The synthesis of the final compound related to the general structure II.VIII was started from 3-bromo-2-methylaniline (Figure 53). Substrate as aryl bromide was converted to the corresponding boronic acid ester via a palladium-assisted Suzuki-Miyaura reaction. The reaction was carried out under anhydrous conditions to avoid coupling of the formed boronic derivative with unreacted bromoaryl. Further, the formed 5.1 underwent reaction with (3-bromo-2-methylphenyl)methanol to form $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond between two phenyl rings and consequently to construct biphenylic derivative (7.6). In the next step, the hydroxyl group was protected with trimethylsilyl chloride, forming 7.7, what provide the selectivity of the next reaction step. Subsequently, compound 7.7 was subjected to acylation of its $-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ group with previously prepared acid chloride, which led to precipitation of the reaction product after addition of triethylamine. Subsequently, the isolated compound 7.8 was deprotected and the removal of the TMS group appeared after application of strong acidic conditions.

To further elongate the structure of the molecule, Buchwald-Hartwig coupling was applied and the fourth aromatic ring was attached by C-O bond formation. Usually, BuchwaldHartwig reaction involves the formation of C-N bond between aryl halide and amine moiety. Furthermore, the bond formation occurs after the support of palladium catalyst and ligand under basic conditions (Forero-Cortés et al., 2019). However, it has been shown that alcohols, as another class of nucleophiles, can also be coupled with aryl halides to form the corresponding aryl ethers (Mann et al., 1999). Subsequently, an excess of lithium borohydride was applied, what provided the simultaneous reduction of both ester and formyl groups. This allowed the formation of compound 7.11, bearing two hydroxyl groups, which were further converted to good leaving groups in the Vilsmeier-Haack reaction. In the final step, 2-(methylamino)ethan-1-ol was introduced via $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ reaction, leading to the final molecule 7.12.


A synthetic route similar to that presented for molecule 7.12 was used to generate Figure 53. Synthetic pathway to obtain dimeric pseudo C2-symmetric elongated PD-L1 inhibitor - 7.12 (derivative of general structure II.VIII).
derivatives of general structure II.IX (Figure 54). Likewise in the previous synthetic scheme, boronic acid ester (5.1) was coupled with two different benzyl alcohol derivatives to form $\mathbf{7 . 6}$ $\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ and $7.13\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{F}\right)$. In the next steps, the corresponding alcohols were protected (7.7, 7.14) and amides -7.8, 7.15 -were formed by acylation of aniline derivatives. Subsequently, hydroxyl groups were released by removal of the -TMS groups. The hydroxyl groups of isolated alcohols (7.9, 7.16) were converted into corresponding chlorides and Williamson etherification was performed with various $\mathrm{R}_{2}$-substituted 4-hydroxyaldehydes. The obtained compounds -7.17-7.20 - underwent lithium borohydride assisted reduction to form analogous dialcohols -
7.21-7.24. The latest one converted to the corresponding chlorides, were subjected to nucleophilic substitution with various amine moieties to generate a series of final small molecule inhibitors.


Figure 54. Synthetic pathway to obtain series of dimeric pseudo $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-symmetric elongated PD-L1 inhibitors as general structure II.IX derivatives. Yields of obtained compounds: $\mathbf{7 . 6}$ (where $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ is -Me : $99 \%$ ), $\mathbf{7 . 1 3}$ (where $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ is -F: $74 \%$ ), 7.7 (where $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ is -Me: $79 \%$ ), $\mathbf{7 . 1 4}$ (where $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ is -F: $92 \%$ ), $\mathbf{7 . 8}$ (where $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ is -Me: $75 \%$ ), $\mathbf{7 . 1 5 \text { (where } \mathrm { R } _ { 1 } \text { is }}$ -F: $85 \%$ ), 7.9 (where $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ is $-\mathrm{Me}: 100 \%$ ), 7.16 (where $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ is $-\mathrm{F}: 81 \%$ ), 7.17 (where $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ is -Me and $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ is $\mathrm{Br}: 77 \%$ ), 7.18 (where $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ is -F and $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ is Br : $76 \%$ ), $\mathbf{7 . 1 9}$ (where $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ is -Me and $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ is Me: $71 \%$ ), $\mathbf{7 . 2 0}$ (where $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ is -Me and $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ is -OMe: $88 \%$ ), $\mathbf{7 . 2 1}$ (where $R_{1}$ is -Me and $R_{2}$ is Br : $71 \%$ ), $\mathbf{7 . 2 2}$ (where $R_{1}$ is -F and $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ is Br : $46 \%$ ), $\mathbf{7 . 2 3}$ (where $R_{1}$ is -Me and $R_{2}$ is Me: $99 \%$ ), 7.24 (where $R_{1}$ is -Me and $R_{2}$ is -OMe: $82 \%$ ). The sets of all $R_{1}, R_{2} R_{3}$ substituents and respective reaction yields for products after last reaction step are illustrated in the Table 12.

The Table 12 comprises the characterization of all obtained final products with applied set of $R_{1}, R_{2}$ and $R_{3}$ substituents. In addition, the rection yields associated with the generation of each of the listed compounds have been correlated.

Table 12. The comparison of inhibitors' substituents arrangement within general structure II.IX.
Name of the
compound

To assess the ability of 7.5, 7.12, 7.25-7.38 to displace PD-1 from PD-1/PD-L1 complex HTRF assay and ICB cell-based tests were conducted and obtained results are summarized in Table 13.

Table 13.The biochemical activity of inhibitors obtained as general structures II.VII-IX derivatives.

| Name of the compound | HTRF* | EC50 [nM] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.25 | $24 \%$ ( 5 nM ) | n.a. |
| 7.26 | 9\% ( 5 nM ) | 10228 |
| 7.27 | $43 \pm 5.0 \%(5 \mathrm{nM})$ | 4585 |
| 7.28 | $53.2 \pm 5.4 \%(5 \mathrm{nM})$ | 1886 |
| 7.29 | $\begin{gathered} 9 \pm 1 \%(5 \mathrm{nM}) \\ \mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.11 \pm 0.00 \mathrm{nM} \end{gathered}$ | 1255 |
| 7.30 | $1 \pm 0 \%(5 \mathrm{nM})$ | 1721 |
| 7.31 | $\begin{gathered} 4 \pm 1 \%(5 \mathrm{nM}) \\ \mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.60 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{nM} \end{gathered}$ | 225 |
| 7.32 | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \pm 3.1 \%(50 \mathrm{nM}) \\ 91.6 \pm 1.2 \%(5 \mathrm{nM}) \\ \mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.92 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{nM} \end{gathered}$ | 321 |
| 7.33 | $\begin{gathered} 4 \pm 0 \%(5 \mathrm{nM}) \\ \mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.05 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{nM} \end{gathered}$ | 334 |
| 7.34 | $\begin{gathered} 3.5 \pm 0.5 \%(5 \mathrm{nM}) \\ \mathrm{IC}_{50}=6.1 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{nM} \end{gathered}$ | 219 |
| 7.35 | $\begin{gathered} 1.8 \pm 1.4 \%(50 \mathrm{nM}) \\ 83.9 \pm 2.6 \%(5 \mathrm{nM}) \\ \mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.47 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{nM} \end{gathered}$ | 54 |
| 7.36 | $\begin{gathered} 2.9 \pm 0.5 \%(5 \mathrm{nM}) \\ \mathrm{IC}_{50}=13.6 \pm 0.50 \mathrm{nM} \end{gathered}$ | 491 |
| 7.37 | $\begin{gathered} 4 \pm 1 \%(5 \mathrm{nM}) \\ \mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.22 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{nM} \end{gathered}$ | 1238 |
| 7.38 | $7 \pm 1 \%(5 \mathrm{nM})$ | n.a. |
| 7.12 | $86 \pm 2 \%(5 \mathrm{nM})$ | 687 |
| 7.5 | $82.6 \pm 1.3(5 \mathrm{nM})$ | n.t. |

*results shown as the percentage of undissociated PD-1/PD-L1 complex in conditions of 5 nM or 0.5 nM compound's concentration
** n.a. refers to not active
*** n.t. refers to not tested
Preliminary, all the compounds were tested in HTRF assay with 0.5 nM or 5 nM antagonist concentration. Selected compounds were further tested to determine their $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values. Analyzing the obtained results, eight of obtained compounds - 7.29, 7.31-7.37 featured $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values below 15 nM . Among these inhibitors, six molecules - 7.29, 7.31-7.33, 7.35, and 7.37 exhibit maximal half inhibitory concentrations around 1 nM values.

Although HTRF provides a relatively rapid and convenient method for determining $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$, the half-maximal inhibitory concentration values depend on several factors such as ligand and protein concentration and process environment. In addition, HTRF is a much simpler experimental model, accordingly, we need to assess compound activity together with an immune checkpoint cell-based assay. Derived from the cell-based assay, the half-maximal effective concentration $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}\right)$ is calculated from the experimental responses of a much more complicated system where whole cells are treated with inhibitors. This is in contrast to the HTRF assay where the isolated proteins are used. Thus, in ICB, where protein systems are subject to effects such as post-translational modifications of receptors including glycosylation and molecular crowding, $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values are more reliable for characterizing the potency of compounds.

Therefore, to further study compounds' affinity toward PD-L1 target, a cell-based ICB assay was conducted. Obtained values showed that seven out of all tested compounds exhibit half maximal effective concentrations below $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ values, what proves the significant ability of compounds 7.31-7.36 and 7.12 to induce effector T cells. The best of the synthesized within this group of compounds - inhibitor $\mathbf{7 . 3 5}$ - exhibits the ability to unleash TCR signaling with an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value of 54 nM .

Moreover, to understand the obtained results structure-activity relationship was studied. SAR analyses proved that additional modifications were proposed within general structure II.VII (compound 7.5) did not provide the enhancement of the compound's potency. Exchanging both pyridine moieties from 1.59-ARB272542 into phenyl components resulted that composed compound 7.5 was able to dissociate only $17 \%$ of the present PD-1/PD-L1 complex with 5 nM concentration.

According to modifications introduced within general structure II.VIII, result of ICB test shows that compound $\mathbf{7 . 1 2}$ exhibit $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value of 687 nM . This quiet satisfying result was obtained after elongation of short inhibitors and desymmetrization of $\mathbf{1 . 5 9}$ - ARB272542 by the introduction of ether linker between -OMe bearing pyridine moiety and biphenyl core.

Further analyses prove that most of the compounds, which exhibit the lowest $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values are derivatives of general structure II.IX with a specific arrangement of substituents. Studies showed that definitely, $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ is more favored in $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ position than -F substituent. For example, compounds 7.32 and 7.37 differing only with substituent $R_{1}\left(7.32, R_{1}=-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ and 7.37, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=-$ F) presents completely different $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values. Half maximal inhibitory value for molecule 7.32 is $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=321 \mathrm{nM}$, while compound 7.37 can be characterized with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=1238 \mathrm{nM}$.

Additionally, the best substituent in $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ position was found to be -Br . Similarly in this case we can compare how the exchange of substituent $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ influences the potency of the molecule towards PD-L1 inhibition. For example here, compounds 7.32, 7.29, and 7.26 are modified only at $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ position (7.32, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=-\mathrm{Br}$; 7.29, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and 7.26, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), but $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values differ substantially. We can observe the increase in half maximal effective value from $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=321 \mathrm{nM}$ for $\mathbf{7 . 3 2}$ to $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=1255 \mathrm{nM}$ for 7.29 and to $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=10228 \mathrm{nM}$ for 7.26 while changing $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ form -Br to $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and $-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ respectively.

Definitely, the crucial role in molecule activity has the proper choice of the solubilizing agent, which not only provides the essential solubility but also generates numerous interactions with PD-L1. Generally, all tested -OH containing groups from the range of ethanolamine, 2-amino-1,3-propanediol, N -methylethanolamine, and tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane were suitable. However, tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane was found to be the best choice and second best was 2-amino-1,3-propanediol. The incorporation of tris(hydroxymethyl) aminomethane agent into the structure of inhibitor $\mathbf{7 . 3 5}$ provided the best results of 54 nM EC 50 value.

Additionally the cytotoxic effect of synthesized molecules was studied. Unfortunately, the best inhibitor 7.35 was found to be toxic above $1.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration of the compound. Toxicity tests performed on the other inhibitors, which exhibit promising low $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values (7.31-7.34, 7.35, and 7.12) confirmed the cytotoxic effect of these compounds around $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentrations of small molecules. Therefore, these features rather limit inhibitors' further application, but encourages to further work on elongated inhibitors' structure to keep both: low half maximal effective concentration and infinitesimal cytotoxicity.

## 3. Conclusions and Perspectives

Direct clinical evidence that cancer can be treated through the manipulation of immunity has emerged from the recent clinical success of inhibitors that target immunological checkpoint proteins. Among them, PD-1/PD-L1 immune-checkpoint targeting therapy is becoming the pathway utilized to modulate immune responses. As an alternative to mAbs, small-molecule drugs are arising as promising immunological drug candidates, providing novel strategies for tumor treatment. Better tumor penetration, fewer immune-related adverse effects, and improved oral availability are just some of the advantages associated with the use of small molecules. In addition, the use of small molecular inhibitors could not only improve treatment efficacy but also reduce the high cost of therapy. The simplicity of small molecules preparation and not as rigorous as mAbs' storage conditions strengthened their candidacy on the position of immunological strategies of cancer treatment.

The research presented in this thesis concerns the design, preparation, and characterization of potential PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors. The first synthetic subject of attention was the preparation of 2 H -benzo $[\mathrm{b}][1,4]$ oxazin- $3(4 \mathrm{H})$-one's derivatives. The interaction of obtained compounds with PD-L1 protein was preliminarily tested due to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. The observed broadening of the peaks proved the effect of ligand-induced interaction with protein, although it was evident that the proposed compounds are only weak binders. The weak activity of compounds was also affirmed by HTRF technique, which confirmed the need for further scaffold evaluation.

In the next phase of work, biphenyl-based small-molecule inhibitors of the PD-1/PD-L1 were synthesized. The structure of the inhibitor core was optimized due to techniques such as molecular docking, weak AIDA NMR, and HTRF. The application of such methods allows to point out the preferable substituents' arrangement within 1,1'-biphenyl scaffold. The optimized short fragments were further extended to obtain a wide range of 29 final inhibitors. All compounds were characterized by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR},{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}$, LCMS, and HRMS techniques. The preliminary biochemical activity of the prepared molecules was assessed due to HTRF assay, which allowed to estimate the percentage of undissociated PD-1/PD-L1 complex. The compounds with the most promising results were further evaluated in the PD-1/PD-L1 immune checkpoint blockade cell-based assay. Analyses of obtained activities proved, that most of the tested compounds, as well as durvalumab possess the ability to boost effector cell activation, but at much greater concentrations than the antibody. In the tests performed, inhibitor 3.17 emerged as the best of all tested compounds. $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}$ was calculated to have the lowest $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value
of $6.6 \mu \mathrm{M} \pm 0.8 \mathrm{M}$ and the highest degree of Jurkat T cell activation (2.1-fold at 50 M compound concentration). The most prominent compound $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}$ provided also satisfying results in the cell viability assay, thus being not toxic up to $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ final concentration (Konieczny et al., 2020). This is a much superior outcome than for the BMS-1166 compound (Skalniak et al., 2017, Figure 2a), as BMS-1166 reduced cell viability by $50 \%$ at a concentration of $40 \mu \mathrm{M}$ while other BMS compounds did so at even lower inhibitor concentrations.

The next chapter of the presented thesis comprises the preparation of extended PD-L1 antagonists. The proposed generation of the inhibitors involves the modification of compounds' structure based on the idea to increase the solubility of the inhibitors. Therefore, to increase this factor, the structure of the compounds was elongated and equipped with the second solubilizing group. The first of the proposed structure was prepared as 2,3-dihydro-1H-indene derivative. Evaluation of in vitro activity of 2,3-dihydro-1H-indene based inhibitor involved HTRF and ICB cell-based assays. However, the proposed modification of the scaffold did not meet the desired expectations. The introduction of 2,3-dihydro-1H-indene ring and its connection via ethenyl moiety with the third aromatic ring caused that compound has decreased affinity towards PD-L1 target.

Subsequently, the described work draws attention to 3,4-dihydro-2Hbenzo[b][1,4]oxazine derivatives as potential PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors. The convergent synthesis allowed to obtain 8 final products with molecules' core based on 3,4-dihydro-2Hbenzo[b][1,4]oxazine. However, also at this stage, the introduction of 3,4-dihydro-2Hbenzo[b][1,4]oxazine moiety linked to the rest of the scaffold via an amide bond, proved to be highly inadvisable. The generated rigidity of the scaffold causes compounds are not flexible enough to reach the protein binding hot spot.

Consequently, further development of the elongated inhibitors and proposal of pseudo-$\mathrm{C}_{2}$-symmetric inhibitors emerged. Three new general structures II.VII-IX of potential PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors were proposed as a consequence of the modification of compound $\mathbf{1 . 5 9}$ ARB272542. 16 of obtained within this project inhibitors were tested in HTRF and most of them were subjected to ICB cell-based assay, to determine their affinity towards the target. The obtained results clearly demonstrated improved properties of antagonists. Calculated $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values showed that most of the presented compounds were characterized with maximal half inhibitory value in the low nanomolar range. Five from obtained compounds - 7.31-7.33, 7.35, and 7.37 featured with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values below 1 nM . In addition, analyses of $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values showed that six of the synthesized within this group molecules $7.31-7.36$ possess maximal half
inhibitory values in the range of $0-500 \mathrm{nM}$. The best of the compound exhibit ability to unleash TCR signaling with an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value of 54 nM .

Analyses of the best results obtained within performed research are presented in the Figure 55 and in the Table 14.

## THE CORELLATION OF IN VITRO ACTIVITY OF PD1/PD-L1 INHIBITORS



Figure 55. The comparison of the potency of PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors known in literature and for the synthetized PD-L1 antagonists. The graph correlates $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ vales from HTRF assay and $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values from ICB assay. Various classes of compounds were visualized in different colours: blue - small molecules known from literature, violetpeptides, pink - antibodies, green - synthetised molecules. The numerical data on the basis of which the chart was presented have been collected in Table 14.

Table 14. The correlation of the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values of representative PD-L1 inhibitors known from the literature and for the synthetized PD-L1 antagonists. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values and $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values were determined due to HTRF and ICB assay respectively. (Skalniak et al., 2017; Konieczny et al., 2020; Muszak et al., 2021; Park et al., 2021; Magiera K. et al., 2017; Basu et al., 2019).

| Compounds | IC50 (HTRF) | EC50 (ICB) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| durvalumab | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| nivolumab | 0.2 | 1.3 |
| 1.2 (BMS-57) | 9.0 | 566 |
| 1.3 (BMS-71) | 7.0 | 293 |
| 1.17-BMS1166 | 1.4 | 276 |
| 1.49 | 0.5 | 1026 |
| 1.56 - LH1306 | 25.0 | 4214 |
| 1.57-LH1307 | 3.0 | 763 |
| 1.59-ARB 272542 | 0.4 | 19 |
| 3.17 | 15.0 | 6632 |
| 7.29 | 1.11 | 1255 |
| 7.31 | 0.6 | 225 |
| 7.32 | 0.9 | 321 |
| 7.33 | 0.05 | 334 |
| 7.34 | 6.1 | 219 |


| $\mathbf{7 . 3 5}$ | 0.5 | 54 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7 . 3 6}$ | 13.6 | 491 |
| $\mathbf{7 . 3 7}$ | 0.2 | 1238 |

The obtained PD-L1 antagonists are correlated to the previously published, outstanding examples of PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors. Well-known and novel PD-L1 inhibitors were compared with their $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values determine due to HTRF and ICB assays. The investigation proved that the best of synthesized novel PD-L1 inhibitors show similar activity to the previously known small molecular references of PD-L1 inhibitors. Research proved that apart from the difficulties of targeting flat and hydrophobic site of PD-1/PD-L1 interaction it is possible to disrupt PD-1/PD-L1 pathway with the use of small molecules. However, to further enhance small-molecule activity and avoid cytotoxic effects, additional evaluation of the smallmolecules' structure is still needed.

## 4. Materials and Methods

### 4.1. General Information - Organic Synthesis

All solvents, reagents, and starting materials were purchased from commercial sources and were used without prior purification, unless otherwise noted in the procedure. Anhydrous magnesium sulfate was used to dry the solutions. Argon was used as an inert gas. The progress of the reaction was monitored by Thin-layer chromatography (TLC), performed on silica plates ( $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ ). Chromatograms were visualized with UV radiation ( 254 nm ) or by heating the plates previously placed in solutions with particular TLC stains. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance 300 or 600 MHz spectrometers with the report of chemical shifts $(\delta)$ in ppm and coupling constants ( $J$ ) in Hz. Chemical shifts were related to the internal standard TMS with a singlet at $\delta 0 \mathrm{ppm}$ and were analysed in correspondence to the solvent peaks (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, MeOD- $\mathrm{d}_{4}$ ). Infrared spectra were determined with a Nicolet IR200 spectrometer using ATR technique. High resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) spectra were performed on microTOF-QII spectrometer using ESI ionisation mode. The UPLC-MS measurements were performed on the UPLC Waters Acquity chromatographic system (column: Acquity UPLC BEH C ${ }_{18} 1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ particle size, $2.1 \mathrm{~mm} \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}$, equipped with Acquity UPLC BEH $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ VanGuard pre-column: $1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ particle size, $2.1 \mathrm{~mm} \times 5 \mathrm{~mm}$; method: 12 min , linear gradient $95 \%-0 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1 \% \mathrm{HCOOH})$ with acetonitrile $(0.1 \% \mathrm{HCOOH}), 0.3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, detector: Waters Acquity PDE e $\lambda$ UV/Vis). The UPLC Waters Acquity chromatographic system was coupled with Waters TQD mass analyser. The purity of final compounds determined using chromatographic LC-MS was over $95 \%$. Purification of some compounds involved the use of Grace Reveleris X2 Flash Chromatography System with Grace Resolv Silica Cartridges. Melting points were recorded on an Ascon-M5 apparatus.

### 4.2. Synthetic Protocols

### 4.2.1. Synthesis of $\mathbf{2 H}$-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-3(4H)-one's Derivative

## 2-(5-bromo-2-nitrophenoxy)acetonitrile (2.1)



5-bromo-2-nitrophenol ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 18.35$ ) and potassium carbonate ( 6.81
$\mathrm{g}, 49.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were placed in the round bottom flask under argon.
Then, anhydrous DMF ( 25 mL ) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 minutes. Afterwards, bromoacetonitrile ( $1.58 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.72 \mathrm{~g}$,
22.67 mmol ) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours, then it was allowed to cool to room temperature and it was stirred overnight. Solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $0-100 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane). A yellow solid 2.1 ( 2.37 g , yield: $50 \%$ ) was obtained as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 150.1$, 139.7, 128.8, 127.4, 127.1, 120.1, 113.7, 55.5.

## 7-bromo-2H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-3(4H)-one (2.2)



To the mixture of $\mathbf{2 . 1}(2.37 \mathrm{~g}, 9.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ and acetic acid ( 46 mL ), iron ( $3.03 \mathrm{~g}, 56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added portionwise. The resulted mixture was refluxed for 2 hours and then it was filtered through Celite to separate iron residues. The filtrate was cooled to room temperature, it was diluted with water ( 100 mL ) and it was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $0-100 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane). A brown solid 2.2 ( 1.82 g , yield: $86 \%$ ) was obtained as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}) \delta 10.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 164.9,144.6,127.3,125.5$, 119.4, 117.7, 114.2, 67.2.

## 2-bromo-1-morpholinoethan-1-one (2.3)

 Morpholine ( $1.73 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.73 \mathrm{~g}, 19.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to the solution of bromoacetyl bromide ( $0.86 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 9.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 66 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 25 minutes of stirring at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ was added dropwise. Afterwards, water ( 100 mL ) was added to the reaction mixture and it was washed with dichlorometane ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. A colorless oil was obtained as 2.3 ( $2.08 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.49(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 165.6,66.7,66.4,47.3,42.5,25.5$.

## 7-bromo-4-(2-morpholino-2-oxoethyl)-2H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-3(4H)-one (2.4)


$2.2(0.80 \mathrm{~g}, 3.51 \mathrm{mmol})$ and potassium carbonate $(1.94 \mathrm{~g}, 14.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ were placed in the round bottom flask under argon and anhydrous DMF ( 12 mL ) was added. Then, $2.3(1.80 \mathrm{~g}, 8.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise and reaction mixture was heated overnight at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After that time, solvent was removed under reduced pressure and water ( 100 mL ) was added. Extraction with ethyl acetate ( 100 mL x 2 ) was followed to separate the phases. The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $0-100 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane). A colorless solid $2.4(0.54 \mathrm{~g}$, yield: $44 \%$ ) was obtained as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-$ $3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.66-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.59-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 164.8,164.8,145.9,128.5,125.9,120.4,116.3,116.3,67.6,66.9,66.5,45.6$, 43.0, 42.6.

## 7-(3-(hydroxymethyl)phenyl)-4-(2-morpholino-2-oxoethyl)-2H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-3(4H)-one (2.5)


2.4 ( $450 \mathrm{mg}, 1.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), (3-(hydroxymethyl)phenyl)boronic acid ( $230 \mathrm{mg}, 1.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and potassium carbonate $(525 \mathrm{mg}, 3.80$ mmol ) were dissolved in mixture of dioxane and water ( $8: 4 \mathrm{~mL}$, $\mathrm{v}: \mathrm{v})$. The mixture was deoxygenated by rinsing with argon for 15 min. Then, resulting mixture was heated up to $85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{DCM}$ complex ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was stirred at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours. Afterwards, water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the reaction mixture and it was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $0-100 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to give a colorless solid as 2.5 ( 385 mg , yield: $79 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $7.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-$ $7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.76(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), $3.75-3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 165.2,164.9,145.5,141.7,140.3,137.4,129.3,128.4,126.2,126.2,125.5,121.7$, $115.8,115.4,67.8,67.0,66.6,65.4,45.6,43.0,42.7$.

3-bromo-4-((3-(4-(2-morpholino-2-oxoethyl)-3-oxo-3,4-dihydro-2H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-7-yl)benzyl)oxy)benzaldehyde (2.6)

2.5 ( $363 \mathrm{mg}, 0.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dry DCM ( 4 mL ) and it was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(1.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added portionwise. Resulted mixture was refluxed for 3 hours. After that time, $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and it was washed with DCM ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Organic layers were extracted with water ( 50 mL ), combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. After drying of the product, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $263 \mathrm{~g}, 1.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3-bromo-4hydroxybenzaldehyde ( $197 \mathrm{mg}, 0.98 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous DMF ( 5 mL ) were added and resulted mixture was stirred overnight at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Afterwards, mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, water was added $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and it was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $0-100 \% \mathrm{AcOEt}$ in hexane). The product was obtained as a colorless solid ( $307 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $9.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87$ (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.71$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 189.7, 165.2, $164.8,159.8,145.6,140.5,137.0,136.3,134.9,131.2,129.5,128.6,126.9,126.1,125.5,121.7$, $115.8,115.5,113.5,113.2,77.2,71.1,67.8,67.0,66.6,45.6,43.0,42.7$.
(2S,4R)-1-(3-bromo-4-((3-(4-(2-morpholino-2-oxoethyl)-3-oxo-3,4-dihydro-2H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-7-yl)benzyl)oxy)benzyl)-4-hydroxypyrrolidine-2-carboxylic acid (2.7)

$\mathbf{2 . 6}$ ( $167 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (2S,4R)-4-hydroxypyrrolidine-2carboxylic acid ( $253 \mathrm{mg}, 1.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 5 mL ) and AcOH ( 8 droplets) was added. The resulted mixture was stirred for 3 hours at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After that time,
$\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(79 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and reaction mixture was stirred overnight at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, 4:1, AcOEt: MeOH ) to give the product 2.7 as a colorless solid (50 $\mathrm{mg}, 25 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 7.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.43(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), $7.36-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.86$
(s, 2H), $4.74(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.46-3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.75$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC $-\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{DAD} / \mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.60 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{BrN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $680.38 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 680.16. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{BrN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$found: $702.1421 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 702.1427.

### 4.2.2. Synthesis of Biphenyl Based Small-molecule Inhibitors of the PD-1/PD-L1 Immune Checkpoint

## 2-bromo-1-iodo-3-methylbenzene (3.1.1.1)



Mixture of $95 \%$ sulfuric acid ( 3.3 mL ) and water ( 12.2 mL ) was placed in the round bottom, three-neck flask and it was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the ice bath. Afterwards, 2-bromo-3-methylaniline ( $3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 16.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion. To the resulted mixture, aqueous solution of sodium nitrate ( $19 \%, 4.4 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added dropwise over 30 minutes and then solution was allowed to stir at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Subsequently, an aqueous solution of potassium iodine ( $39 \%, 4.4 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added carefully to the reaction mixture. The reaction was stopped after 1 h of stirring at room temperature. Saturated aqueous solution of sodium thiosulfate ( 50 mL ) was added and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $100 \mathrm{~mL} x$ 3). Organic layers were collected together, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $0-20 \%$ AcOEt in hexane) to give orange oil ( 3.27 g , yield: $68 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50$ (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 140.3$, 138.1, 131.8, 130.3, 128.6, 102.6, 26.20. (Konieczny et al., 2020).

## 2-bromo-3-methyl-1,1'-biphenyl (3.1.1)


3.1.1.1 $(5.63 \mathrm{~g}, 18.98 \mathrm{mmol})$, phenylboronic acid ( $2.32 \mathrm{~g}, 19.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium carbonate $(9.84 \mathrm{~g}, 71.27 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{DCM}(0.17 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.08 mmol ) were placed in a round-bottom flask under argon. Resulted mixture was solubilized in a mixture of degassed dioxane/water ( $0.5 \mathrm{M}, 2: 1, \mathrm{v}: \mathrm{v}$ ). Reaction was conducted under argon atmosphere by stirring at $85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during first 4 h . Then stirring was continued overnight at room temperature. Afterwards, crude mixture was diluted with AcOEt and filtered through Celite. Filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification
involved flash chromatography (silica gel, 0-20\% AcOEt in hexane) and yielded 3.1.1 (3.06 g, $65 \%$ ) as colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.48-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 143.5, 142.2, 139.0, 129.8, 129.5, 128.8, 128.0, 127.5, 126.9, 125.5, 24.4. (Konieczny et al., 2020).

## 2-bromo-3-(bromomethyl)-1,1'-biphenyl (3.1)


3.1.1 ( $970 \mathrm{mg}, 3.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and NBS ( $776 \mathrm{mg}, 4.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were placed in the round bottom flask and were dissolved in tetrachloromethane ( 86 mL ). Resulted mixture was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Afterwards, benzoyl peroxide ( 17 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. After 2 h of refluxing, second portion of benzoyl peroxide ( 17 mg , 0.07 mmol ) was added to the reaction. Mixture was refluxed for 2 more hours, it was cooled to room temperature and it was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $0-15 \% \mathrm{AcOEt}$ in hexane) to give colorless oil (758 mg, yield: 59\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.47$ (dd, $J=7.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.45-7.36$ (m, 5 H ), $7.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 144.5,141.5,138.0,131.5,130.5,129.5,128.1,127.9,125.1$. (Konieczny et al., 2020).

## (2,3-dibromophenyl)methanol (3.2.1)

 2,3-dibromobenzoic acid ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 14.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 15 mL ) and it was cooled to ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To the ice-cooled resulted solution $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{THF}$ complex ( $1 \mathrm{M}, 21.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added dropwise over a period of 3 hours. Solution was allowed to warm to room temperature and was stirred for additional 20 h . Subsequently, the mixture was poured into cooled solution of hydrochloric acid (1M, 100 mL ) and then it was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were collected together, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ filtered and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $0-100 \% \mathrm{AcOEt}$ in hexane) to give colorless solid ( 3.36 g , yield: $91 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.47-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 142.7, 132.8, 128.7, 127.2, 125.9, 124.5, 66.2. (Konieczny et al., 2020).

## [1,1':2',1''-terphenyl]-3'-ylmethanol (3.2)


3.2.1 $(3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 11.32 \mathrm{mmol})$, potassium carbonate ( $7.85 \mathrm{~g} ; 56.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), phenylboronic acid $(4.13 \mathrm{~g}, 33.96 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{DCM}(0.10 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.05 mmol ) were placed in a round-bottom flask under argon. Resulted mixture was solubilized in a mixture of degassed dioxane/water $(0.5 \mathrm{M}$, 2:1, v:v). Reaction was conducted under argon atmosphere by stirring at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during first 3 h . Then stirring was continued overnight at room temperature. Afterwards, crude mixture was diluted with AcOEt and filtered through Celite. Filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was chromatographed on silica gel eluting with $0-40 \% \mathrm{AcOEt}$ in hexane to give 2.41 g of an colorless solid with yield: $82 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.58$ (dd, $J=7.6,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.19$ (m, 3H), $7.17-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.54(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 142.0,141.6,139.6,139.2,138.9,130.4,129.9,129.7$, $128.0,127.9,127.6,127.1,126.9,126.4,63.8$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ found: $283.1092 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 283.1099. (Konieczny et al., 2020).

## (3-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxin-6-yl)-2-fluorophenyl)methanol (3.3)



Reaction was conducted in the similar manner as described in case of 3.1.1 (yielded 3.3: $2.46 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ : 7.37 (td, $J=7.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 157.6$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, 1 J_{\mathrm{CF}}=247.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 143.5,130.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.0,128.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.6(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 124.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 122.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 118.1$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 117.4,64.6(2 \mathrm{C}), 59.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{FO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$found: $283.0740 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 283.0746. (Konieczny et al., 2020).

## 2-bromo-4'-isocyano-3-methyl-1,1'-biphenyl (3.4.1)



Reaction was conducted in the similar manner as described in case of 3.1.1 (yielded 3.4.1: $1.86 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.69(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.8$, $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 146.7,141.5,139.4,131.9,130.8$, 130.4, 128.4, 127.2, 124.7, 118.9, 111.4, 24.2. (Konieczny et al., 2020).

## 2-bromo-3-(bromomethyl)-4'-isocyano-1,1'-biphenyl (3.4)



The compound was obtained in the similar way as depicted in case of 3.1 (yielded 3.4: $0.99 \mathrm{~g}, 43 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.75-$ 7.71 (m, 2H), 7.52 (dd, $J=7.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.48$ (m, 2H), 7.38 $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 145.9,142.6,138.5,132.0,131.3,131.0,130.4,127.9,124.4,118.9,111.9,34.3$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$found: $371.8996 \mathrm{~m} / z$; calc. mass: 371.8999. (Konieczny et al., 2020).

## 2-bromo-3'-fluoro-3-methyl-1,1'-biphenyl (3.5.1)



Reaction was conducted in the similar way as depicted in case of 3.1.1 (yielded 3.5.1: $3.25 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.41$ (td, $J=$ $7.9,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.6,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16$ $-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 162.3\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=245.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 144.1$ (d, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 142.1,139.0,130.1,129.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.5,126.8,125.2\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}\right.$ $=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 125.0,116.6\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=21.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 114.3\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=21.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, 24.2. (Konieczny et al., 2020).

## 2-bromo-3-(bromomethyl)-3'-fluoro-1,1'-biphenyl (3.5)



Reaction was conducted in the similar way as described for $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ (yielded 3.5: $2.65 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.48$ (dd, J $=7.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24$ (dd, $J=7.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.13(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.7,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 162.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=246.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 143.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $143.2,138.2,131.3,130.7,129.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 127.7,125.3\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 124.8$, $116.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=22.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 114.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=20.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 34.6$. (Konieczny et al., 2020)

## 6-(2-bromo-3-methylphenyl)-2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine (3.6.1)



The compound was obtained identically to the compound 3.1.1 (yielded: 3.6.1: $1.82 \mathrm{~g}, 64 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.25-$ $7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96-6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 8.3, 2.0 Hz, 1H), $4.33(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 143.1,143.0,142.8$, $138.9,135.6,129.6,128.9,126.8,125.6,122.8,118.5,116.8,64.6,64.5,24.4$. (Konieczny et al., 2020).

## 6-(2-bromo-3-(bromomethyl)phenyl)-2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine (3.6)



The compound was obtained identically to the compound $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ (yielded: 3.6: $0.90 \mathrm{~g}, 41 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.43$ (dd, $J=7.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{~s}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 143.9,143.4,143.1,137.9,134.8,131.6,130.2,127.5$, 125.3, 122.8, 118.5, 116.9, 64.6, 35.0. (Konieczny et al., 2020).

## (2-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methanol (3.7)



Suzuki coupling was carried out in the similar manner as described in case of 3.1.1 (yielded 3.7: $1.53 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ : $7.57-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 157.6\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=\right.$ 248.0 Hz ), 135.7, 130.4 (d, $J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 129.2 (m, 2C), 128.7, 128.6 (m, 3C), 128.4 (d, $J=$ $4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 127.9,124.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 59.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{FO}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$found: $225.0685 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 225.0692. (Konieczny et al., 2020).

## 4-((2-bromo-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methoxy)benzaldehyde (3.8)


3.1 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde ( 75 mg , 0.62 mmol ), and potassium carbonate ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 1.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were stirred in anhydrous DMF ( 3 mL ) at room temperature overnight. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Water was added ( 30 mL ), and the mixture was extracted with AcOEt $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. Organic layers were combined and concentrated. Crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-100 \%$ AcOEt in hexane) and crystallized from cyclohexane to yield 3.8 ( $97 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \%$ ) as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 9.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.47-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 190.8,163.4,143.7,141.1,136.1,132.1,130.9,130.4,129.4,128.1$, $127.8,127.5,127.4,122.6,115.2,70.4$. IR (ATR): 2916, 2849, 2835, 1684, 1598, 15911508 , 1422, 1260, 1164, $1046 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS ESI-MSq-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$found: $389.0148 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 389.0153 . Mp: $87.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=8.78 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $367.18 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 367.03 .

## 3-bromo-4-((2-bromo-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methoxy)benzaldehyde (3.9)



Compound 3.9 was prepared following the procedure for 3.8. Preparation involved the use of $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ ( $220 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3-bromo-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde ( $136 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and potassium carbonate ( $187 \mathrm{mg}, 1.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), which were stirred in anhydrous DMF ( 3 mL ) at room temperature overnight. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-100 \%$ AcOEt in hexane) and yielded 3.9 (119 mg, 39\%) as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 9.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69$ (d, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.49-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.32$ (dd, $J=7.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.36(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 189.7,159.5,143.6,141.1,135.7,134.8,131.3$, 131.3, 130.9, 129.6, 128.2, 127.9, 127.7, 127.2, 121.9, 113.4, 113.1, 71.1. IR (ATR): 3082, 2923, 2852, 1683, 1593, 1492, 1276, 1256, 1185, $1050 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$found: $466.9249 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass, 466.9258 . $\mathrm{Mp}: 151.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. UPLC -MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=9.37 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $447.07 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 446.94 .

## 4-((2-bromo-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methoxy)-3-methylbenzaldehyde (3.10)



Compound $\mathbf{3 . 1 0}$ was obtained according to the procedure of $\mathbf{3 . 8}$, using 3.1 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-hydroxy-3methylbenzaldehyde ( $84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium carbonate ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 1.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and anhydrous DMF ( 3 mL ). The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-100 \%$ AcOEt in hexane) and was crystallized from cyclohexane to yield $\mathbf{3 . 1 0}$ ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%$ ) as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 9.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.76 (d, $J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $7.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 191.3,161.7,143.7,141.3,136.6,131.8,130.8,130.1,129.6,128.2$, 128.1, 127.9, 127.5, 127.3, 122.5, 111.2, 70.4, 16.7. IR (ATR): 2821, 1679, 1599, 1260, 1240, $1125, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$found: $403.0304 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 403.0309. Mp: $144.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. UPLC -MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=9.31 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $381.20 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 381.05 .

## 4-((2-bromo-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methoxy)-3-methoxybenzaldehyde (3.11)



Compound $\mathbf{3 . 1 1}$ was prepared following the procedure for $\mathbf{3 . 8}$. Preparation involved the use of $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-hydroxy-3- methoxybenzaldehyde ( $141 \mathrm{mg}, 0.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and
potassium carbonate ( $255 \mathrm{mg}, 1.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), which were stirred in anhydrous DMF ( 3 mL ) at room temperature overnight. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-100 \%$ AcOEt in hexane) and yielded 3.11 ( $192 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ ) as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 9.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53$ (ddd, $J=10.8,5.8,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.49-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, $7.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.37(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 191.1,153.4,150.2,143.6,141.3,136.2,130.8,130.7,129.6,128.2$, 127.8, 127.6, 127.3, 126.8, 122.2, 112.6, 109.6, 71.0, 56.3. IR (ATR): 2916, 2850, 2834, 1683, $1588,1507,1421,1265,1235,1134,1031 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$found: $419.0253 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 419.0259 . Mp: $109.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. UPLC $-\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{DAD} / \mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=$ 8.50 min , for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $397.22 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 397.04.

## methyl 3-bromo-4-((2-bromo-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methoxy)benzoate (3.12)



Compound $\mathbf{3 . 1 2}$ was prepared according to the protocol for 3.8. Preparation involved the use of $\mathbf{3 . 1}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol})$, methyl 3-bromo-4-hydroxybenzoate ( $142 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and potassium carbonate ( $169 \mathrm{mg}, 1.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), which were stirred in anhydrous DMF ( 5 mL ) at room temperature overnight. The crude product was purified by precipitation from AcOEt to give $\mathbf{3 . 1 2}$ ( $131 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \%$ ) as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ : $8.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $7.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 165.7,158.3,143.4,141.1,135.9,135.0,130.6,129.5,128.0,127.7$, 127.5, 127.1, 124.3, 121.8, 112.5, 112.1, 70.8, 52.2. IR (ATR): 2948, 2163, 1981, 1781, 1599, 1498, 1431, 1363, 1311, 1269, 1240, 1108, $1054 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$found: $496.9447 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 496.9364 . $\mathrm{Mp}: 160.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. UPLC -MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=9.99 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $475.12 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 474.95 .

## N-(2-((3-bromo-4-((2-bromo-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methoxy)benzyl)amino)ethyl)acetamide

 (3.13)

A solution of 3.9 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), N -(2aminoethyl)acetamide ( $209 \mathrm{mg}, 2.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with addition of AcOH (3 droplets) was stirred in anhydrous DMF (4
$\mathrm{mL})$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Then, $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(132 \mathrm{mg}, 2.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, and the resulted mixture was stirred additionally for 20 h . The residue was concentrated under reduced pressure. Water was added ( 30 mL ), and the mixture was extracted with $\operatorname{AcOEt}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. Organic layers
were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-30 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give an off-white solid ( 126 mg , yield: $53 \%$ ) as a product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta: 7.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6$, $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.30$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{q}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , DMSO) $\delta: 169.7$, 153.6, 143.4, 141.2, 137.0, 133.2, 131.3, 129.7, 129.3, 128.8, 128.6, 128.2, 122.9, 114.2, 111.4, 71.0, 51.5, 48.2, 38.7, 23.1. IR (ATR): 3280, 3051, 2937, 2829, 2659, 1654, 1556, 1499, 1445, 1369, 1282, 1259, 1056 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $531.0274 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 531.0283. Mp: $142.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=6.13 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ found: $531.22 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 531.03.
(S)-1-(4-((2-bromo-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methoxy)benzyl)piperidine-2-carboxylic acid (3.14)
Compound 3.14 was prepared following the protocol of 3.13,
$\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(72 \mathrm{mg}, 1.23 \mathrm{mmol})$, AcOH ( 3 droplets) , and

DMF ( 4 mL ). The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $0-50 \%$ MeOH in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give a colorless solid ( 77 mg , yield: $65 \%$ ) as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}) \delta: 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta: 158.4,143.5,141.3$, $137.2,131.8,131.4,129.7,129.5,128.6,128.2,123.4,115.0,79.6,70.3,58.3,49.6,28.5,23.9$, 22.2. IR (ATR): 3055, 2940, 2862, 2352, 2251, 1610, 1513, 1447, 1413, 1386, 1242, 1180, $1028 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS ESI-MS-qTOF for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{BrNO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $480.1169 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 480.1174. Mp: $182.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=6.18 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{BrNO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ found: $480.24 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 480.12 .

## (S)-1-(4-((2-bromo-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methoxy)-3-methylbenzyl)piperidine-2-

 carboxylic acid (3.15)

Compound 3.15 was obtained according to the procedure of 3.13, using $\mathbf{3 . 1 0}$ ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), L-pipecolinic acid ( 140 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(69 \mathrm{mg}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{AcOH}(3$ droplets), and DMF ( 4 mL ). The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica
gel, $0-50 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give a yellow solid ( 65 mg , yield: $56 \%$ ) as a product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.06(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=55.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=55.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 157.8,143.5,141.3,137.0,134.3,130.9,130.6,129.6,128.1,127.9,127.8,127.3,127.2$, $122.4,111.6,70.1,58.5,50.9,29.8,28.2,22.8,22.1,16.7$. IR (ATR): 2943, 2862, 2390, 2250, 1613, $1505,1447,1410,1288,1258,1137,1003 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{BrNO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $494.1325 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 494.1330 . Mp: $106.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. UPLC -MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=6.54 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{BrNO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $494.26 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 494.1330 .

## (S)-1-(4-((2-bromo-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methoxy)-3-methoxybenzyl)piperidine-2carboxylic acid (3.16)



Compound $\mathbf{3 . 1 6}$ was obtained as described for 3.13. Preparation involved the use of $\mathbf{3 . 1 1}$ ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), L-pipecolinic acid ( $149 \mathrm{mg}, 1.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(74 \mathrm{mg}, 1.26 \mathrm{mmol})$, AcOH ( 3 droplets), and DMF ( 4 mL ). The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $0-50 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give a colorless solid ( 93 mg , yield: $72 \%$ ) as a product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta: 7.60(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.53(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, DMSO) $\delta: 149.4,147.9,143.4,141.3,137.4$, 131.4, 129.7, 129.3, 128.6, 128.2, 123.3, 123.1, 114.5, 113.7, 79.6, 71.0, 58.5, 56.1, 49.7, 28.3, 23.6, 22.1. IR (ATR): 3345, 3055, 2939, 2867, 2394, 2251, 1633, 1606, 1517, 1448, 1416, 1268, 1238, 1102, $1029 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $510.1274 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: $510.1280 . \mathrm{Mp}: 154.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=6.10 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $510.28 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 510.13.

## (S)-1-(3-bromo-4-((2-bromo-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methoxy)benzyl)piperidine-2carboxylic acid (3.17)

${ }^{\text {но }} \cong$ О Compound 3.17 was prepared following the protocol of 3.13,
 using 3.9 ( $119 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), L-pipecolinic acid ( $158 \mathrm{mg}, 1.22$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(79 \mathrm{mg}, 1.33 \mathrm{mmol})$, and AcOH (3 droplets), which were stirred in DMF ( 4 mL ). The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $0-50 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give a colorless solid ( 77 mg , yield: $51 \%$ ) as the product.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.600 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O\right) ~ \delta: ~ 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.50-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.86-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , DMSO) $\delta: 153.4,142.9,140.7,136.5,133.7,130.9,129.8,129.2,128.3,128.2,127.7$, $122.4,113.6,110.9,70.6,64.4,57.8,49.2,28.7,24.4,22.0$. IR (ATR): 3649, 3075, 2941, 2859, 2392, 2251, 1621, 1496, 1411, 1288, 1260, 1102, $1055 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$found: $580.0097 \mathrm{~m} / z$; calc. mass: 580.0099 . $\mathrm{Mp}: 129.1{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=6.51 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $558.20 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 558.02.

## 4-(3-(3-bromo-4-((2-bromo-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methoxy)benzamido)propyl)morpholin-

 4-ium chloride (3.18)
3.18 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DBU ( $350 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) were stirred in 3- morpholinopropan-1-amine ( 1.5 mL ) at room temperature for 2 days. Afterward, the reaction mixture was solubilized with $\mathrm{AcOEt}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$.
Following that, water was added ( $30 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) to wash out the excess of amine from organic layers. Organic layers were dried, combined, and concentrated. Purification involved column chromatography (silica gel, $0-10 \% \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ in MeOH ). After purification, compound was converted into the corresponding hydrochloride salt, and product was precipitated from AcOEt to give 3.18 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%$ ) as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 8.14(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.37$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{t}, J=4.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.9,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.74(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 165.6,157.1,143.5,141.2,136.2,132.1,130.7,129.6$, 129.0, 128.2, 128.2, 127.8, 127.6, 127.2, 121.9, 113.0, 112.3, 70.9, 67.1, 59.2, 54.1, 41.1, 23.9. IR (ATR): 3460, 3299, 2951, 2856, 2822, 1626, 1604, 1553, 1497, 1285, 1267, 1118, 1056 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right]^{+}[\mathrm{M}]$ found: $587.0556 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 587.0545. Mp: $128.9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. UPLC $-\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{DAD} / \mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=6.75 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} 7 \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right]^{+}[\mathrm{M}]$ found: $587.12 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 587.05 .
 (3.19)

Compound 4 a was prepared as described in the protocol of $\mathbf{3 . 8}$, using $\quad 3.6 \quad(250 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.65 \mathrm{mmol})$, 3 - bromo-4hydroxybenzaldehyde ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium carbonate ( $180 \mathrm{mg}, 1.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and anhydrous DMF ( 4 mL ). The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-100 \%$ AcOEt in hexane) and yielded 3.19 ( $291 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta: 9.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64$ (dd, J = 7.6, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.52-7.44 (m, 2H), 7.35 (dd, $J=7.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.94 (d, $J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~s}, J=4.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta: 190.7,159.0,143.2,142.9,142.5,135.8,134.1,133.8$, $131.3,131.2,131.0,128.5,127.8,122.9$, 122.3, 118.0, 116.8, 114.1, 111.9, 71.2, 64.2. IR (ATR): 2983, 2952, 1685, 1598, 1494, 1314, 1275, 1258, 1243, 1190, $1062 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$found: $524.9348 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 524.9313 . Mp: $196.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=8.98 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $503.04 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 502.95 .

## (S)-1-(3-bromo-4-((2-bromo-3-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxin-6-yl)benzyl)oxy)benzyl) piperidine-2-carboxylic acid (3.20)



Compound 3.20 was prepared according to the procedure of 3.13, using 3.19 ( $310 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), L-pipecolinic acid ( 364 $\mathrm{mg}, 2.82 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(181 \mathrm{mg}, 3.07 \mathrm{mmol})$, addition of AcOH ( 3 droplets), and anhydrous DMF ( 4 mL ) as a solvent. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $0-50 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give a white solid ( 164 mg , yield: $43 \%$ ) as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta: 7.66-7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.06 (dd, $J=8.2,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, J=16.9,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86$ $-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~J}=12.6,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.57-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, DMSO) $\delta: 153.6,143.1,142.8,142.4,136.5,133.8,133.7$, $131.0,129.9,128.2,127.7,122.7,122.3,118.0,116.8,113.7,111.0,70.7,64.2,57.9,49.2,28.6$, 28.5, 24.1, 22.0. IR (ATR): 2932, 2864, 2356, 2323, 2286, 1728, 1634, 1589, 1500, 1454, 1368, 1315, 1278, 1260, 1246, 1197, $1065 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$
found: $616.0327 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 616.0334. Mp: decomposition at $191.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=6.25 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $616.23 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 616.03.

## 3-bromo-4-((3-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxin-6-yl)-2-fluorobenzyl)oxy)benzaldehyde (3.21)


3.3 ( $700 \mathrm{mg}, 2.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous DCM ( 10 mL ) under Ar. $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(3.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added carefully, and the resulted mixture was stirred at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 3 h the reaction was stopped, and the mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. Generated in situ chloride, 3-bromo-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde ( $1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 8.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 13.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ were stirred in anhydrous DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature overnight. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Water was added $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the mixture was extracted with $\operatorname{AcOEt}(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. Organic layers were combined and concentrated. The crude product was chromatographed on silica gel eluting with $0-60 \%$ AcOEt in hexane to give 180 mg of a colorless solid with a yield of $30 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 9.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ 8.3, 1.8 Hz, 1H), $6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.36(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(151 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 189.7,159.7,157.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=248.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 143.6\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 134.8,131.3$, 131.2, $130.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.8,128.7,128.7,127.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 124.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=\right.$ $3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.6\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 122.4,118.1,117.5,113.4,113.0,65.2\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, 64.6, 64.5. IR (ATR): 2927, 2823, 2738, 1691, 1592, 1493, 1450, 1323, 1278, 1191, 1064, 1047 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BrFO}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$found: $465.0109 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 465.0114. $\mathrm{Mp}: 118.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=8.56 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BrFO}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ found: $443.15 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 443.03.

## N-(2-((3-bromo-4-((3-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxin-6-yl)-2-fluorobenzyl)oxy)benzyl) amino)ethyl)acetamide (3.22)



Compound $\mathbf{3 . 2 2}$ was prepared following the procedure of $\mathbf{3 . 1 3}$, using $\mathbf{3 . 2 1}(120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$, N -(2-aminoethyl)acetamide ( $126 \mathrm{mg}, 1.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(79 \mathrm{mg}, 1.35 \mathrm{mmol})$, addition of AcOH (3 droplets), and anhydrous DMF (4 mL) as a solvent. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-30 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give a colorless solid ( 88 mg , yield: $61 \%$ ) as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(600 \mathrm{MHz}$,
$\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25$ (d, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{t}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97$ (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.1,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 171.0,157.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=248.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 154.6,143.6\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 133.8$, $131.5,130.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.1,128.8,128.6\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 127.9\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.2\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 124.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 124.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 122.4,118.1,117.4,113.9,112.8,65.0$ $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 64.6,64.5,52.1,47.9,38.7,23.4$. IR (ATR): 3283, 2925, 2803, 2163, 1692, $1655,1578,1502,1454,1417,1373,1319,1283,1261,1244,1192,1128,1066,1048 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{BrFN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $529.1135 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 529.1138. Mp: $121.6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.66 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{BrFN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ found: $529.22 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 529.11.

## 4-([1,1':2',1'-terphenyl]-3'-ylmethoxy)-3-bromobenzaldehyde (3.23)

 Compound $\mathbf{3 . 2 3}$ was prepared following the procedure of $\mathbf{3 . 2 1}$. Preparation of chloride involved the use of $1 \mathrm{~b}(300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.15 \mathrm{mmol})$, which was dissolved in anhydrous DCM ( 4.5 mL ) with the addition of SOCl2 ( 1.4 mL ) under Ar. In the second step, generated in situ chloride was stirred with 3-bromo-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde ( $231 \mathrm{mg}, 1.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and potassium carbonate ( $319 \mathrm{mg}, 2.31$ mmol ) in anhydrous DMF ( 3.3 mL ) at room temperature overnight. The crude product was chromatographed on silica gel, eluting with $0-60 \%$ AcOEt in hexane to give 308 mg of an offwhite solid with yield: $60 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 9.8(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.7(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.7(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.5(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.4(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.2-7.0(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 6.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.0(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 189.7$, $159.8,142.0,141.3,139.8,138.4,134.7,133.9,131.1,130.9,130.4,130.3,129.9,128.1,128.0$, 127.7, 127.3, 127.2, 126.5, 113.2, 112.9, 69.7. IR (ATR): 3029, 2733, 1685, 1592, 1285, 1263, 1194, $1005 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BrO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$found: $465.0465 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: $465.0466 . \mathrm{Mp}: 142.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=9.51 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BrO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+$ H] ${ }^{+}$found: $445.34 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 445.06.
(2S,4S)-1-(4-([1,1':2',1'-terphenyl]-3'-ylmethoxy)-3-bromobenzyl)-4-hydroxypyrrolidine-2-carboxylic acid (3.24)


The synthesis of compound $\mathbf{3 . 2 4}$ was performed following the procedure of 3.13. Preparation included the use of $\mathbf{3 . 2 3}$ ( 200 mg , 0.45 mmol ), ( $2 \mathrm{R}, 4 \mathrm{R}$ )-4- hydroxypyrrolidine-2-carboxylic acid ( $272 \mathrm{mg}, 2.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(142 \mathrm{mg}, 2.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), AcOH (3 droplets), and anhydrous DMF ( 6.0 mL ). The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $0-50 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in AcOEt ) to give a colorless solid ( 93 mg , yield: $37 \%$ ) as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.6-7.5(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.4(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.2-7.1(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.1-7.0(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.8$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.1(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.1(\mathrm{~s}, J=19.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.4(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.2(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.2(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 153.4,141.3,141.0,139.8,138.0,134.6,133.6,130.1,129.9,129.7$, 129.5, 129.0, 127.8, 127.7, 127.7, 127.7, 126.9, 126.4, 113.2, 110.7, 68.8, 68.6, 65.4, 60.7, 55.8, 48.6. IR (ATR): 3297, 3057, 2659, 1600, 1494, 1410, 1253, $1050 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$found: $580.1078 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 580.1099 . Mp: $152.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. UPLCMS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=6.55 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $558.27 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 558.13 .

## 2'-bromo-3'-((2-bromo-4-formylphenoxy)methyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carbonitrile (3.25)



The synthesis of compound $\mathbf{3 . 2 5}$ was performed according to the procedure of $\mathbf{3 . 8}$, using 3.4 ( $559 \mathrm{mg}, 1.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3- bromo-4hydroxybenzaldehyde ( $320 \mathrm{mg}, 1.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium carbonate ( $440 \mathrm{mg}, 3.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and anhydrous DMF ( 8 mL ). The product was precipitated from AcOEt and yielded 3.25 ( $461 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$ ) as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 9.88$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.50$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34$ (s, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 189.6,159.4,145.6,141.7,136.3,134.9,132.1,131.4$, $131.3,130.5,128.3,128.0,121.3,118.8,113.4,113.1,111.9,70.9$. IR (ATR): 2844, 2227, 1688, 1590, 1566, 1489, 1276, 1256, 1184, $1050 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$found: $491.9203 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 491.9211 . Mp: over $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. UPLCMS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=8.71 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $469.93 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 469.94.

## N-(2-((3-bromo-4-((2-bromo-4'-cyano-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methoxy)benzyl)amino)ethyl) acetamide (3.26)



Compound 3.26 was prepared following the procedure of 3.13, using 3.25 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), N -(2aminoethyl)acetamide ( $99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(63$ $\mathrm{mg}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), addition of AcOH ( 3 droplets), and anhydrous DMF ( 5 mL ) as a solvent. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-30 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give an off-white solid ( 30 mg , yield: $26 \%$ ) as a product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.79$ (d, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.46 (t, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.25 (dd, $J=7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21$ (dd, $J=8.3,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.76$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 170.5,153.9,145.8,141.5$, $137.3,134.5,133.3,132.1,130.5,130.1,128.4,128.4,127.9,121.2,118.9,113.7,112.5,111.8$, $70.8,52.5,48.1,39.2,23.5$. IR (ATR): 3282, 2925, 2228, 1688, 1654, 1591, 1554, 1490, 1447, 1362, 1279, 1256, 1185, 1052, $1025 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ found: $556.0230 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 556.0235 . Mp: $152.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.67$ min , for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $556.21 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 556.02.

## 3-bromo-4-((2-bromo-3'-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methoxy)benzaldehyde (3.27)



Compound $\mathbf{3 . 2 7}$ was obtained according to the procedure of $\mathbf{3 . 8}$, using $\quad 3.5 \quad(700 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 2.03 \mathrm{mmol})$, 4-hydroxy-3methylbenzaldehyde ( $409 \mathrm{mg}, 2.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium carbonate ( $562 \mathrm{mg}, 4.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and anhydrous DMF ( 10 mL ). Product was purified by precipitation from AcOEt and yielded 3.27 ( $534 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$ ) as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ : $9.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.14-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 189.6,162.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=246.4\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 159.5,143.1\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 142.3,135.9,134.8,131.3,130.7,129.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=8.3\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 127.8,127.6,125.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 121.7,116.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=22.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 114.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=\right.$ 21.0 Hz ), 113.4, 113.1, 71.0. IR (ATR): 3060, 2922, 2853, 1683, 1594, 1490, 1461, 1418, 1368, 1313, 1278, 1255, 1190, $1049 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{FO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$found: $484.9150 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 484.9164 . Mp: $168.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=9.32 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{FO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $463.02 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 462.93 .
(S)-1-(3-bromo-4-((2-bromo-3'-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methoxy)benzyl)piperidine-2carboxylic acid (3.28)


Compound $\mathbf{3 . 2 8}$ was prepared following the procedure of $\mathbf{3 . 1 3}$, using 3.27 ( $135 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), L-pipecolinic acid ( 172 mg , 1.33 mmol ), $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(86 \mathrm{mg}, 1.45 \mathrm{mmol})$, addition of AcOH ( 3 droplets), and anhydrous DMF ( 5 mL ) as a solvent. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-40 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give a colorless solid ( 73 mg, yield: $44 \%$ ) as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMF) $\delta: 7.82$ (dd, $J=7.7,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.73 (s, 1H), $7.63-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.36(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.97$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29-3.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03-2.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39$ $-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.39(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMF}\right) \delta: 175.0,167.2\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=63.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 155.0,144.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=\right.$ $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 143.1,138.1,134.9,131.9,131.2\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.9,129.8,128.9,126.7,123.2$, $117.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=22.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 115.6\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=21.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 114.7,112.3,71.9,65.4,59.4,50.5,25.7$, 24.0, 23.2. IR (ATR): 2923, 2853, 1614, 1495, 1461, 1363, 1288, 1259, 1196, 1157, 1055, 1023 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{FNO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $576.0180 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 576.0185. Mp: $175.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=6.65 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{FNO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ found: $576.15 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 576.02.

## N-(2-((3-bromo-4-((2-bromo-3'-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-

 yl)methoxy)benzyl)amino)ethyl)acetamide (3.29)

Compound 3.29 was prepared according to the procedure of 3.13, using $\mathbf{3 . 2 7}$ ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), N -(2-aminoethyl)acetamide ( $201 \mathrm{mg}, 1.97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ),
$\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ( $127 \mathrm{mg}, 2.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), addition of AcOH ( 3 droplets), and anhydrous DMF ( 4 mL ) as a solvent. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-40 \%$ MeOH in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give a colorless solid ( 159 mg , yield: $67 \%$ ) as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO) $\delta: 7.98(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.2,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , DMSO) $\delta: 169.6,161.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=244.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 153.6,142.9\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 141.7,136.6$, $133.4,130.9,130.3\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.6,128.8,127.9,125.6,122.3,116.3\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=22.0\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 114.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=20.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 113.8,111.0,70.6,50.4,47.2,37.3,22.7$. IR (ATR): 3282, 2934, 2774, 2427, 1656, 1557, 1500, 1446, 1363, 1291, 1261, 1195, 1070, $1056 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS ESI-

MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $549.0176 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 549.0189 . Mp: $151.2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=6.21 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: 549.16 $m / z$; calc. mass: 549.02.

## 4-(3-bromo-4-((2-bromo-3'-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methoxy)benzyl)thiomorpholine

 1,1-dioxide (3.30)

The synthesis of compound $\mathbf{3 . 3 0}$ was performed following the procedure of 3.13. Preparation included the use of $\mathbf{3 . 2 7}$ ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), thiomorpholine 1,1-dioxide ( 133 mg , 0.99 mmol ), $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ( $64 \mathrm{mg}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), AcOH ( 3 droplets), and anhydrous DMF ( 4.0 mL ). The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give an off-white solid ( 41 mg , yield: $33 \%$ ) as a product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta: 7.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.08-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.99-2.96(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 162.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=246.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 154.4,143.2\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 142.2,136.8$, $133.8,131.7,130.4,129.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.0,127.7,127.7,125.4,121.6,116.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}\right.$ $=22.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $114.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=21.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 113.6,112.7,70.9,60.5,51.6,50.7$. IR (ATR): 3079, $2818,1608,1584,1494,1463,1363,1328,1301,1253,1199,1124,1110 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{FNO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$found: $603.9573 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 603.9569 . Mp : $183.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=8.81 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{FNO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: 582.13 $m / z$; calc. mass: 581.97.

## (3-((2-bromo-3'-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methoxy)phenyl)methanol (3.31)



Compound $\mathbf{3 . 3 1}$ was obtained following the protocol of 3.8, using 3.5 ( 700 mg , 2.03 mmol ), 3(hydroxymethyl)phenol ( $252 \mathrm{mg}, 2.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium carbonate ( $562 \mathrm{mg}, 4.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and anhydrous DMF ( 10 mL ). The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-100 \%$ AcOEt in hexane) and yielded 3.31 ( 408 mg , $52 \%$ ) as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.61-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.37(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.08(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 162.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}}-\right.$ $\mathrm{F}=246.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 158.9,143.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 142.8,142.3,137.4,130.5,129.9,129.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}}\right.$
$\mathrm{F}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.1,127.5,125.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 122.4,119.9,116.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=22.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $114.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=21.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 114.2,113.5,70.2,65.4$. IR (ATR): 3233, 2854, 1601, 1584, 1493, 1448, 1417, 1365, 1258, 1196, 1173, 1155, 1068, $1055 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BrFO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$found: $409.0207 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 409.0215 . $\mathrm{Mp}: 59.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=8.05 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BrFO}_{2}\left[\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$found: $369.17 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 369.02.

## 4-(3-((2-bromo-3'-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methoxy)benzyl)thiomorpholine 1,1dioxide (3.32)



Compound 3.31 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous DCM ( 3 mL ) under Ar . $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added carefully, and the resulted mixture was stirred at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h. Afterward, the reaction was stopped, and the mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. Generated in situ chloride, thiomorpholine 1,1 -dioxide ( $105 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and potassium carbonate ( $178 \mathrm{mg}, 1.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were stirred in anhydrous DMF ( 5 mL ) at room temperature overnight. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Water was added $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the mixture was extracted with $\operatorname{AcOEt}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. Organic layers were combined and concentrated. The crude product was chromatographed (silica gel, $0-60 \% \mathrm{AcOEt}$ in hexane) to give 38 mg of an off-white solid with a yield of $29 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.08$ (m, 2H), 6.99 (s, 1H), 6.94 (m, 2H), 5.20 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.65 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.08 - 3.03 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 162.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=246.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 158.9,143.3$ $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 142.4,139.3,137.3,130.5,129.9,129.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.1,127.5$, $125.4,122.4,121.7,116.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=22.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 115.5,114.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=20.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 114.0,70.2$, 61.5, 51.7, 50.8. IR (ATR): 2918, 2849, 1583, 1487, 1462, 1364, 1290, 1269, 1195, 1153, 1123, $1049 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$found: $526.0454 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 526.0464. Mp: $94.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=8.28 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+$ H] ${ }^{+}$found: $504.17 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 504.06.

### 4.2.3. Preparation of 2 -substituted 1,1 '-biphenyl Derivatives

## 2-(2-bromo-3-methylphenyl)naphthalene (3.33.1)


3.33.1.1 ( $4.50 \mathrm{~g}, 15.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), naphthalen-2-ylboronic acid ( $2.61 \mathrm{~g}, 15.16$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and potassium carbonate $(6.27 \mathrm{~g}, 45.47 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in mixture of dioxane and water ( $44: 22 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{v}: \mathrm{v}$ ). The mixture was deoxygenated by rinsing with argon for 15 min . Then, resulting mixture was heated up to $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{DCM}$ complex ( $621 \mathrm{mg}, 0.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was stirred at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours. Afterwards, water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the reaction mixture and it was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $0-20 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to give a beige solid ( 1.78 g , yield: $40 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.92-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.85$ - 7.81 (m, 1H), 7.55 (dd, $J=8.4,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.49$ (m, 2H), $7.30-7.27$ (m, 2H), 7.25 $-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 143.4,139.8,139.1,133.2,132.7$, 129.9, 129.1, 128.3, 128.2, 128.0, 127.9, 127.3, 127.0, 126.3, 126.3, 125.6, 24.4.

## 2-(2-bromo-3-(bromomethyl)phenyl)naphthalene (3.33)


3.33.1 ( $1480.0 \mathrm{mg}, 4.98 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in chloroform ( 83 mL ) and then NBS ( $1327 \mathrm{mg}, 7.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. Resulted mixture was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, what was followed by addition of benzoyl peroxide ( $22.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 2 h of refluxing, second portion of benzoyl peroxide ( 22.0 mg , 0.09 mmol ) was added. Mixture was refluxed for 2 more hours, it was cooled to room temperature and it was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel using 0-15\% AcOEt in hexane to give colorless oil (757.7 mg , yield: $59 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 144.4,139.0,138.1,133.2$, $132.8,131.8,130.5,128.4,128.3,127.9,127.7,127.7,127.6,126.5,125.3,34.82$.
(2-chloro-3-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxin-6-yl)phenyl)methanol (3.34)

(3-bromo-2-chlorophenyl)methanol (1.00 g, 3.72 mmol ), (2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxin-6-yl)boronic acid ( $2.01 \mathrm{~g}, 11.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium carbonate $(2.57 \mathrm{~g} ; 18.62 \mathrm{mmol})$, and catalytic amount of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{DCM}$ were placed in a round-bottom flask under argon. Resulted mixture was
solubilized in a mixture of degassed dioxane/water $(0.5 \mathrm{M}, 2 / 1)$. Reaction was conducted under argon atmosphere by stirring at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during first 3 h . Then stirring was continued overnight at room temperature. Afterwards, crude mixture was diluted with AcOEt and filtered through Celite. Filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification involved flash chromatography ( $0-60 \%$ AcOEt in hexane) and yielded 3.34 ( $737 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ) as colorless crystals. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 143.3,143.1,140.7,139.1,132.9,131.2,130.7,127.5,126.8,122.9,118.6$, 117.0, 64.6, 64.5, 63.6. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=6.10 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{ClO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OH}]^{+}$found: $259.12 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 259.05 .

## (2-chloro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methanol (3.35)


(3-bromo-2-chlorophenyl)methanol ( $780 \mathrm{mg}, 2.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), phenylboronic acid ( $280 \mathrm{mg}, 2.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium carbonate ( $70 \mathrm{mg} ; 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and catalytic amount of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{DCM}$ were placed in a round-bottom flask under argon. Resulted mixture was solubilized in a mixture of degassed dioxane/water $(0.5 \mathrm{M}, 2 / 1)$. Reaction was conducted under argon atmosphere by stirring at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during first 3 h . Then stirring was continued overnight at room temperature. Afterwards, crude mixture was diluted with AcOEt and filtered through Celite. Filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification involved flash chromatography ( $0-60 \% \mathrm{AcOEt}$ in hexane) and yielded $3.35(254 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%)$ as colorless crystals. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 141.3,139.6,139.1,131.2,130.7,129.6,128.2$, 127.8, 126.9, 63.7. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=6.49 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ClO}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OH}]^{+}$found: 201.23 $m / z$; calc. mass: 201.04

## (2-chloro-3-(pyridin-3-yl)phenyl)methanol (3.36)


(3-bromo-2-chlorophenyl)methanol (1.00 g, 3.73 mmol ), 3pyridinylboronic acid $(0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 4.84 \mathrm{mmol})$, potassium carbonate $(1.54 \mathrm{~g}$; $11.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ and catalytic amount of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{DCM}$ were placed in a round-bottom flask under argon. Resulted mixture was solubilized in a mixture of degassed dioxane/water ( $0.5 \mathrm{M}, 2 / 1$ ). Reaction was conducted under argon atmosphere by stirring at 90 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during first 3 h . Then stirring was continued overnight at room temperature. Afterwards,
crude mixture was diluted with AcOEt and filtered through Celite. Filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification involved flash chromatography ( $0-60 \% \mathrm{AcOEt}$ in hexane) and yielded 3.36 ( $631 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ) as brown crystals. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.61$ (d, $J=$ $5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 149.5,148.7,140.7$, 136.9, 136.7, 134.8, 129.7, 129.3, 127.6, 127.1, 123.3, 60.7. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=2.46$ min, for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{ClNO}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $220.05 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 220.24 .

## (2-amino-3-bromophenyl)methanol (3.37.1.1)



2-amino-3-bromobenzoic acid ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 18.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 20 mL ) and it was cooled to ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To the ice-cooled resulted solution $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{THF}$ complex ( $1 \mathrm{M}, 28 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added dropwise over a period of 3 hours. Solution was allowed to warm to room temperature and was stirred for additional 20 h . Subsequently, the mixture was poured into cooled solution of hydrochloric acid (1M, 100 mL ) and then it was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were collected together, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ filtered and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $0-100 \%$ AcOEt in hexane) to give colorless solid ( 2.43 g , yield: $65 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=7.4,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 143.9$, 132.7, 128.3, 125.8, 118.6, 110.5, 64.75.
(2-amino-3-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxin-6-yl)phenyl)methanol (3.37.1)

3.37.1.1 ( $800 \mathrm{mg}, 3.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), (2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxin-6yl)boronic acid ( $852 \mathrm{mg}, 4.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and potassium carbonate ( 1.37 $\mathrm{g}, 9.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in mixture of dioxane and water (24:12 $\mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{v}: \mathrm{v})$. The mixture was deoxygenated by rinsing with argon for 15 min . Then, resulting mixture was heated up to $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{DCM}$ complex ( $162 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was stirred at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours. Afterwards, water ( 100 mL ) was added to the reaction mixture and it was extracted with ethyl acetate $(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, 0-100\% ethyl acetate in hexane) to give a colorless solid ( 823 mg , yield: $81 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96-6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR
( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 143.9,143.1,132.5,130.7,128.6,128.3,125.1,122.4,118.2,118.0,117.8$, 64.9, 64.6.
(3-(2,3-dihydrobenzo $[b][1,4]$ dioxin-6-yl)-2-iodophenyl)methanol (3.37)

3.37.1 ( $790 \mathrm{mg}, 3.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\left(6.0 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ of $22 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ) was placed in the three-neck round-bottom flask and it was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
To the resulted mixture, aqueous solution of sodium nitrate $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL}$, $16 \%$ ) was added dropwise over 30 minutes and then solution was allowed to stir at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h. Then an aqueous solution of potassium iodine ( $2.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 26 \%$ ) was added carefully to the reaction mixture. The reaction was stopped after 1 h of stirring at room temperature. Saturated aqueous solution of sodium thiosulfate $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). Organic layers were collected together and they were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using $0-20 \%$ AcOEt in hexane to give orange oil ( 402 mg , yield: $36 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.2,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{~s}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 147.15$, $143.39,143.09,142.78,138.24,129.24,127.99,126.98,122.58,118.30,116.65,102.74,70.56$, 64.36, 64.33. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=6.39 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{IO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OH}]^{+}$found: $350.87 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 350.99 .

## 6-((2-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methoxy)-2-methoxynicotinaldehyde (3.38)


3.7 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 2.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-chloro-6-methoxy-3pyridinecarboxaldehyde $(251 \mathrm{mg}, 1.90 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.96 \mathrm{~g}$, 3.81 mmol ), $t$-BuXPhos ( $126 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and palladium(II) acetate ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined and purged with argon. Then, anhydrous toluene $(13 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the reaction mixture was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . Then, water was added ( 100 mL ) and mixture was extracted with AcOEt ( 2 x100 mL). Organic layers were combined and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel using $0-60 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane to give pure product ( $423 \mathrm{mg}, 49 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.51(\mathrm{~s}$, 2 H ), 3.96 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 187.8,166.2,165.1,157.8$ (d, $J=249.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $140.6,135.6,131.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.2(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{C}), 128.6,128.0,124.5$
(d, $J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $124.4(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 112.6,103.9,62.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 54.1$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=8.87 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{FNO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $338.05 \mathrm{~m} / z$; calc. mass: 338.12 .

## 3-bromo-4-((2-chloro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methoxy)benzaldehyde (3.39)


3.35 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous DCM (4 $\mathrm{mL})$ under Ar . $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(1.14 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added carefully and resulted mixture was stirred at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 3 h reaction was stopped and mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. Generated in situ chloride, 3-bromo-4hydroxybenzaldehyde ( $180 \mathrm{mg}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(230 \mathrm{mg}, 1.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ were stirred in anhydrous DMF ( 4 mL ) at room temperature overnight. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Water was added $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and mixture was extracted with AcOEt ( $2 \times 100$ $\mathrm{mL})$. Organic layers were combined and concentrated. The crude product was chromatographed on silica gel eluting with $0-60 \%$ AcOEt in hexane to give 143 mg of a white solid colorless yield: $38 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 189.7,159.6,141.4,139.3,134.9,134.2$, 131.3, 131.3, 131.1, 130.3, 129.6, 128.3, 127.9, 127.1, 113.4, 113.1, 68.7. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=8.93 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{BrClO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $401.19 \mathrm{~m} / z$; calc. mass: 400.99.

## 3-bromo-4-((2-chloro-3-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxin-6-yl)benzyl)oxy)benzaldehyde

 (3.40)
3.34 ( $700 \mathrm{mg}, 2.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous DCM ( 9 mL ) under Ar. $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added carefully and resulted mixture was stirred at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 3 h reaction was stopped and mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. Generated in situ chloride, 3-bromo-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde ( $1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 7.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.75 \mathrm{~g}, 12.64 \mathrm{mmol})$ were stirred in anhydrous DMF ( 10 mL ) at room temperature overnight. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Water was added ( 100 mL ) and mixture was extracted with AcOEt ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Organic layers were combined and concentrated. The crude product was chromatographed on silica gel eluting with $0-60 \%$ AcOEt in hexane to give 540 mg of a colorless solid with yield: $47 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32$ (dd, $J=7.6,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.38$ (s, 2H), $4.32(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 189.7, 159.6, 143.5, 143.2, 140.8, 134.8,
134.2, 132.5, 131.3, 131.2, 131.1, 130.4, 127.1, 126.9, 122.9, 118.6, 117.1, 113.4, 113.1, 68.7, 64.6, 64.5. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=8.93 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BrClO}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: 459.17 $m / z$; calc. mass: 458.99.

## 3-bromo-4-((2-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methoxy)benzaldehyde (3.41)


3.7 ( $700 \mathrm{mg}, 3.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous DCM ( 11 mL ) under Ar . $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added carefully and resulted mixture was stirred at $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 3 h reaction was stopped and mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. Generated in situ chloride, 3-bromo-4hydroxybenzaldehyde ( $1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 6.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.43 \mathrm{~g}, 10.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ were stirred in anhydrous DMF ( 10 mL ) at room temperature overnight. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Water was added ( 100 mL ) and mixture was extracted with AcOEt ( $2 \times 100$ $\mathrm{mL})$. Organic layers were combined and concentrated. The crude product was chromatographed on silica gel eluting with 0-60\% AcOEt in hexane to give 748 mg of a colorless solid with yield: $56 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.9(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.8(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.6(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.6(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.5(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.4(\mathrm{tt}, J=7.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.3$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.4(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 189.69$, $159.65,157.08$ (d, $J=248.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 135.43, 134.84, 131.27, 131.23, 131.02 (d, $J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 129.33 $(\mathrm{d}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.67,128.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.06,124.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 113.43,113.03,65.17$ (d, $J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=8.92 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{BrFO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $384.96 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 385.02.

## 3-bromo-4-((3-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxin-6-yl)-2-iodobenzyl)oxy)benzaldehyde

 (3.42)
3.37 ( $668 \mathrm{mg}, 1.81 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous DCM (4 $\mathrm{mL})$ and resulted mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DMF was added carefully at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and resulted mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 1 h . Mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. Generated in situ chloride, 3-bromo-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde ( $547 \mathrm{mg}, 2.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 3.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were stirred in anhydrous DMF ( 6 mL ) at room temperature overnight. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Water was added ( 100 mL ) and mixture was extracted with AcOEt ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Organic layers were combined and concentrated. The crude product was chromatographed on silica gel eluting with $0-60 \% \mathrm{AcOEt}$ in hexane to give 507 mg of a white solid with yield: $51 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=$
$2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=7.5,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.79 (dd, $J=8.2,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.27(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~J}=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 189.7,159.5,147.4,143.4,143.0,138.5,138.1,134.8,131.4,131.2,129.8,128.4$, $126.7,122.8,118.5,116.9,113.3,113.2,101.6,76.3,64.6,64.5$.

## 3-bromo-4-((2-bromo-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)benzyl)oxy)benzaldehyde (3.43)


3.33 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3-bromo-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (107 $\mathrm{mg}, 0,53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium carbonate ( $146 \mathrm{mg}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were stirred in anhydrous DMF ( 3 mL ) at room temperature overnight. Then, solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in AcOEt (50 $\mathrm{mL})$ and it was washed with water ( $2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Organic layers were collected and concentrated. The crude product was chromatographed on silica gel eluting with $0-60 \% \mathrm{AcOEt}$ in hexane to give 107 mg of a colorless solid with yield: $41 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 9.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7$, $0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.39(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 189.5,159.4,143.5,138.5,135.7$, 134.7, 133.1, 132.7, 131.2, 131.2, 131.0, 128.3, 128.2, 127.8, 127.6, 127.6, 127.5, 127.2, 126.4, 122.0, 113.3, 113.0, 71.0. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=10.02 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ found: $494.93 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 494.95 .

## (S)-1-(3-bromo-4-((2-bromo-3-(naphthalen-2-yl)benzyl)oxy)benzyl)piperidine-2carboxylic acid (3.44)



Compound 3.44 was prepared following to the procedure of 3.13, using $\mathbf{3 . 4 3}$ ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), L-pipecolic acid ( $107 \mathrm{mg}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(53 \mathrm{mg}, 0.89 \mathrm{mmol})$, addition of AcOH ( 3 droplets) and anhydrous $\mathrm{DMF}(4 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) as a solvent. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-30 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give white solid ( 35 mg , yield: $32 \%$ ) as a product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta: 7.99(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, DMSO) $\delta: 153.9,143.4,138.8,137.0,134.1,133.2,132.7,131.6,130.3,129.0,128.6$,
$128.5,128.3,128.0,127.9,127.9,126.9,126.9,123.1,114.1,111.4,71.0,64.5,58.2,49.6,29.1$, 24.7, 22.5. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $608.0432 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 608.0436. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=7.37 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $608.19 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 608.04.

## N-(2-((3-bromo-4-((2-chloro-3-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxin-6yl)benzyl)oxy)benzyl)amino)ethyl)acetamide (3.45)



Compound 3.45 was prepared following to the procedure of $\mathbf{3 . 1 3}$, using $\mathbf{3 . 4 0}$ ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), N -(2aminoethyl)acetamide ( $122 \mathrm{mg}, 1.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ( $76 \mathrm{mg}, 1.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), addition of AcOH ( 3 droplets) and anhydrous DMF ( 4 mL ) as a solvent. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-30 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give white solid ( 94 mg , yield: $66 \%$ ) as a product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 7.87(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.36 (dd, $J=7.6,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95$ (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~s}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{q}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{~s}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 169.67,153.31,143.30,143.04,140.17,135.03,132.91$, $131.90,131.17,130.58,129.05,128.21,127.30,122.49,118.08,117.01,113.92,111.08,68.36$, 64.29, $64.24,51.09,47.74,38.29,22.74$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{BrClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ found: $545.0836 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 545.0842. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.85 \mathrm{~min}$, $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{BrClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $545.24 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 545.08.

## 2-((3-bromo-4-((2-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methoxy)benzyl)amino)propane-1,3-diol (3.46)



Compound 3.46 was prepared following to the procedure of 3.13, using 3.41 ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-amino-1,3propanediol ( $129 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(90 \mathrm{mg}, 1.56$ mmol ), addition of AcOH (3 droplets) and anhydrous DMF ( 4 mL ) as a solvent. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-30 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give colorless solid ( 104 mg , yield: $72 \%$ ) as a product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.61(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.9,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.9,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$2 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{p}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 157.1\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=248.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), 154.1 , $135.6,134.5,133.3,130.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.2\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.1\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=13.6\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 128.6,128.3,127.9,124.6(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{C}), 113.9,112.7,65.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 62.4,59.0,50.2$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $460.0919 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 460.0923. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.67 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $460.04 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 460.09.

## (S)-1-(3-bromo-4-((2-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methoxy)benzyl)piperidine-2-carboxylic acid (3.47)



Compound 3.47 was prepared following to the procedure of 3.13, using 3.41 ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), L-pipecolic acid ( 183 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(90 \mathrm{mg}, 1.56 \mathrm{mmol})$, addition of AcOH ( 3 droplets) and anhydrous DMF ( 4 mL ) as a solvent. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-30 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give colorless solid ( 51 mg , yield: $33 \%$ ) as a product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $39.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 157.1\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=248.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 156.0,136.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 135.5,132.2$, $130.8,129.2(3 \mathrm{C}), 128.6(3 \mathrm{C}), 128.3\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.0,124.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 124.1$ $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 113.7,112.9,65.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 57.6,50.8,29.8,27.9,22.8,22.0$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$found: $520.0892 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 520.0899. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=6.22 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $498.26 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 498.10.
(S)-1-(3-bromo-4-((3-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxin-6-yl)-2-fluorobenzyl)oxy)benzyl) piperidine-2-carboxylic acid (3.48)


Compound 3.48 was prepared following to the procedure of 3.13, using 3.21 ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), L-pipecolic acid ( 145 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.13 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(78 \mathrm{mg}, 1.24 \mathrm{mmol})$, addition of AcOH ( 3 droplets) and anhydrous DMF ( 4 mL ) as a solvent. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-30 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give white solid ( 71 mg , yield: $51 \%$ ) as a product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $42.8,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=8.1,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 157.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=248.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 154.0,143.8(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 134.0,131.0,130.2,129.3,128.3,125.1,122.3,117.9,117.7,114.3,111.5,65.2$ (d, ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 64.6, 64.5, 58.3, 49.6, 29.1, 24.8, 22.5. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $556.1128 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 556.1135. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=6.03 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $556.16 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 556.11.

## 2-((3-bromo-4-((2-chloro-3-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxin-6-yl)benzyl)oxy)benzyl) amino)propane-1,3-diol (3.49)



Compound 3.49 was prepared following to the procedure of 3.13, using $\mathbf{3 . 4 0}$ ( $104 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-amino- $1,3-$ propanediol ( $94 \mathrm{mg}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(66 \mathrm{mg}, 1.05$ mmol ), addition of AcOH (3 droplets) and anhydrous DMF ( 4 mL ) as a solvent. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-30 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give white solid ( 71 mg , yield: $59 \%$ ) as a product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 7.64$ (dd, $J=7.6$, $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{ddd}, J=10.3,8.0,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.3,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~s}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.5(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 152.9,143.2,142.9,140.0,135.0,132.5,131.8,131.0$, $130.4,128.5,128.0,127.1,122.3,118.0,116.9,113.7,110.9,68.2,64.2,64.1,60.9,60.1,49.4$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{BrClNO}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $534.0676 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 534.0683. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.68 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{BrClNO}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $534.08 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 534.07.

## 2-((3-bromo-4-((3-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxin-6-yl)-2-iodobenzyl)oxy)benzyl) amino)propane-1,3-diol (3.50)



Compound 3.50 was prepared following to the procedure of 3.13, using $\mathbf{3 . 4 4}$ ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-amino- $1,3-$ propanediol ( $98 \mathrm{mg}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(68 \mathrm{mg}, 1.18$ mmol ), addition of AcOH (3 droplets) and anhydrous DMF ( 4 mL ) as a solvent. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-30 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give colorless solid ( 44 mg , yield: $30 \%$ ) as a product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=$
$1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38$ (ddd, $J=34.9,10.7,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 153.0,146.9$, $143.0,142.7,139.5,137.7,132.6,129.6,128.6,128.2,127.7,122.3,117.9,116.7,113.7,110.9$, 103.5, 75.5, 64.2, 60.9, 60.1, 49.4. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{BrINO}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $626.0034 \mathrm{~m} / z$; calc. mass: 626.0039. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.78 \mathrm{~min}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{BrINO}_{5}[\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $626.06 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 626.00 .

## (S)-1-(3-bromo-4-((3-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxin-6-yl)-2-iodobenzyl)oxy)benzyl) piperidine-2-carboxylic acid (3.53)



Compound 3.53 was prepared following to the procedure of 3.13, using 3,42 ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), L-pipecolic acid ( 140 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(68 \mathrm{mg}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol})$, addition of AcOH ( 3 droplets) and anhydrous DMF ( 4 mL ) as a solvent. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-30 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give colorless solid ( 49 mg , yield: $31 \%$ ) as a product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=8.2,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35$ (m, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 153.4,146.9,143.0,142.7,139.4,137.6,133.5,129.7$, 129.6, 128.2, 127.7, 122.3, 117.9, 116.6, 113.6, 110.9, 103.4, 75.5, 64.1, 57.8, 49.1, 28.7, 24.4, 22.0. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{BrINO}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$found: $686.0008 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 686.0015. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=6.63 \mathrm{~min}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{BrINO}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $664.08 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 664.02.

## 2-((3-bromo-4-((3-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxin-6-yl)-2-fluorobenzyl)oxy)benzyl) amino)propane-1,3-diol (3.52)



Compound 3.52 was prepared following to the procedure of $\mathbf{3 . 1 3}$, using $\mathbf{3 . 2 1}$ ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-amino-1,3propanediol ( $112 \mathrm{mg}, 1.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(84 \mathrm{mg}, 1.35$ mmol ), addition of AcOH (3 droplets) and anhydrous DMF ( 4 mL ) as a solvent. The crude
product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-30 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give colorless solid ( 75 mg , yield: $54 \%$ ) as a product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{~s}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.68 (ddd, $J=17.4,11.6,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 158.6,156.4,144.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 135.4,131.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.0,129.9,129.8$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 125.7,125.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 123.1,118.9,118.3$, 115.1, 113.4, $66.1\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 65.7,65.6,61.2,60.5,49.8$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $518.0972 \mathrm{~m} / z$; calc. mass: 518.0978. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.38 \mathrm{~min}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $518.06 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 518.10.

## 2-((3-bromo-4-((3-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxin-6-yl)-2-fluorobenzyl)oxy)benzyl) amino)ethan-1-ol (3.53)



Compound 3.53 was prepared following to the procedure of $\mathbf{3 . 1 3}$, using $\mathbf{3 . 2 1}$ ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ethanolamine ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 1.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(85 \mathrm{mg}$, 1.47 mmol ), addition of AcOH ( 3 droplets) and anhydrous DMF ( 4 mL ) as a solvent. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-30 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give colorless solid ( 81 mg , yield: $56 \%$ ) as a product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.3,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H), 7.03 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96$ (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.26 (s, 2H), 4.48 (s, 1H), 4.28 (s, 4H), $3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}) \delta 157.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=248.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 153.4,143.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 135.8,132.9$, $130.93,129.2,128.9,128.3,125.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 124.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 122.30,117.89$, 117.71, 114.41, 111.48, $65.2\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 64.65,64.57,60.78,51.98,51.30$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $488.0866 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 488.0872. UPLC$\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{DAD} / \mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.63 \mathrm{~min}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $488.16 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 488.09.

## 2-((3-bromo-4-((3-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxin-6-yl)-2-fluorobenzyl)oxy)benzyl) amino)-2-(hydroxymethyl)propane-1,3-diol (3.54)



Compound 3.54 was prepared following to the procedure of 3.13, using 3.21 ( 130 mg , 0.29 mmol ), tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane ( $162 \mathrm{mg}, 1.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ),
$\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ( $85 \mathrm{mg}, 1.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), addition of AcOH (3 droplets) and anhydrous DMF ( 4 mL ) as a solvent. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-30 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give colorless solid ( 50 mg , yield: $31 \%$ ) as a product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{dt}, J=39.1,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 156.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=248.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 153.00$, $143.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 132.71,130.48,128.7,128.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 127.8,127.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=\right.$ $12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 124.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 121.8,117.4,117.2,113.9,110.9$, $64.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 64.19,64.10,60.94,60.52,44.27$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $548.1078 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 548.1084. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.50 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $548.17 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 548.11.

## ((6-((2-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methoxy)-2-methoxypyridin-3-yl)methyl)serine (3.55)



Compound 3.55 was prepared following to the procedure of 3.13, using 3.38 ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $L$-serine ( 213 mg , 2.03 mmol ), $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(140 \mathrm{mg}, 2.23 \mathrm{mmol})$, addition of AcOH ( 3 droplets) and anhydrous DMF ( 5 mL ) as a solvent. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-30 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give colorless solid ( 63 mg , yield: $33 \%$ ) as a product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 7.49 (m, 3H), $7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.2,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.2,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, DMSO) $\delta$ 169.6, 161.4, 160.1, 157.2 (d, $J=248.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 142.8, 134.9, 130.7, 129.9 (d, $J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 128.9, 128.6, 128.4 (d, J = 13.5 Hz ), 127.9, 124.9 (d, $J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 124.6 $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 108.7,101.3,77.4,62.6,61.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 60.7,53.5,44.3$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$found: $449.1480 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 449.1489. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=6.67 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $427.17 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 427.17 .

## 2-(((6-((2-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methoxy)-2-methoxypyridin-3-yl)methyl)amino) propane-1,3-diol (3.56)



Compound 3.56 was prepared following to the procedure of 3.13, using 3.38 ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-amino-1,3propanediol ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 1.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(121 \mathrm{mg}, 1.93$ mmol ), addition of AcOH ( 3 droplets) and anhydrous DMF ( 5 mL ) as a solvent. The crude
product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-30 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give colorless solid ( 67 mg , yield: $42 \%$ ) as a product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.54$ (d, $J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.38(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.4,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64$ (dd, $J=11.4,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 162.1,160.7$, 157.7 (d, $J=249.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 141.9, 135.8, 130.6 (d, $J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 129.3, 129.2, 128.6, 127.9, 125.4 (d, $J=15.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 124.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 110.8,101.8,61.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 61.3,59.0,53.8,45.3$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $413.1870 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 413.1877. UPLCMS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=6.51 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $413.21 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 413.19.

## 2-((3-bromo-4-((2-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methoxy)benzyl)amino)ethan-1-ol (3.57)

( 3.13, using 3.41 ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ethanolamine ( 108 mg , $1.77 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(112 \mathrm{mg}, 1.94 \mathrm{mmol})$, addition of AcOH (3 droplets) and anhydrous DMF ( 5 mL ) as a solvent. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-30 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give colorless solid ( 76 mg , yield: $46 \%$ ) as a product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.52(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), $7.49-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.08-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , MeOD) $\delta 158.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=248.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 157.0,136.8,135.9,132.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.6,130.5$ $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.1,130.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.5,128.9,127.7,125.6(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{C}), 115.2$, 113.6, $66.2\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 58.3,51.2,50.2$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$found: $452.0634 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass 452.0637:. UPLC-MS $(\mathrm{DAD} / \mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.81 \mathrm{~min}$, $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $430.20 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 430.08.

## 2-((3-bromo-4-((2-fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methoxy)benzyl)amino)-2-(hydroxymethyl) propane-1,3-diol (3.58)



Compound 3.58 was prepared following to the procedure of 3.13, using 3.41 ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-amino-2-hydroxymethyl-propane-1,3-diol (243 mg, 2.00 mmol ), $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ( $127 \mathrm{mg}, 2.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), addition of AcOH ( 6 droplets) and anhydrous DMF ( 6 mL ) as a solvent. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-30 \%$ MeOH in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to give colorless solid ( 176 mg , yield: $82 \%$ ) as a product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.48$
(m, 3H), $7.44-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.29(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta 157.0$ $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=248.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 153.0,134.9,132.7,130.8,129.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.9$ (2C), 128.7 (2C), $128.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.0,124.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 113.9,110.9$, $64.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 61.0,60.4,44.3$. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ found: $490.1023 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 490.1029. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.59 \mathrm{~min}$, $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{BrFNO}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $490.22 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 490.10.

### 4.2.4. 2,3-dihydro-1H-indene Derivative as Elongated Potential PD-L1 Antagonists

## ((4-bromo-2-methoxybenzyl)oxy)trimethylsilane (4.1)


(4-bromo-2-methoxyphenyl)methanol ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 23.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TMSCl $(3.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.78 \mathrm{~g}, 25.59 \mathrm{mmol})$ were placed in the round bottom flask under argon. Then, anhydrous THF ( 93 mL ) and TEA ( $4.80 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.49 \mathrm{~g}, 34.56$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ were added and resulted mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. After that time, solvent was removed under reduced pressure and water ( 100 mL ) was added. Ethyl acetate ( 100 mL x 2 ) was used to extract water phase. The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $0-50 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to give the product a colorless oil ( 5.05 g , yield: $76 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.18(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.

## 4-(hydroxymethyl)-3-methoxybenzaldehyde (4.2)


$4.1(4.28 \mathrm{~g}, 14.81 \mathrm{mmol})$ was placed under argon in the previously dried reaction tube. Then, anhydrous THF ( 59 mL ) was added and reaction mixture was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the acetone/dry ice bath. Afterwards, 2.5 M solution of $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ in hexane $(8.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 22.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise for 30 minutes. Resulted solution was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for next 30 minutes. Next anhydrous DMF ( 1.88 mL ) was added dropwise for additional 30 minutes and the mixture was stirred overnight allowing the temperature to come to ambient conditions. After that time, 1 M solution of $\mathrm{HCl}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise. Solvent was removed under reduced pressure and water ( 100 mL ) and DCM ( 100 mL x 2 ) were added to separate the phases. The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The
crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $0-50 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to give the product a colorless solid ( 0.98 g , yield: $40 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.95$ (s, 1H), $7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74$ (s, 2H), 3.91 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 192.1, 157.7, 137.1, 136.5, 128.4, 124.9, 108.3, 61.4, 55.7.

## 4-bromo-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-ol (4.3)

 4-bromo-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-one ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 18.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was placed in the round bottom flask under argon. Then, anhydrous ethanol ( 150 mL ) was added and solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Sodium borohydride ( $565 \mathrm{mg}, 14.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added portionwise and resulted mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. After that time, solvent was removed under reduced pressure and mixture of DCM: $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}(400 \mathrm{~mL}, 1: 1, \mathrm{v}: \mathrm{v})$ was added to separate the phases. Water phase was washed with DCM ( 200 mL ), organic layers were collected, dried with anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtrated and concentrated under reduced pressure. Compound $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ was obtained as colorless solid ( 3.91 g , $97 \%)$ and was used further without additional purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.39$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03$ (ddd, $J$ $=16.1,8.5,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54-2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 145.8,144.1,130.0,128.2,125.9,122.4,75.9,36.2,29.8$.

## 1,4-dibromo-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene (4.4)


$4.3(3.23 \mathrm{~g}, 15.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ was placed in round bottom flask and was dissolved in DCM ( 32 mL ). Then, $\mathrm{HBr}(16.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 40 \%)$ was added dropwise and resulted mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 hours. After that time, solution was neutralised with sodium bicarbonate and it was transferred to separatory funnel. DCM: water ( $200 \mathrm{~mL}, 1: 1, \mathrm{v}: \mathrm{v}$ ) was added to separate the phases. Water phase was additionally washed with DCM ( 100 mL ), organic layers were collected together, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtrated and concentrated under reduced pressure. Compound 4.4 was obtained as colorless solid ( $4.13 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%$ ) and was used further without additional purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.6,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-3.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{ddd}, J=16.6,8.0,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66$ $-2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{ddt}, J=14.5,7.6,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 145.7$, 144.1, 132.0, 129.1, 124.2, 120.1, 54.3 36.7, 32.2.

## (4-bromo-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-yl)triphenylphosphonium bromide (4.5)


$4.4(4.13 \mathrm{~g}, 15.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triphenylphosphine ( $4.40 \mathrm{~g}, 24.27$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was solubilised in toluene ( 20 mL ). Resulted solution was heated overnight at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After that time, it was cooled to room temperature. The crystallised salt was filtered and it was washed with cold toluene. Obtained colorless precipitate $(2.73 \mathrm{~g}, 5.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dried and used further without additional purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.86-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{td}, J=7.1,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.61(\mathrm{td}, J=7.9,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{t}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73-2.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38$ $-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 146.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), $136.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 135.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right), 134.2\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right), 132.1$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right), 128.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 125.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=3.7\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 120.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 117.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=83.2 \mathrm{~Hz} \text {, ipso-PPh}\right)^{2}, 40.6\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=43.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, 32.8, 26.1.
(E)-(4-((4-bromo-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-ylidene)methyl)-2-methoxyphenyl)methanol (4.6)

$4.5(2.67 \mathrm{~g}, 4.84 \mathrm{mmol}), 4.2$ ( $712 \mathrm{mg}, 4.17 \mathrm{mmol})$, potassium carbonate ( $5.91 \mathrm{~g}, 41.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 18 -crown-6 ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were placed in the round bottom flask. Then, DCM ( 28 mL ) was added and reaction mixture was refluxed overnight. After cooling to room temperature, water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The mixture was washed with dichloromethane ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and then the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, 0-50\% AcOEt in hexane) to give the colorless solid ( 748 mg , yield: $52 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.15-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 157.6,146.0,144.6,144.2,138.8,131.1,128.9,128.6,127.8$, 121.1, 121.0, 120.7, 119.1, 110.7, 62.0, 55.4, 32.6, 30.2.
(E)-4-((4-bromo-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-ylidene)methyl)-2-methoxybenzaldehyde (4.7)


To the mixture of $\mathbf{4 . 6}$ ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) solubilised in DCM ( 6 mL ), Dess-Martin Periodinane ( $15 \%$ solution in DCM, 2 mL ) was added dropwise. Then, $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(122 \mathrm{mg}, 1.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and resulted mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. After that time, water ( 50 mL ) was added and it was washed with dichloromethane ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and then the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, 0-50\% AcOEt in hexane) to give the colorless solid (176 mg, yield: $88 \%$ ). NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 10.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 3-bromo-2-methylphenol (4.8)



Mixture of $95 \%$ sulfuric acid ( 1.83 mL ) and water ( 31 mL ) was placed in the flask and it was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .3$-bromo-2-methylaniline ( $3.31 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.00 \mathrm{~g}$, 26.67 mmol ) was added in one portion, resulting with appearance of brown solid. To the resulted mixture, sodium nitrate $(2.25 \mathrm{~g}, 32.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added portionwise over 30 minutes and then resulted solution was allowed to stir at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Next, $95 \%$ solution of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(11.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added carefully to the reaction solution. The reaction was heated at 100 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. After cooling to the room temperature, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$ was added to extract our product form water phase. Organic layers were collected together, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using $0-20 \% \mathrm{AcOEt}$ in hexane to give colorless crystals $(1.79 \mathrm{~g}$, yield: $36 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 154.4, 127.6, 126.2, 125.1, 124.6, 114.2, 15.65.

## 3-(3-bromo-2-methylphenoxy)propan-1-ol (4.9)


$4.8(1278 \mathrm{mg}, 6.87 \mathrm{mmol})$, 3-bromopropan-1-ol ( $1.28 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.91 \mathrm{~g}, 13.74$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and potassium carbonate $(2.37 \mathrm{~g}, 17.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ were placed in the round bottom flask under argon. Anhydrous DMF ( 25 mL ) was added and resulted mixture was stirred at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 hours. Solvent was removed under reduced pressure and water/DCM extraction was carried out. Organic layers were collected together, dried over
$\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using $0-100 \%$ AcOEt in hexane to give brown oil ( 885 mg , yield: $53 \%){ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79$ (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{p}, J=$ $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 157.7,127.4,127.1,126.0,124.9,110.2,66.2$, 60.4, 32.2, 15.9.

## 1-(3-(3-bromo-2-methylphenoxy)propyl)piperidin-4-ol (4.10)


$4.9(850 \mathrm{mg}, 3.47 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in anhydrous DCM ( 33 mL ). Then, catalytic amount of DMF ( 0.35 mL ) was added and was followed by dropwise addition of $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(2.06 \mathrm{~g}, 1.26 \mathrm{~mL}, 17.35 \mathrm{mmol})$. Resulted mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. Afterwards, the resulted solution was poured to the separation funnel with the 100 mL of sodium carbonate solution. Phases were separated and water phase was washed with dichloromethane ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. As a result yellow oil was obtained. In the second step of reaction, to the flask with resulted chloride, piperidinol ( $1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 10.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anhydrous DMF $(33 \mathrm{~mL})$ and DIPEA $(1.78 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added respectively. Resulted mixture was heated overnight at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Afterwards, solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the mixture of water and ethyl acetate ( $200 \mathrm{~mL}, 1: 1, \mathrm{v}: \mathrm{v}$ ) was added to separate the phases. The aqueous phase was further extracted with ethyl acetate ( 100 mL ). The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and then concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, chloroform: methanol, 4:1). A colorless oil 4.10 ( 742 mg , yield: $65 \%$ ) was obtained as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{t}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.00(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.27-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 157.8,127.3,127.2,125.9,124.6,110.2,66.9,55.3,51.2,34.5,29.8,27.2$, 15.9.

## (1-(3-(2-methyl-3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)phenoxy)propyl)

 piperidin-4-ol (4.11)
4.10 ( $700 \mathrm{mg}, 2.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), bis(pinacolato)diboron ( $650 \mathrm{mg}, 2.56$ mmol ) and potassium acetate ( $627 \mathrm{mg}, 6.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were placed in the round bottom flask under argon and were dissolved in anhydrous dioxane ( 12 mL ). The resulting solution was heated to $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{DCM}(79 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and resulting mixture was heated overnight at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Afterwards, the mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, water ( 100 mL ) was added and then, it was washed with dichloromethane $(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$.The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, 0-20\% chloroform: methanol) to give the product as a colorless solid ( 232 mg , yield: $29 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.97 (t, $J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.68 (s, 1H), 3.22 (brs, 1H), $2.89-2.76$ (m, 2H), 2.58 (d, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.33 (s, 12H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 156.8,133.5,127.6,125.8,113.8,83.6,67.3$, 66.4, 55.4, 51.1, 34.1, 26.9, 24.9, 14.8.

## (E)-4-((4-(3-(3-(4-hydroxypiperidin-1-yl)propoxy)-2-methylphenyl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-

 inden-1-ylidene)methyl)-2-methoxybenzaldehyde (4.12)
4.7 ( $176 \mathrm{mg}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4.11 ( $230 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and potassium carbonate ( $212 \mathrm{mg}, 1.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in mixture of dioxane and water ( $4: 2 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{v}: \mathrm{v}$ ). The mixture was deoxygenated by rinsing with argon for 15 min . Then, resulting mixture was heated up to $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{DCM}$ complex ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was stirred at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours. After cooling to room temperature, water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the reaction mixture and it was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $0-25 \%$ methanol in chloroform) to give a colorless solid ( 143 mg , yield: $55 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.41$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.07$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.9,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13$ - $3.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16-3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$,
$2.64-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.33-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.85(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
(E)-(4-((4-(3-(3-(4-hydroxypiperidin-1-yl)propoxy)-2-methylphenyl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-ylidene)methyl)-2-methoxybenzyl)-D-serine (4.13)

4.12 ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and D-serine ( $112 \mathrm{mg}, 1.07$ mmol ) were dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 6 mL ) and AcOH ( 3 droplets) was added. The resulted mixture was stirred for 3 hours at room temperature. After that time, $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(74$ $\mathrm{mg}, 1.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. Solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $4: 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}+2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in MeOH ) to give the product 4.13 as a colorless solid ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 32 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36$ (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.03$ (d, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{t}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07-3.93(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04$ (brs, 2H), $2.82-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 157.4,150.4,144.0,142.3,141.0,139.7,138.9,130.3,127.0$, $126.2,123.5,121.1,120.3,119.2,116.2,110.9,110.2,103.2,66.4,66.0,62.6,61.0,55.5,54.6$, 51.1, 45.7, 39.5, 34.4, 34.2, 30.0, 29.7, 29.0, 26.7, 12.9. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.39 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $599.22 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 599.31. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $601.3270 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 601.3278 .

### 4.2.5. Preparation of ARB272542 Derivatives as Potential PD-1/PD-L1 Derivatives - Synthesis and Activity Determination

## 2-methyl-3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)aniline (5.1)



3-bromo-2-methylaniline ( $3.75 \mathrm{~g}, 20.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), bis(pinacolato)diborane $(7.68 \mathrm{~g}, 30.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ and anhydrous potassium acetate $(5.86 \mathrm{~g}, 60.48$ mmol) were dissolved in anhydrous dioxane ( 150 mL ) in two-neck roundbottom flask under argon atmosphere. Reaction mixture was heated up to $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ dichloromethane complex ( $824 \mathrm{mg}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and heating was continued
overnight. Afterwards, reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, water (200 mL ) was added and extraction with ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) followed. Organic phases were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, hexane/ethyl acetate 4:1) giving compound $5.1(4.70 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%)$ as colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.4,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 144.6,128.6,126.5,126.0,117.8,83.5,77.2$, 25.1, 25.0, 15.8.

## 2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3,3'-diamine (5.2)



The compound 5.1 was prepared according to the procedure used for 6.7. 3-bromo-2-methylaniline ( $3.13 \mathrm{~g}, 16.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 5.1 ( 4.70 g , 20.16 mmol ), ( $374 \mathrm{mg}, 9.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium carbonate ( 6.96 g , $50.40 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{DCM}$ ( $685 \mathrm{mg}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and mixture of deoxygenated dioxane/water ( $64: 32 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{v}: \mathrm{v}$ ) were used to obtain compound $\mathbf{5 . 2}$ as a brown solid ( 2.24 g , yield: $63 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.06(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.61$ (dd, $J=7.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.67 (s, 4H), $1.89(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 144.6, 143.0, 126.0, 120.7, 120.3, 113.8, 13.9.

## Dimethyl 6,6'-(((2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3,3'-diyl)bis(azanediyl))bis(carbonyl)) dinicotinate (5.3)



5-(methoxycarbonyl)picolinic acid (4.62 g, 25.47 $\mathrm{mmol})$ and HATU ( $9.68 \mathrm{~g}, 25.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were placed in the round bottom flask under argon. Then anhydrous DMF ( 43 mL ) and DIPEA ( $5.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 31.84$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ were added and resulted mixture was stirred for 30 minutes. Afterwards, 5.2 ( 1.50 g , 7.08 mmol ) dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 40 mL ) was added dropwise and resulted mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. After that time, mixture was poured over the solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The resulting precipitate was filtered off. Solid was purified by maceration in ethyl acetate/methanol mixture, giving final product (5.3) as white solid ( 3.54 g ) with $93 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00$ (s, 6H), 2.14 (s, 6H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, DMSO) $\delta$ 164.7, 160.9, 152.8, 149.3, 142.1, 138.8, 135.8, 128.2, 127.1, 126.2, 126.0, 121.9, 121.0, 14.3.

## N,N'-(2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3,3'-diyl)bis(5-(hydroxymethyl)picolinamide) (5.4)


$5.3(1.83 \mathrm{~g}, 3.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ was placed in a round bottom flask under argon and it was dissolved in a mixture of anhydrous THF and anhydrous $\mathrm{MeOH}(100: 50 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{v}: \mathrm{v})$. The mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and followingly sodium borohydride ( $685 \mathrm{mg}, 31.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added portionwise. The reaction was monitored by TLC and it was completed after 5 hours. The excess of reductor was quenched by addition of ethyl acetate ( 5 mL ), water ( 5 mL ), and hydrochloric acid ( 2 mL ). Then, water ( 25 mL ) was added and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. A colorless precipitate (5.4) was obtained ( $1.52 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO) $\delta$ 10.34 (s, 2H), 8.67 (d, $J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.15$ (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.00$ (dd, $J=8.0,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.88 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 162.2,148.3,146.9$, $141.8,141.5,136.3,136.0,128.7,126.1,125.8,122.6,121.9,60.3,14.5$.

## N,N'-(2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3,3'-diyl)bis(5-(((1,3-dihydroxy-2-(hydroxymethyl) propan-2-yl)amino)methyl)picolinamide) (5.5)



The compound 5.5 was prepared according to the procedure used for $\mathbf{6 . 1 3}$. In the first step, 5.4 ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anhydrous DCM ( 13 mL ) with addition of anhydrous

DMF ( 0.2 mL ), and thionyl chloride ( $0.45 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The product from the first step was treated with 2-amino-2-hydroxymethyl-propane-1,3-diol ( $752 \mathrm{mg}, 6.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $0.90 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous DMF ( 9 mL ). A colorless precipitate $5.5(87 \mathrm{mg}$, yield: $20 \%$ ) was obtained as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 10.34(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.72(\mathrm{~s}$, 2 H ), 8.13 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.08 (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.90 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.33 (t, $J=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~s}, J=142.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}, J=66.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~s}$, $J=44.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta$ 162.1, 148.6, 148.2, 141.8, $137.8,136.3,128.5,126.0,125.8,122.4,121.7,60.8,42.8,39.5,14.5$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=3.30 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $689.27 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 689.33. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $689.3294 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 689.3299 .

## N,N'-(2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3,3'-diyl)bis(5-(((1,3-dihydroxypropan-2-yl)amino) methyl)picolinamide) (5.6)



The compound 5.6 was prepared according to the procedure used for 6.13. In the first step, 5.4 ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anhydrous DCM ( 11 mL ) with addition of anhydrous DMF ( 0.1 mL ), and thionyl chloride ( $0.38 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The product from the first step was treated with 2 -amino-1,3-propanediol ( $472 \mathrm{mg}, 5.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $0.75 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous DMF ( 7 mL ). A colorless precipitate $\mathbf{5 . 6}$ ( 38 mg , yield: $24 \%$ ) was obtained as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 10.34(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.99$ (d, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.52 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.42$ (ddd, $J=36.6,10.8,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.63-$ $2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 162.1,148.4,148.2,141.8,140.2$, $137.5,136.3,128.6,126.1,125.8,122.5,121.8,60.9,60.3,60.2,47.7,39.5,14.5$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=3.57 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $627.06 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 627.29. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $629.3083 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 629.3087.

## N,N'-(2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3,3'-diyl)bis(5-(( $(2-$ hydroxyethyl)(methyl)amino)methyl)picolinamide) (5.7)



The compound 5.7 was prepared according to the procedure used for 6.13. In the first step, 5.4 ( $800 \mathrm{mg}, 1.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anhydrous DCM $(14 \mathrm{~mL})$ with addition of anhydrous DMF $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$, and thionyl chloride ( $1.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.54$ mmol ) were used. The product from the first step was treated with N -methylethanolamine (1.23 $\mathrm{mL}, 15.26 \mathrm{mmol})$, DIPEA ( $0.95 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous DMF ( 20 mL ). A yellow oil 5.7 ( 738 mg , yield: $75 \%$ ) was obtained as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, ) $\delta 10.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 8.55 (dd, $J=1.9,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.86$ (dd, $J=8.0,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32$ (t, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.99$ (dd, $J=7.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 162.1, 149.4, 148.8, 142.3, 138.2, 137.5, 136.1, 126.6, 126.3, 126.1, 122.3, 120.8, 59.5, 58.8, 58.7, 41.7, 14.5. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.59 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $597.26 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 596.31.

N,N'-(2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3,3'-diyl)bis(5-(((1,3-dihydroxypropan-2-yl) (methyl)amino)methyl)picolinamide) (5.8)

5.6 ( $135 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 6 mL of anhydrous methanol with addition of 0.3 mL of acetic acid. The reaction mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was treated with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol})$ and then formaldehyde ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}, 37 \%$ water solution) was added. The resulted solution was mixed overnight at room temperature and then was concentrated under reduced pressure. Water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and it was washed with DCM ( $50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ) with small addition of MeOH. Organic phases were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, chloroform: methanol, $4: 1+1 \%$ solution of ammonia in methanol) giving compound $\mathbf{5 . 8}$ ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 24 \%$ ) as colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 10.33(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.32 (t, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.99 (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.40 (brs, 4H), 3.89 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.63 $3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 162.1,148.7,148.3,141.8,139.8,137.9,136.2,128.4,126.0,125.8,122.4,121.8$, $65.6,59.2,55.7,37.6,14.5$. UPLC - MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.54 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ found: $655.31 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 655.32. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $657.3395 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 657.3400.

### 4.2.6. 3,4-dihydro-2H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazine Derivatives as Potential PD-1/PD-L1 Antagonists

## 8-bromo-3,4-dihydro-2H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazine (6.1)



2-amino-6-bromophenol ( $8.00 \mathrm{~g}, 42.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dibromoethane ( $4.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 51.06$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and potassium carbonate $(17.64 \mathrm{~g}, 127.64 \mathrm{mmol})$ were placed in the flask under argon and were dissolved in 80 mL of anhydrous DMF. The mixture was heated at $125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Then, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. After cooling to room temperature, saturated sodium chloride solution ( 200 mL ) was added. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were washed with water ( 100 mL ), combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and then the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $0-50 \%$ petroleum ether in DCM) to give the product as a brown oil
(4.64 g, yield: $51 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 6.88(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.9,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.9,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.39(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 140.8,135.0,122.3,121.9,114.6,110.8,77.2,65.9,40.8$.

## 4-(8-bromo-3,4-dihydro-2H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazine-4-carbonyl)benzaldehyde (6.2)



4-carboxybenzaldehyde ( $1.90 \mathrm{~g}, 12.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was placed in the round bottom flask under argon. Then, anhydrous toluene ( 20 mL ) and thionyl chloride ( $2.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 34.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. The resulting mixture was heated at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a cooling condenser fitted with a tube with calcium chloride. Heating was continued for 1 hour until a clear solution was obtained. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to get the corresponding acid chloride. In the second step, the obtained acid chloride was added portionwise to a previously cooled solution of $\mathbf{6 . 1}(2.20 \mathrm{~g}$, $10.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 25 mL ). Afterwards, the content of the flask was stirred for 10 minutes at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then for 30 minutes at room temperature. Saturated sodium bicarbonate solution ( 80 mL ) was added portionwise and mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were washed with water ( 100 mL ), combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $0-50 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to give the product 6.2 as a colorless solid ( 2.25 g , yield: $72 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 7.64 (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.27 (dd, $J=8.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.77 (s, 1H), 6.52 (m, 1H), 4.52 (s, 2H), 4.04 (s, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 191.5,167.6,143.5,140.4,137.7,129.9$, 129.2, 126.9, 123.8, 120.5, 111.3, 67.5.
(2-methyl-3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)phenyl)methanol (6.3)


3-brom-2-methylbenzyl alcohol (2.00 g, 9.95 mmol$)$, bis(pinacolato)diboron ( $3.03 \mathrm{~g}, 11.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and potassium acetate ( 2.93 $\mathrm{g}, 29.84 \mathrm{mmol})$ were placed in the round bottom flask under argon and were dissolved in anhydrous dioxane ( 60 mL ). The resulting solution was heated to $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{DCM}(406 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and resulting mixture was heated overnight at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and then, the it was washed with dichloromethane ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ).The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $0-50 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to give the product a colorless solid 6.3 ( 2.45 g , yield: $99 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz ,
$\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 142.7,138.8,135.5,130.3$, 125.3, 83.7, 63.9, 25.0, 17.5.

3-methoxy-4-((2-methyl-3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2yl)benzyl)oxy)benzaldehyde (6.4)

$6.3(1.55 \mathrm{~g}, 6.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in dry DCM ( 26 mL ) with catalytic amount of anhydrous DMF ( 0.06 mL ) and it was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(2.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 27.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added portionwise. After 2 hours, $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and it was washed with DCM ( $2 \times 80$ $\mathrm{mL})$. Organic layers were extracted with water ( 100 mL ), combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. After drying of the product, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ $(1.73 \mathrm{~g}, 12.95 \mathrm{mmol})$, 4-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzaldehyde ( $1.02 \mathrm{~g}, 6.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous DMF ( 23 mL ) were added and resulted mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. Afterwards, mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, water was added ( 100 mL ) and it was extracted with ethyl acetate ( 2 x 100 mL ). The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $0-100 \%$ AcOEt in hexane). The product was obtained as a colorless solid ( $1.49 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 191.1,153.9,150.3,142.8,136.1,133.9,130.7,130.4,126.8,125.3$, $112.4,109.5,83.8,69.8,56.2,25.0,17.7$.

4-((2-methyl-3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzyl)oxy)benzaldehyde (6.5)


The compound 6.5 was prepared according to the procedure used for 6.4. For the generation of alkylating agent were used $6.3(2.40 \mathrm{~g}, 9.67 \mathrm{mmol})$, thionyl chloride ( $3.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 52.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anhydrous DMF ( 0.14 mL ), and anhydrous DCM ( 45 mL ). The Williamson reaction involved the use of 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde ( $1.42 \mathrm{~g}, 11.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium carbonate ( $2.68 \mathrm{~g}, 19.39$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and anhydrous DMF ( 40 mL ). A colorless solid ( $1.88 \mathrm{~g}, 57 \%$ ) was obtained. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36$
$(\mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 191.2, 191.1, 164.3, 143.5, 136.6, 134.1, 132.4, 131.5, 130.4, 125.6, 115.4, 84.0, 69.5, 25.2, 18.0.

## 3-methyl-4-((2-methyl-3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzyl)oxy) benzaldehyde (6.6)



The compound 6.6 was prepared according to the procedure used for 6.4. For the generation of alkylating agent were used $6.3(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 8.06 \mathrm{mmol})$, thionyl chloride ( $2.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 40.32$ mmol), anhydrous DMF ( 0.8 mL ), and anhydrous DCM ( 34 mL ). The Williamson reaction involved the use of 4-hydroxy-3-methylbenzaldehyde ( $1.32 \mathrm{~g}, 9.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium carbonate ( $2.23 \mathrm{~g}, 16.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous DMF ( 30 mL ). A colorless solid ( $1.19 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \%$ ) was obtained. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73$ - 7.67 (m, 2H), 7.49 (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 191.3,162.2$, 143.1, 136.1, 134.2, 131.7, 130.9, 130.7, 129.7, 128.2, 125.3, 111.0, 83.8, 69.2, 25.0, 17.8, 16.6.

## 4-((3-(4-(4-formylbenzoyl)-3,4-dihydro-2H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-8-yl)-2-methylbenzyl) oxy)-3-methoxybenzaldehyde (6.7)


6.2 ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 1.73 \mathrm{mmol}), 6.4(860 \mathrm{mg}, 2.25 \mathrm{mmol})$, and potassium carbonate ( $718 \mathrm{mg}, 5.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in mixture of dioxane and water (20:10 mL, $\mathrm{v}: \mathrm{v})$. The mixture was deoxygenated by rinsing with argon for 15 min . Then, resulting mixture was heated up to $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{DCM}$ complex ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was stirred at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours. Afterwards, water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the reaction mixture and it was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $0-30 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to give a beige solid ( 584 mg , yield: $66 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.16$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 10.07$ (s, 1H), 7.93 (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.69 (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.39 (dd, $J=7.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73$ (brs, 1 H ), $5.27(\mathrm{q}, ~ J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-3.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H), $2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 191.6, 190.4, 153.3, 151.3, 143.8, 141.0, $138.8,137.6,136.1,134.7,131.1,130.7,129.9,129.5,129.2,127.8,125.7,124.4,123.9,119.8$, 119.1, 118.1, 75.0, 66.7, 56.3, 16.1.

## 4-((3-(4-(4-formylbenzoy))-3,4-dihydro-2H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-8-yl)-2methylbenzyl)oxy)benzaldehyde (6.8)



The compound 6.8 was prepared according to the procedure used for 6.7. $6.2(700 \mathrm{mg}, 2.02 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathbf{6 . 5}$ ( $925 \mathrm{mg}, 2.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium carbonate ( 838 mg , $6.07 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{DCM}$ complex ( $83 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and mixture of dioxane and water ( $44: 22 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{v}: \mathrm{v}$ ) were used to obtain product $\mathbf{6 . 8}$ as a yellow solid ( $753 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.07$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 9.91 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.93 (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.89-7.85$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.23$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{q}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), $4.35(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 191.5, $190.9,164.0,143.7,140.9,138.7,137.6,135.6,134.1,132.2,130.8,130.6,130.3,129.9,129.2$, $128.4,128.0,125.8,123.9,119.8,115.2,69.4,66.7,16.0$.

## 4-((3-(4-(4-formylbenzoyl)-3,4-dihydro-2H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-8-yl)-2-methylbenzyl) oxy)-3-methylbenzaldehyde (6.9)



The compound 6.9 was prepared according to the procedure used for 6.7. $\mathbf{6 . 2}$ ( $700 \mathrm{mg}, 2.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathbf{6 . 6}$ ( $963 \mathrm{mg}, 2.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium carbonate ( 838 mg , $6.07 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{DCM}$ complex ( $83 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and mixture of dioxane and water ( $44: 22 \mathrm{~mL}$, v:v) were used to obtain product 6.9 as a colorless solid ( $519 \mathrm{mg}, 51 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.08$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $9.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78-7.69$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31-5.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{brs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-$ 3.97 (m, 2H), $2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 191.5,191.2,162.1$, 143.7, 140.9, 138.5, 137.5, 135.2, 134.4, 131.7, 130.8, 130.7, 130.3, 129.8, 129.7, 129.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.7, 125.7, 123.9, 119.7, 111.0, 69.2, 66.7, 16.5, 15.9.
(8-(3-((4-(hydroxymethyl)-2-methoxyphenoxy)methyl)-2-methylphenyl)-2,3-dihydro-4H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-4-yl)(4-(hydroxymethyl)phenyl)methanone (6.10)

$6.7(585 \mathrm{mg}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ placed in a round bottom flask under argon and it was dissolved in a mixture of anhydrous THF and anhydrous MeOH (16:8 mL
$\mathrm{v}: \mathrm{v}$ ). The mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and followingly sodium borohydride ( $254 \mathrm{mg}, 6.71 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added portionwise. The reaction was monitored by TLC and it was completed after 15 minutes. The excess of reductor was quenched by addition of ethyl acetate ( 5 mL ), water ( 5 $\mathrm{mL})$, and hydrochloric acid ( 2 mL ). Then, water $(25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. A colorless precipitate was obtained ( $501 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.52$ (d, $J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=7.6,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78-6.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{q}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{t}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.1,150.2,148.0,144.0,143.6,138.5,135.2,135.1,135.0,134.4,130.9$, $130.0,128.9,128.0,127.6,126.8,125.6,123.9,119.6,119.5,114.4,111.3,77.16,70.39,66.65$, 65.38, 64.69, 56.11, 15.90.

## (8-(3-((4-(hydroxymethyl)phenoxy)methyl)-2-methylphenyl)-2,3-dihydro-4H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-4-yl)(4-(hydroxymethyl)phenyl)methanone (6.11)

 sodium borohydride ( $374 \mathrm{mg}, 9.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and mixture of anhydrous THF/MeOH ( $22: 11 \mathrm{~mL}$, $\mathrm{v}: \mathrm{v}$ ) were used to obtain compound $\mathbf{6 . 1 1}$ as a colorless foam ( 667 mg , yield: $82 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 7.30 (brs, 1H), $7.28-7.21$ (m, 3H), 7.14 (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01$ (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90$ $-6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.30-4.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 168.7,157.4,145.2,143.2,138.4,135.1,135.1,134.9,133.8,130.0$, $129.8,128.1,128.0,127.8,126.4,126.2,126.1,125.2,123.5,119.2,114.4,68.4,66.0,62.6$, 62.4, 39.5, 15.5.
(8-(3-((4-(hydroxymethyl)-2-methylphenoxy)methyl)-2-methylphenyl)-2,3-dihydro-4H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-4-yl)(4-(hydroxymethyl)phenyl)methanone (6.12)


The compound 6.12 was prepared according to the procedure used for 6.10 .6 .9 ( 420 mg , 0.830 mmol ), sodium borohydride ( 189 mg ,
4.98 mmol ) and mixture of anhydrous $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH}(12: 6 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{v}: \mathrm{v})$ were used to obtain compound 6.12 as a colorless foam ( 219 mg , yield: $52 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.54$ $-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20$ $-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79-6.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.17-5.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03-3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.18 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.2,156.7,144.2,143.6,138.5,135.4,135.1$, 134.2, 133.1, 130.8, 130.1, 129.9, 128.8, 127.6, 127.5, 127.4, 126.7, 126.1, 125.9, 125.6, 123.9, $119.6,111.4,69.0,66.6,65.1,64.5,16.5,15.9$.

## (8-(3-((4-(((1,3-dihydroxy-2-(hydroxymethyl)propan-2-yl)amino)methyl)-2-

 methoxyphenoxy)methyl)-2-methylphenyl)-2,3-dihydro-4H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-4-yl)(4-(((1,3-dihydroxy-2-(hydroxymethyl)propan-2-yl)amino)methyl)phenyl)methanone (6.13)
$6.10(250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in anhydrous DCM ( 7 mL ) with catalytic amount of dry DMF ( 0.1 mL ). The resulted solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then, thionyl chloride $(0.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.51 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . Afterwards, the resulted solution was poured to the separation funnel with the 50 mL of sodium carbonate solution. Phases were separated and water phase was washed with dichloromethane ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. As a result yellow oil was obtained. In the second step of reaction, to the flask with resulted chloride TIRS ( 577 mg , 4.77 mmol ), anhydrous DMF ( 9 mL ) and DIPEA ( $0.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added respectively. Resulted mixture was heated overnight at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Afterwards, solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the mixture of water and ethyl acetate ( $40 \mathrm{~mL}, 1: 1, \mathrm{v}: \mathrm{v}$ ) was added to separate the phases. The aqueous phase was further extracted with ethyl acetate: methanol (20:5 mL), and then washed with the mixture of chloroform: methanol ( $20: 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and then concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, chloroform: methanol, 4:1+1\% solution of ammonia in methanol). A colorless solid 6.13 (197 mg , yield: $56 \%$ ) was obtained as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , MeOD) $\delta 7.53-7.47$ ( m , $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4$, $1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75-6.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{q}, J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.31-4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-3.91$
(m, 2H), 3.88 (s, 2H), $3.77-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63$ (s, 6H), 3.56 (s, 6H), 3.33 (s, 3H), 2.12 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , MeOD) $\delta 171.2,154.2,147.0,145.2,140.0,137.2,136.8,135.4,132.4$, $131.3,130.6,129.8,129.7,128.4,127.3,126.4,125.8,125.1,123.0,120.4,113.5,74.6,67.6$, $65.2,62.8,62.1,56.4,49.9,49.0,46.7,42.1,16.2$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=3.79 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{10}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $732.34 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 732.35. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{10}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $732.3491 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 732.3496.

## (8-(3-((4-(((2-hydroxyethyl)amino)methyl)-2-methoxyphenoxy)methyl)-2-methylphenyl)-2,3-dihydro-4H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-4-yl)(4-(((2- <br> hydroxyethyl)amino)methyl)phenyl)methanone (6.14)



The compound 6.14 was prepared according to the procedure used for 6.13. In the first step, 6.10 ( $225 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anhydrous DCM ( 7 $\mathrm{mL})$ with addition of anhydrous DMF ( 0.1 mL ), and thionyl chloride ( $0.31 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The product from the first step was treated with ethanolamine ( $0.26 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $0.60 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous DMF ( 9 mL ). A colorless precipitate 6.14 (165 mg , yield: $55 \%$ ) was obtained as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.12$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.92-6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{q}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.34-4.22(\mathrm{~m}, J=4.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.87-2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 168.3,152.5,145.8,143.3,138.4,135.8,135.5,135.2,135.1$, $130.2,130.0,129.9,128.6,128.2,126.5,125.9,125.3,124.4,123.4,122.2,119.2,113.5,72.7$, $65.9,56.7,56.6,55.9,49.7,48.9,48.8,44.5,39.5,15.5$. UPLC - MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.19 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $612.44 \mathrm{~m} / z$; calc. mass: 612.31. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $612.3068 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 612.3074 .
(8-(3-((4-(((1,3-dihydroxypropan-2-yl)amino)methyl)-2-methoxyphenoxy)methyl)-2-methylphenyl)-2,3-dihydro-4H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-4-yl)(4-(((1,3-dihydroxypropan-2yl)amino)methyl)phenyl)methanone (6.15)


The compound 6.15 was prepared according to the procedure used for 6.13. In the first step, 6.10 ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anhydrous DCM ( 6 mL ) with addition of anhydrous DMF ( 0.1 mL ),
and thionyl chloride ( $0.34 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The product from the first step was treated with 2-amino-1,3-propanediol ( $432 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $0.66 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous DMF ( 7 mL ). A colorless precipitate $\mathbf{6 . 1 5}$ ( 194 mg , yield: $61 \%$ ) was obtained as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44$ (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.42 (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01$ (d, $J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.78$ (m, 3H), 5.07 (s, 2H), 4.45 (brs, 4H), 4.29 - 4.19 (m, 2H), $3.90-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.59$ - 2.53 (m, 2H), 2.11 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 168.7,149.1,146.7,144.1,143.3$, $138.4,135.3,133.9,133.7,130.0,129.8,128.1,127.8,126.4,126.1,125.2,123.5,120.0,119.2$, 113.6, 112.1, 69.24, 65.91, 61.06, 60.90, 60.36, 60.15, 55.51, 50.40, 39.52, 15.45. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=3.83 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $670.46 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 670.31. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $672.3278 \mathrm{~m} / z$; calc. mass: 672.3285.

## (8-(3-((4-(((1,3-dihydroxy-2-(hydroxymethyl)propan-2-yl)amino)methyl)phenoxy) methyl)-2-methylphenyl)-2,3-dihydro-4H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-4-yl)(4-(((1,3-dihydroxy-

 2-(hydroxymethyl)propan-2-yl)amino)methyl)phenyl)methanone (6.16)

The compound 6.16 was prepared according to the procedure used for 6.13. In the first step, 6.11 ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anhydrous DCM ( 7 $\mathrm{mL})$ with addition of anhydrous DMF ( 0.1 mL ), and thionyl chloride ( $0.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The product from the first step was treated with TRIS ( $612 \mathrm{mg}, 5.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $0.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous DMF ( 9 mL ). A colorless precipitate $\mathbf{6 . 1 6}$ ( 88.5 mg , yield: $25 \%$ ) was obtained as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91-6.80$ (m, 2H), 5.14 (s, 2H), 4.25 (s, 2H), $4.03-3.91$ (m, 4H), 3.86 (s, 2H), 3.55 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.47 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.11 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 168.6,158.2,143.2,138.5$, $135.2,135.0,134.1,130.9,130.0,129.9,128.5,128.0,127.9,126.4,126.1,125.2,123.5,119.2$, $114.5,68.4,65.9,60.3,59.3,58.9,45.2,44.9,39.5,15.5$ UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=3.80$ min , for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $700.17 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 700.32. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $702.3385 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 702.3391.

## (8-(3-((4-(((2-hydroxyethyl)amino)methyl)phenoxy)methyl)-2-methylphenyl)-2,3-dihydro-4H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-4-yl)(4-(((2-hydroxyethyl)amino)methyl)phenyl) methanone (6.17)



The compound 6.17 was prepared according to the procedure used for 6.13. In the first step, 6.11 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anhydrous DCM ( 6 mL ) with addition of anhydrous DMF ( 0.1 mL ), and thionyl chloride ( $0.29 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The product from the first step was treated with ethanolamine ( $0.24 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $0.56 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous DMF ( 7 mL ). A colorless precipitate 6.17 (86 mg , yield: $37 \%$ ) was obtained as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}) \delta 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~s}$, 2H), 4.25 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.09 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.05 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.90-3.79$ (m, 2H), $3.74-3.58$ (m, 4H), $2.95-$ 2.76 (m, 4H), $2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 168.4,158.8,143.3,138.5,136.7$, $135.4,135.3,134.9,131.7,130.0,130.0,129.6,128.2,128.0,126.6,125.9,125.3,124.7,123.5$, $119.3,114.8,68.5,65.9,57.4,56.7,50.2,49.5,49.2,48.3,39.5,15.6$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.13 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $582.33 \mathrm{~m} / z$; calc. mass: 582.30. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: 582.2962 m/z; calc. mass: 582.2968.
(8-(3-((4-(((1,3-dihydroxypropan-2-yl)amino)methyl)phenoxy)methyl)-2-methylphenyl)-2,3-dihydro-4H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-4-yl)(4-(((1,3-dihydroxypropan-2yl)amino)methyl)phenyl)methanone (6.18)


The compound 6.18 was prepared according to the procedure used for 6.13. In the first step, $6.11(250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$, anhydrous DCM ( 7 mL ) with addition of anhydrous DMF ( 0.1 mL ), and thionyl chloride $(0.32 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ were used. The product from the first step was treated with 2-amino-1,3-propanediol ( $458 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $0.74 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous DMF ( 9 mL ). A colorless precipitate $\mathbf{6 . 1 8}$ ( 182 mg , yield: $56 \%$ ) was obtained as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 7.51$ (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.45 (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.42 (d, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.02 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.48$ (brs, 4H), $4.26-4.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{p}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{p}$, $J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 168.7,157.6,143.8$
143.24 138.4, 135.2, 135.1, 133.8, 130.0, 129.8, 129.6, 128.1, 127.9, 126.4, 126.1, 125.2, 123.5, $119.2,114.5,68.4,65.9,60.9,60.3,60.0,50.3,49.7,39.5,15.5$. UPLC - MS (DAD/ESI) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=$ 3.86 min , for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $640.49 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 640.30. HRMS ESI-MS-qTOF for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $642.3174 \mathrm{~m} / z$; calc. mass: 642.3179.
(8-(3-((4-(((S)-3-hydroxypyrrolidin-1-yl)methyl)phenoxy)methyl)-2-methylphenyl)-2,3-dihydro-4H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-4-yl)(4-(((S)-3-hydroxypyrrolidin-1yl)methyl)phenyl)methanone (6.19)


The compound 6.19 was prepared according to $(183 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol})$, anhydrous DCM ( 6 mL ) with addition of anhydrous DMF $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$, and thionyl chloride ( $0.27 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The product from the first step was treated with (S)-pyrrolidin-3-ol ( $0.52 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $0.52 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous DMF ( 8 mL ). A colorless precipitate $\mathbf{6 . 1 9}$ ( 86 mg , yield: $37 \%$ ) was obtained as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 7.51$ (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.42 (d, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.39 (d, $J=8.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.34 (brs, 1H), $7.29-7.21$ (m, 3H), 7.14 (dd, $J=7.5,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.01 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.80-4.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.29-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.82$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.38-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 168.6,157.6,143.2,141.8,138.4,135.1,135.0,134.0,130.0,129.9,129.8$, $128.4,128.1,127.9,126.4,126.0,125.2,123.4,119.1,114.4,69.4,69.2,68.4,65.9,62.5,62.2$, $59.3,58.9,52.4,52.2,39.5,34.4,34.2,15.5$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.17 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $634.58 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 634.33. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $634.3275 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 634.3281.

## (8-(3-((4-(((1,3-dihydroxy-2-(hydroxymethyl)propan-2-yl)amino)methyl)-2-

 methylphenoxy)methyl)-2-methylphenyl)-2,3-dihydro-4H-benzo[b][1,4]oxazin-4-yl)(4-(((1,3-dihydroxy-2-(hydroxymethyl)propan-2-yl)amino)methyl)phenyl)methanone (6.20) The compound 6.20 was prepared according to the procedure used for 6.13. In the first step, $\mathbf{6 . 1 2}(200 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.39 mmol ), anhydrous DCM ( 19 mL ) with addition of anhydrous DMF ( 0.1 mL ), and thionyl chloride ( 0.29 $\mathrm{mL}, 3.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The product from the first step was treated with TRIS ( 475 mg , 3.92 mmol ), DIPEA ( $0.58 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous DMF ( 19 mL ). A colorless
precipitate 6.20 ( 105 mg , yield: $38 \%$ ) was obtained as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20$ $-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{brs}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.27$ $-4.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.37$ (brs, 3 H ), $2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 168.7, 155.6, 143.2, $138.4,135.4,135.0,133.7,131.1,130.0,129.7,128.1,127.4,127.3,126.4,126.1,125.6,125.2$, $123.5,119.2,111.5,68.4,65.9,61.0,60.4,60.2,45.2,44.9,43.6,16.2,15.5$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.06 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $714.27 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 714.33. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $716.3540 \mathrm{~m} / z$; calc. mass: 716.3547.

### 4.2.7. Elongated Pseudo $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-symmetric PD-1/PD-L1 Inhibitors

## methyl 4-((3-bromo-2-methylphenyl)carbamoyl)benzoate (7.1)

4- (methoxycarbonyl) benzoic acid ( $3.60 \mathrm{~g}, 19.87 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was
 placed in the dried, one- neck round bottom flask under argon. Then, $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(14.51 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and resulted suspension was stirred for 4 hours at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a cooling condenser fitted with a tube with calcium chloride. Heating was continued for 1 hour until a clear solution was obtained. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to get the corresponding acid chloride. To the resulted solid, toluene ( $15 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ) was added and it was concentrated again under reduced pressure to remove the residues of thionyl chloride. In the second step, to the acid chloride solubilized in anhydrous THF ( 40 mL ), 3-bromo-2-methylaniline ( $3.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 30$ mmol ) solution in anhydrous THF ( 40 mL ) and triethylamine ( $14 \mathrm{~mL}, 100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added respectively and portionwise. Resulted suspension was stirred overnight at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then it was concentrated under reduced pressure. Water ( 100 mL ) was added and the mixture was washed with DCM ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The product was obtained as a colorless solid ( $6.92 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.3,165.2,138.4,136.4$, $133.4,131.0,130.5,130.3,127.7,127.3,125.8,123.7,52.7,18.1$.

## $\mathbf{N}$-(3-bromo-2-methylphenyl)-4-(hydroxymethyl)benzamide (7.2)


$7.1(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ was placed in the dry, two-neck, round bottom flask under argon. Then, anhydrous THF ( 80 mL ) and $\mathrm{MeOH}(16 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added, and followingly $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}(624 \mathrm{mg}$, 28.65 mmol ) was added portionwise. Reaction mixture was stirred for 2 hours at room temperature. After, that time reaction was quenched, water ( 80 mL ) was added and the mixture was extracted with AcOEt ( 2 x 80 mL ). The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous MgSO 4 , filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $0-100 \% \mathrm{AcOEt}$ in hexane) to give 7.2 as a colorless solid ( $1.84 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54$ (dd, $J=8.1,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.11$ (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 169.1,147.4,138.5,136.1$, 134.1, 132.2, 128.8, 128.4, 127.8, 127.7, 126.5, 64.6, 18.7.

## N-(3'-((4-formylphenoxy)methyl)-2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)-4-(hydroxymethyl) benzamide (7.3)


7.2 ( $840 \mathrm{mg}, 2.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathbf{6 . 5}$ ( $1.20 \mathrm{~g}, 3.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.08 \mathrm{~g}, 7.83 \mathrm{mmol})$ were placed in the two-neck round bottom flask under argon. Then, dioxane ( 24 mL ) and water ( 12 mL ) were added and the resulted mixture was deoxygenated for 15 minutes. Afterwards, the mixture was heated to $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(152 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. Reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then it was cooled to the room temperature. Then, water ( 80 mL ) was added and extraction with AcOEt ( $2 \times 80 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was carried out. The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $0-100 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to give a colorless solid ( $1.10 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ ) as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 9.94$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 9.89 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.98 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.93-7.88$ (m, 2H), $7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.25$ (m, 4H), $7.16-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.33-5.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 191.4$, $165.2,163.5,146.4,142.0,141.8,136.8,134.7,134.5,132.8,132.0,131.9,129.9,129.3,127.9$, $127.5,127.0,126.1,126.0,125.6,115.3,68.8,62.5,15.3,15.1$.

## 4-formyl-N-(3'-((4-formylphenoxy)methyl)-2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)benzamide

 (7.4)
$7.3(690 \mathrm{mg}, 1.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ was placed in round bottom flask and it was dissolved in anhydrous DCM ( 14 mL ). Then Dess-Martin's reagent ( $756 \mathrm{mg}, 1.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ ( $311 \mathrm{mg}, 3.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. Reaction mixture was stirred for 2 hours at room temperature. After 2 hours, water ( 80 mL ) was added and it was washed with DCM ( $2 \times 80$ mL ). The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $0-100 \%$ AcOEt in hexane) to give an orange solid as the product ( $325 \mathrm{mg}, 51 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 7.89 (brs, 2H), $7.88-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 191.5,190.9$, 164.0, 142.7, 142.2, 140.2, 138.6, 135.7, 134.9, 134.4, 132.2, 130.4, 130.2, 130.1, 128.5, 128.2, 128.0, $127.5,126.5,125.9,123.0,115.2,69.4,15.8,14.9$.

## 4-(((2-hydroxyethyl)amino)methyl)-N-(3'-((4-(( $2-$

hydroxyethyl)amino)methyl)phenoxy)methyl)-2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3yl)benzamide (7.5)

$7.4(250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 11 mL ) and ethanolamine ( $0.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and AcOH ( 8 droplets) were added. The resulted mixture was stirred for 2 hours at room temperature. After that time, $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(340 \mathrm{mg}, 5.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. Solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $4: 1 \mathrm{DCM}$ : $\mathrm{MeOH}+2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in MeOH ) to give the product 7.5 as a colorless solid ( $91 \mathrm{mg}, 31 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 9.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-$ $7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.17-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65$ (s, 2H), $3.52-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.64-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 165.2,161.2,157.3,144.9,142.1,141.6,136.7,135.6,134.3,133.0,132.7$, $132.0,129.2,129.0,127.8,127.5,127.0,125.9,125.6,125.5,114.4,68.3,60.4,60.3,52.5,52.3$, 51.0, 50.9, 15.2, 15.1. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=3.91 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found:
$552.16 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 552.29. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $554.3013 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 554.3018.
(3'-amino-2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methanol (7.6)

5.1 ( $800 \mathrm{mg}, 3.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), (3-bromo-2-methylphenyl)methanol ( 575 $\mathrm{mg}, 2.86 \mathrm{mmol})$ and potassium carbonate $(1180 \mathrm{~g}, 8.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ were placed in the two-neck, round bottom flask under argon and mixture of dioxane and water ( $20: 10 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{v}: \mathrm{v}$ ) was added. The mixture was deoxygenated by rinsing with argon for 15 min . Then, resulting mixture was heated up to $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{DCM}$ complex ( $117 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was stirred at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours. Afterwards, water ( 100 mL ) was added to the reaction mixture and it was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $0-60 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to give a white solid ( 668 mg , yield: $100 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-$ $7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.88$ (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 144.7, 142.8, 142.6, 138.9, 134.3, 129.3, 126.5, 126.2, 125.5, 120.7, 120.3, 114.0, 64.1, 15.4, 14.0.

## 3'-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-amine (7.7)


7.6 ( $650 \mathrm{mg}, 2.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TBDMSCl ( $647 \mathrm{mg}, 4.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and imidazole ( $287 \mathrm{mg}, 4.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were placed in the round bottom flask under argon. Then, anhydrous DCM ( 44 mL ) was added and resulted mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. After that time, water was added to separate the phases. Followingly, water was washed with DCM ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $0-40 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane) to give a white solid ( 775 mg , yield: $79 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,0.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{q}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.96(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 144.4,142.9,142.2$, $139.4,133.2,128.4,126.2,125.3,125.3,120.9,120.6,114.1,63.8,26.1,18.5,15.2,14.0,-5.1$, -5.1.
methyl 6-((3'-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl) carbamoyl)nicotinate (7.8)


5-(methoxycarbonyl)picolinic acid ( $398 \mathrm{mg}, 2.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was placed in the dried, one- neck round bottom flask under argon. Then, $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(7.20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and anhydrous toluene ( 7 mL ) was added and resulted suspension was stirred for 4 hours at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a cooling condenser fitted with a tube with calcium chloride. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to get the corresponding acid chloride. To the resulted solid, toluene ( $15 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ) was added and it was concentrated again under reduced pressure to remove the residues of thionyl chloride. In the second step, to the acid chloride solubilized in anhydrous THF ( 15 mL ), 7.7 ( $750 \mathrm{mg}, 2.19$ mmol) solution in anhydrous THF ( 15 mL ) and triethylamine ( $1.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added respectively and portionwise. Resulted suspension was stirred overnight at room temperature and then it was concentrated under reduced pressure. Water ( 50 mL ) was added and the mixture was washed with DCM ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The product 7.8 was obtained as a colorless solid ( $827 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.0$, $0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,0.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{q}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 165.2,161.1$, $153.3,149.5,143.0,141.5,139.7,139.1,135.8,133.2,128.4,126.9,126.6,126.3,125.7,125.5$, $122.2,120.7,63.8,52.9,26.1,26.1,18.6,15.3,14.5,-5.1,-5.1$.

## methyl 6-((3'-(hydroxymethyl)-2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)carbamoyl)nicotinate

 (7.9) 7.8 ( $540 \mathrm{mg}, 1.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was placed in the round bottom flash and it was dissolved in anhydrous DCM ( 17 mL ). Then, HCl (4 M solution in dioxane) was added dropwise and resulted mixture was stirred at room temperature. The progress of reaction was monitored by TLC. After 1 hour reaction was completed and NaOH (1M solution) was added portionwise to neutralized the solution to neutral pH . Followingly, water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture was washed with DCM ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The product 7.9 was obtained as a colorless solid $(430 \mathrm{mg}, 100 \%)$ without additional purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$9.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 165.1,161.2,153.2,149.5,142.8,142.0,139.2,139.1,135.8$, $134.2,129.2,128.4,126.8,126.8,126.5,126.4,125.7,122.2,120.8,64.0,52.9,15.5,14.5$.
methyl 6-((3'-(((5-formyl-3-methoxypyridin-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)carbamoyl)nicotinate (7.10)

$7.9 \quad(300 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.77 \mathrm{mmol})$, 6-chloro-2methoxynicotinaldehyde ( $78 \mathrm{mg}, 0.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), cesium carbonate ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tert-BuXPhoS ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and XPhoS ( 57 mg , 0.12 mmol ) were placed in the round-bottom flask under argon. Then, anhydrous toluene ( 12 mL ) was added and the reaction mixture was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Followingly, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ and $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{DCM}$ were added and reaction mixture was reflux for 5 hours. Afterwards, reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the mixture of dichloromethane and water ( $100 \mathrm{~mL}, 1: 1, \mathrm{v}: \mathrm{v}$ ) was added to the residue. The mixture was extracted one more time with AcOEt ( 50 mL ), organic layers were combined and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel using $0-60 \%$ EtOAc in hexane to give pure product ( $243 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.21(\mathrm{~s}, J=0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.9,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52$ (dd, $J=8.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06$ (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~s}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 187.7,166.5,165.1,165.1,161.2,153.2,149.5,142.5,142.3,140.5,139.1$, $135.8,135.2,134.8,130.0,128.5,128.4,126.8,126.5,126.4,125.7,122.2,120.9,112.5,103.9$, 67.60, 54.02, 52.86, 15.88, 14.52.

## 5-(hydroxymethyl)-N-(3'-(((5-(hydroxymethyl)-3-methoxypyridin-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-2,2'-

 dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)picolinamide (7.11)

Compound 7.11 was prepared following the procedure for 7.21. $7.10(340 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4} 2 \mathrm{M}$ solution in THF ( $3.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ )
and mixture of anhydrous THF/MeOH ( $29: 15 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{v}: \mathrm{v}$ ) were used to obtain compound 7.11 as a yellow foam ( 62 mg , yield: $19 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27-8.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.42(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{~s}$, 2H), 3.97 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.10(2 \mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{MeOD}(20: 1)$ ) $\delta 162.4,162.0$, $160.2,148.9,146.9,142.6,142.0,140.4,140.2,136.1,135.7,134.9,129.5,128.2,126.9,126.3$, $126.2,125.5,122.2,120.9,120.8,114.3,101.2,66.7,61.8,60.0,53.4,15.7,14.4$.

## 5-(((2-hydroxyethyl)(methyl)amino)methyl)-N-(3'-(((5-(((2-hydroxyethyl)(methyl) amino)methyl)-3-methoxypyridin-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3yl)picolinamide (7.12)



The compound 7.12 was prepared according to the procedure used for $\mathbf{7 . 3 5}$. In the first step, $7.11(90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$, anhydrous DCM ( 5 mL ) with addition of anhydrous DMF ( 0.1 mL ), and thionyl chloride ( 0.11 $\mathrm{mL}, 1.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The product from the first step was treated with 2 -(methylamino)ethan-1-ol ( $144 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $0.27 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous DMF ( 5 mL ). A brown oil 7.12 ( 61 mg , yield: $55 \%$ ) was obtained as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.0,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45$ (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23$ (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.42(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95$ (s, 3H), $3.73-3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.72-2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.14$ $-2.06(2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 162.1,161.9,160.9,149.4,148.8,142.6,142.5$, $142.2,138.2,137.5,136.1,135.8,135.1,129.6,128.3,126.6,126.3,126.2,125.6,122.3,120.7$, $111.2,101.3,66.7,59.5,58.8,58.7,58.5,58.5,55.0,53.5,41.8,41.7,15.8,14.5$ UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.70 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: 614.45, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 614.33. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $614.3336 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 614.3342.
(3'-amino-2-fluoro-2'-methyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methanol (7.13)
 Compound 7.13 was prepared following the procedure for 7.6. Preparation involved the use of $\mathbf{5 . 1}$ ( $1200 \mathrm{mg}, 5.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), (3-bromo-2-fluorophenyl)methanol ( $880 \mathrm{mg}, 4.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium carbonate ( $1777 \mathrm{mg}, 12.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), which were stirred in mixture of deoxygenated dioxane and water
(40:20 mL, v:v) at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after addition of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{DCM}$ complex ( $175 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-100 \%$ AcOEt in hexane) and yielded 7.13 ( $729 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ ) as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $7.44-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68$ (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 157.5$ $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=246.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 144.8,136.5,131.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.3(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 126.3,124.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 121.2,120.9,114.9$, $59.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 14.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$.

## 3'-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-2'-fluoro-2-methyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-amine (7.14)



Compound 7.14 was prepared following the procedure for 7.7. Preparation involved the use of 7.6 ( $700 \mathrm{mg}, 3.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TBDMSCl ( $685 \mathrm{mg}, 4.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and imidazole ( $304 \mathrm{mg}, 4.55$ mmol), which were stirred overnight in anhydrous DCM ( 46 mL ). The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-100 \%$ AcOEt in hexane) and yielded 7.14 $(987 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%)$ as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.50(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{td}, J=7.3,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76$ (dd, $J=7.9,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.96$ (brs, 2H), $2.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.14(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 156.7(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=245.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 144.4,136.8,130.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=16.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}}\right.$ $\mathrm{F}=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 127.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 126.3,123.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 121.6,121.2,115.0,59.3$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 26.1,18.6,14.4,-5.2$.
methyl 6-((3'-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-2'-fluoro-2-methyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3yl)carbamoyl)nicotinate (7.15)


Compound 7.15 was prepared following the procedure for 7.8. In the first step 5-(methoxycarbonyl)picolinic acid ( $506 \mathrm{mg}, 2.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was refluxed in the mixture of $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ $(9.40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and anhydrous toluene ( 9.40 mL ). After isolation of acid chloride, 7.14 ( 968 mg , 2.80 mmol ) dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 33 mL ) and TEA ( $1.85 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added dropwise. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-100 \%$ AcOEt in hexane) and yielded 7.15 ( $1207 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ) as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}$, 3 H ), 2.23 ( $\mathrm{s}, J=39.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.97 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.14 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 165.1$, $161.2,156.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=245.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 153.2,149.6,139.0,136.9,135.9,130.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $128.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.4,127.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 127.5,127.1$, $126.4,124.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 122.2,121.5,59.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 52.8,26.1,18.6,14.8$, 5.2.

## methyl 6-((2'-fluoro-3'-(hydroxymethyl)-2-methyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)carbamoyl) nicotinate (7.16)



Compound 7.16 was prepared following the procedure for 7.9. Preparation involved the use of 7.15 ( $1207 \mathrm{mg}, 2.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in anhydrous DCM ( 38 mL ) and addition of HCl in dioxane ( $4 \mathrm{M}, 3.96 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-100 \%$ AcOEt in hexane) and yielded 7.16 ( $758 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$ ) as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.08$ (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $165.1,161.2,157.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=246.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 153.1,149.5,139.1,136.7,135.9,131.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=2.6\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 129.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.5,127.5$, $127.1,126.4,124.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 122.2,121.7,59.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 52.9,14.9$.

## methyl 6-((3'-((2-bromo-4-formylphenoxy)methyl)-2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl) carbamoyl)nicotinate (7.17)


7.9 ( $430 \mathrm{mg}, 1.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dry DCM (11 mL ) with catalytic amount of anhydrous DMF ( 0.3 mL ) and it was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(1.26 \mathrm{~mL}, 17.36$ mmol) was added portionwise. After 2 hours, $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and it was washed with DCM ( $2 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Organic layers were extracted with water ( 50 mL ), combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. After drying of the product, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(455 \mathrm{~g}, 3.41 \mathrm{mmol})$, 3-bromo-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde ( $334 \mathrm{~g}, 1.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous DMF ( 11 mL ) were added and resulted mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. Afterwards, mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, water was added $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and it was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined,
dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $0-100 \%$ AcOEt in hexane). The product was obtained as a colorless solid ( $486 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.22$ (d, $J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.37-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5$, $0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 189.7$, 165.1, 161.1, 159.9, 153.1, 149.5, 142.3, 142.3, 139.0, 135.8, 134.8, $134.6,133.8,131.2,131.0,130.0,128.4,127.5,126.7,126.4,125.9,122.2,120.8,113.3,112.9$, 70.2, 52.9, 15.8, 14.4 .

## methyl 6-((3'-((2-bromo-4-formylphenoxy)methyl)-2'-fluoro-2-methyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3yl)carbamoyl)nicotinate (7.18)



Compound 7.18 was prepared following the procedure for 7.17. In the first step 7.9 ( $430 \mathrm{mg}, 1.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anhydrous DCM ( 11 mL ) with addition of anhydrous DMF ( 0.1 mL ), and thionyl chloride ( $1.32 \mathrm{~mL}, 17.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The product from the first step was treated with 4-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzaldehyde ( $331 \mathrm{mg}, 2.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and potassium carbonate ( $506 \mathrm{mg}, 3.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), which were stirred in anhydrous DMF ( 11 mL ) at room temperature overnight. The crude product was precipitated from AcOEt and yielded 7.18 $(476 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%)$ as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $9.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.0,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.33-8.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.63(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5$, $0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=19.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 189.7,165.1,161.2,159.6,157.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=247.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 153.1,149.6,139.1,136.3,135.97$, $134.8,131.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.2,131.2,129.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $128.5,127.5,127.0,126.6,124.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 123.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 122.2,121.8$, 113.4, 113.0, $65.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 52.9$, 14.9.
methyl 6-((3'-((4-formyl-2-methylphenoxy)methyl)-2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl) carbamoyl)nicotinate (7.19)


Compound 7.19 was prepared following the procedure for 7.17. In the first step 7.9 ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.77$ mmol ), anhydrous DCM ( 8 mL ) with addition of anhydrous DMF ( 0.1 mL ), and thionyl chloride ( $0.99 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The product from the first step was treated with 4-hydroxy-3-methylbenzaldehyde ( $209 \mathrm{mg}, 1.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and potassium carbonate ( $318 \mathrm{mg}, 2.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), which were stirred in anhydrous DMF ( 8 mL ) at room temperature overnight. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-100 \% \mathrm{AcOEt}$ in hexane) and yielded 7.19 (279 mg, $71 \%$ ) as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.0,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=8.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.70$ (m, 2H), $7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=7.5,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25-5.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 191.2$, $165.1,162.1,161.2,153.1,149.5,142.5,142.3,139.1,135.9,134.7,134.6,131.8,130.7,129.9$, $129.8,128.4,128.1,127.5,126.8,126.5,125.8,122.2,120.9,111.0,69.2,52.9,16.5,15.7,14.5$.
methyl 6-((3'-((4-formyl-2-methoxyphenoxy)methyl)-2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl) carbamoyl)nicotinate (7.20)


Compound 7.20 was prepared following the procedure for 7.17. In the first step 7.9 ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anhydrous DCM ( 11 mL ) with addition of anhydrous DMF ( 0.1 mL ), and thionyl chloride ( $1.32 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The product from the first step was treated with 4-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzaldehyde ( $312 \mathrm{mg}, 2.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and potassium carbonate ( $425 \mathrm{mg}, 3.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), which were stirred in anhydrous DMF ( 11 mL ) at room temperature overnight. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $0-100 \%$ AcOEt in hexane) and yielded 7.20 ( $472 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.41$ (m, 3H), 7.33 (t, $J=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 191.0,165.1,161.2,153.9,153.2,150.4,149.5,142.5,142.2,139.1,135.8$,
$134.6,134.4,130.5,129.9,128.4,127.7,126.8,126.7,126.5,126.4,125.8,122.2,120.9,112.6$, 109.6, 70.0, 56.2, 52.9, 15.7, 14.5.

N-(3'-((2-bromo-4-(hydroxymethyl)phenoxy)methyl)-2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)-5-(hydroxymethyl)picolinamide (7.21)

7.17 ( $486 \mathrm{mg}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was placed in the dry, twoneck, round bottom flask under argon. Then, anhydrous THF ( 38 mL ) and MeOH ( 19 mL ) were added, and followingly $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4} 2 \mathrm{M}$ solution in THF ( $4.26 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise. Reaction mixture was stirred for 2 hours at room temperature. After, that time reaction was quenched, water ( 50 mL ) was added and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{AcOEt}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous MgSO 4 , filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $0-100 \% \mathrm{AcOEt}$ in hexane) to give $\mathbf{7 . 2 1}$ as a colorless solid ( $330 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 10.34$ (s, 1H), 8.66 (s, 1H), 8.16 (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.58-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.54(\mathrm{t}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.07$ (s, 3H), $2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 162.1,153.2,148.3,146.9,142.0,141.6$, $141.4,136.7,136.2,136.0,135.1,134.4,131.2,129.1,128.7,127.4,127.1,126.1,125.8,125.5$, $122.5,121.9,113.9,110.9,69.2,61.8,60.4,15.3,14.5$.

N-(3'-((2-bromo-4-(hydroxymethyl)phenoxy)methyl)-2'-fluoro-2-methyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)-5-(hydroxymethyl)picolinamide (7.22)
 Compound 7.22 was prepared following the procedure for $\mathbf{7 . 2 1 . 7 . 1 8 ( 3 3 4 \mathrm { mg } , 0 . 5 8 \mathrm { mmol } ) \text { , }}$ $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4} 2 \mathrm{M}$ solution in THF ( $2.90 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.79$ mmol ) and mixture of anhydrous THF/MeOH ( $26: 13 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{v}: \mathrm{v}$ ) were used to obtain compound 7.22 as a colorless foam ( 147 mg , yield: $46 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 10.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{td}, J=6.8,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.29$ (dd, $J=8.4,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.53(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta 162.3,156.9\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=247.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 153.0,148.3$, $146.9,141.4,137.1,136.4,135.9,135.8,131.6,131.1,129.6,129.5,128.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ),
$127.0,127.0,125.9,124.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 124.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 123.8,121.9,114.1$, $111.1,64.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 61.8,60.4,14.8$.

## 5-(hydroxymethyl)-N-(3'-((4-(hydroxymethyl)-2-methylphenoxy)methyl)-2,2'-dimethyl-

 [1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)picolinamide (7.23)

Compound 7.23 was prepared following the procedure for 7.21 . $7.19(250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{LiBH}_{4} 2 \mathrm{M}$ solution in THF ( 2.47 mL , 4.91 mmol ) and mixture of anhydrous $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH}(22: 11 \mathrm{~mL}$, $\mathrm{v}: \mathrm{v})$ were used to obtain compound 7.23 as a colorless foam ( 236 mg , yield: $99 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 10.34$ (s, 1H), $8.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87$ (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.19 (s, 3H), $2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 162.1,155.3,148.4$, $146.9,142.0,141.5,141.4,136.2,136.0,135.8,134.4,134.2,129.2,128.9,128.7,127.3,126.1$, $125.8,125.5,125.5,125.2,122.5,121.9,111.4,68.27,62.65,60.37,16.13,15.22,14.49$.

## 5-(hydroxymethyl)-N-(3'-((4-(hydroxymethyl)-2-methoxyphenoxy)methyl)-2,2'-

 dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)picolinamide (7.24)

Compound 7.24 was prepared following the procedure for 7.21. $7.20(440 \mathrm{mg}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4} 2 \mathrm{M}$ solution in THF ( $4.21 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and mixture of anhydrous $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH}(38: 19 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{v}: \mathrm{v})$ were used to obtain compound 7.21 as a colorless foam ( 344 mg , yield: $82 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.57(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.5,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 162.2,150.2,149.2,147.9,146.8,142.7,142.0,139.7,136.2,135.9,135.4$, $134.6,134.6,129.6,127.8,126.9,126.4,126.3,125.7,122.4,120.8,119.5,114.5,111.2,70.35$, 65.36, 62.38, 56.08, 15.67, 14.54.

## 5-(((2-hydroxyethyl)(methyl)amino)methyl)-N-(3'-((4-(((2-hydroxyethyl)(methyl)amino)

 methyl)-2-methoxyphenoxy)methyl)-2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)picolinamide (7.25)

The compound 7.25 was prepared according to the procedure used for 7.35. In the first step, 7.24 ( 93 mg , 0.19 mmol ), anhydrous DCM ( 5 mL ) with addition of anhydrous DMF ( 0.1 mL ), and thionyl chloride ( $0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The product from the first step was treated with 2-(methylamino)ethan-1-ol ( $92 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $0.17 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous DMF ( 5 mL ). A colorless precipitate 7.25 ( 71.8 mg , yield: $61 \%$ ) was obtained as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82$ (dd, $J=8.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.64(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 162.1,150.1,149.4,148.8,148.1,142.6,142.1,138.2,137.5,136.1,135.3$, 134.6, 129.6, 127.7, 126.7, 126.3, 126.2, 125.6, 122.3, 121.7, 120.6, 114.2, 113.1, 70.3, 62.1, $59.5,58.8,58.7,58.3,58.1,56.2,41.7,41.5,15.7,14.5 . \operatorname{UPLC}-\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{DAD} / \mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=8.0 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: 613.50, $m / z$; calc. mass: 613.34. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $613.3383 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 613.3390 .

## 5-(((2-hydroxyethyl)amino)methyl)-N-(3'-((4-(((2-hydroxyethyl)amino)methyl)-2-methoxyphenoxy)methyl)-2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)picolinamide (7.26)



The compound 7.26 was prepared according to the procedure used for 7.35. In the first step, 7.24 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anhydrous DCM ( 5 mL ) with addition of anhydrous DMF ( 0.1 mL ), and thionyl chloride ( 0.10 $\mathrm{mL}, 2.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The product from the first step was treated with ethanolamine ( 122 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $0.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous DMF ( 5 mL ). A colorless precipitate 7.26 ( 51.9 mg , yield: $44 \%$ ) was obtained as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 10.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0$, $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17$ (d, $J$ $=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$,
$3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 162.2,149.1,148.4$, $148.3,147.5,142.0,141.5,139.6,137.6,136.3,135.5,134.5,129.2,128.8,128.7,127.9,126.2$, $125.8,125.5,122.6,121.8,121.5,113.6,113.2,69.1,60.1,58.2,55.6,51.1,50.9,49.7,49.5$, 15.2, 14.6. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=7.8 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $585.45, \mathrm{~m} / z$; calc. mass: 585.31. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{+} \mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $585.3074 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 585.3077.

## 5-(((1,3-dihydroxypropan-2-yl)amino)methyl)-N-(3'-((4-(((1,3-dihydroxypropan-2-yl)

 amino)methyl)-2-methoxyphenoxy)methyl)-2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl) picolinamide (7.27)

The compound 7.27 was prepared according to the procedure used for 7.35. In the first step, 7.24 ( $93 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19$ mmol ), anhydrous DCM ( 5 mL ) with addition of anhydrous DMF ( 0.1 mL ), and thionyl chloride $(0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.92 \mathrm{mmol})$ were used. The product from the first step was treated with 2-amino-1,3-propanediol ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 1.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $0.28 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous DMF ( 5 mL ). A colorless precipitate 7.27 ( 30.6 mg , yield: $26 \%$ ) was obtained as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.36$ (s, 1H), 8.79 (s, 1H), $8.20-8.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.06 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.98 (d, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.25 (brs, 2H), $5.20-5.06$ (m, 2H), 4.87 (brs, 2H), $4.26-4.02(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(2 \mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78$ (brs, 1H), $2.04\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right.$ ), 1.99 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO-d6) $\delta$ 162.1, 149.1, $148.8,148.1,142.0,141.5,138.5,136.2,135.4,134.5,129.2,128.9,127.9,126.3,125.8,125.5$, $122.8,122.6,121.8,113.9,113.4,79.2,69.0,60.2,59.6,59.3,57.6,55.7,48.6,48.2,46.8,15.2$, 14.6. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.59 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $645.45 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 645.33. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $645.3279 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 645.3288.

## 5-(((1,3-dihydroxy-2-(hydroxymethyl)propan-2-yl)amino)methyl)-N-(3'-((4-(((1,3-

dihydroxy-2-(hydroxymethyl)propan-2-yl)amino)methyl)-2-methoxyphenoxy)methyl)-2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)picolinamide (7.28)


The compound 7.28 was prepared according to the procedure used for 7.35 . In the first step, 7.24 ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anhydrous DCM ( 5 mL ) with addition of anhydrous DMF ( 0.1 mL ), and thionyl chloride $(0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ were used. The product from the first step was treated with TRIS ( $194 \mathrm{mg}, 1.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $0.24 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous DMF ( 5 mL ). A colorless precipitate 7.28 ( 39.8 mg , yield: $35 \%$ ) was obtained as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 10.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17-8.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.11(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20-5.10(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.16-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 162.1,149.1,148.9,148.6,148.0,142.0,141.5,138.5,136.2,135.5$, 134.5, 129.2, 128.8, 127.9, 126.2, 125.8, 125.5, 122.7, 122.6, 121.7, 114.2, 113.4, 69.0, 65.5, $59.9,57.9,55.7,45.3,42.8,29.0,15.2,14.6$. UPLC - MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.82 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{9}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $703.26 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 703.33. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{9}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $705.3495 \mathrm{~m} / z$; calc. mass: 705.3499.

## 5-(((2-hydroxyethyl)amino)methyl)-N-(3'-((4-(((2-hydroxyethyl)amino)methyl)-2-methylphenoxy)methyl)-2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)picolinamide (7.29)



The compound 7.29 was prepared according to the procedure used for 7.35. In the first step, 7.23 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anhydrous DCM ( 5 mL ) with addition of anhydrous DMF ( 0.1 mL ), and thionyl chloride ( 0.12 $\mathrm{mL}, 2.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The product from the first step was treated with ethanolamine ( 127 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 2.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $0.31 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous DMF ( 5 mL ). A colorless precipitate 7.29 ( 68.9 mg , yield: $58 \%$ ) was obtained as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 10.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0$, $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87$ (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.26$ (m, 2H), $7.19-7.13$ (m, 2H), $7.13-7.03$ (m, 2H), 6.98 (dd, $J=7.6,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15$ (s, 2H), 4.64 (brs, 1H), 4.52 (brs, 1H), $3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.19 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 162.1$,
$156.3,148.5,148.3,142.0,141.6,139.4,137.7,136.3,135.7,134.3,131.8,129.0,128.7,128.3$, $127.3,126.9,126.1,125.8,125.6,122.5,121.8,111.6,68.3,60.0,57.8,50.8,50.6,49.7,49.2$, 16.1, 15.3, 14.5. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.70 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: 569.40 $m / z$; calc. mass: 569.31. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $569.3121 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 569.3128 .

5-(((2-hydroxyethyl)(methyl)amino)methyl)-N-(3'-((4-(((2-hydroxyethyl)(methyl)amino) methyl)-2-methylphenoxy)methyl)-2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)picolinamide (7.30)


The compound 7.30 was prepared according to the procedure used for $\mathbf{7 . 3 5}$. In the first step, 7.23 ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anhydrous DCM ( 5 mL ) with addition of anhydrous DMF ( 0.1 mL ), and thionyl chloride ( 0.12 $\mathrm{mL}, 2.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The product from the first step was treated with 2-(methylamino)ethan-1-ol ( $132 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $0.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous DMF ( 5 mL ). A colorless precipitate 7.30 ( 45.6 mg , yield: $46 \%$ ) was obtained as the product. ${ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.51-8.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.71$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.33(3 \mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 162.1$, $156.4,149.4,148.8,142.5,142.1,138.2,137.5,136.1,135.7,134.5,131.8,123.0,129.5,127.6$, $127.4,127.1,126.6,126.3,126.1,125.7,122.3,120.7111 .2,69.0,61.7,59.5,58.8,58.7,58.4$, $58.3,41.7,41.5,16.5,15.7,14.5$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.73 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $597.45 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 597.34. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ found: $597.3438 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 597.3441.

## 5-(((1,3-dihydroxypropan-2-yl)amino)methyl)-N-(3'-((4-(((1,3-dihydroxypropan-2-yl)

 amino)methyl)-2-methylphenoxy)methyl)-2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl) picolinamide (7.31)

The compound 7.31 was prepared according to the procedure used for 7.35. In the first step, 7.23 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anhydrous DCM ( 5 mL ) with addition of anhydrous DMF ( 0.1 mL ), and thionyl chloride ( $0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The product from the first step was treated with 2-amino-1,3-propanediol ( $189 \mathrm{mg}, 2.07$ mmol), DIPEA ( $0.31 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous DMF ( 5 mL ). A colorless precipitate 7.31
( 50.3 mg , yield: $38 \%$ ) was obtained as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.36$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21-8.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40$ $-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{brs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.80$ (brs, 2H), $4.09(2 \mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.59-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.57-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.03-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-$ $2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 162.1$, 156.7, 149.0, 148.7, 142.0, 141.6, 138.3, 136.2, 135.5, 134.3, 132.3, 129.0, 128.9, 127.3, 126.2, $125.9,125.8,125.5,122.8,121.8,111.6,68.3,60.2,59.8,59.2,57.6,47.8,46.9,16.1,15.3$, 14.5. UPLC - MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.69 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $629.40 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 629.33 . HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $629.3332 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 629.3339.

## 5-(((2-hydroxyethyl)(methyl)amino)methyl)-N-(3'-(((5-(()2-hydroxyethyl

 N-(3'-((2-bromo-4-(((2-hydroxyethyl)amino)methyl)phenoxy)methyl)-2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)-5-(((2-hydroxyethyl)amino)methyl)picolinamide (7.32)The compound 7.32 was prepared according to the
 procedure used for 7.35. In the first step, 7.21 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anhydrous DCM ( 4 mL ) with addition of anhydrous DMF ( 0.1 mL ), and thionyl chloride ( $0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The product from the first step was treated with ethanolamine $(111 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 112 \mathrm{mg}, 1.83$ mmol ), DIPEA ( $0.27 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous DMF ( 4 mL ). A brown precipitate $\mathbf{7 . 3 2}$ ( 37.1 mg , yield: $32 \%$ ) was obtained as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 10.36$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.73$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.15 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08$ (dd, $J=8.0,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84$ (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.27$ (m, 3H), $7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(2 \mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.06$ (s, 3H), 1.98 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta$ 162.1, 154.3, 148.8, 148.6, 141.9, 141.6, $138.1,136.2,135.0,134.5,134.2,130.4,129.2,128.9,128.7,127.5,126.2,125.8,125.6,122.8$, $121.9,113.9,110.9,69.3,59.4,57.6,50.5,49.6,49.2,49.1,15.4,14.6$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.18 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $631.04 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 631.19. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $633.2073 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 633.2076.

N-(3'-((2-bromo-4-(((2-hydroxyethyl)(methyl)amino)methyl)phenoxy)methyl)-2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)-5-(((2-hydroxyethyl)(methyl)amino)methyl)picolinamide (7.33)


The compound 7.33 was prepared according to the procedure used for $\mathbf{7 . 3 5}$. In the first step, 7.21 ( $95 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anhydrous DCM ( 5 mL ) with addition of anhydrous DMF ( 0.1 mL ), and thionyl chloride ( $0.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The product from the first step was treated with 2(methylamino)ethanol ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 1.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $0.26 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous DMF ( 5 mL ). A colorless precipitate 7.33 ( 58 mg , yield: $50 \%$ ) was obtained as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $15.3,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), $5.12-4.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 162.1$, $154.4,149.4,148.8,142.4,142.1,138.2,137.5,136.1,134.8,134.5,134.0,132.6,129.6,129.1$, $127.4,126.6,126.3,126.1,125.7,122.3,120.7,113.6,112.4,70.0,61.3,59.5,58.8,58.7,58.5$, $58.4,41.7,41.5,15.8,14.5$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.75 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ found: $661.30 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 661.24. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ found: $661.2387 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 661.2389 .

## N-(3'-((2-bromo-4-(((1,3-dihydroxypropan-2-yl)amino)methyl)phenoxy)methyl)-2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)-5-(((1,3-dihydroxypropan-2-yl)amino)methyl) picolinamide (7.34)



The compound 7.34 was prepared according to the procedure used for 7.35. In the first step, 7.21 (100 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anhydrous DCM ( 4 mL ) with addition of anhydrous DMF ( 0.1 mL ), and thionyl chloride ( $0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The product from the first step was treated with 2-aminopropane-1,3-diol ( $166 \mathrm{mg}, 1.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $0.27 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous DMF ( 4 mL ). A colorless precipitate $7.34(40.4 \mathrm{mg}$, yield: $32 \%$ ) was obtained as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 10.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-6.94$
(m, 1H), 5.24 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.7,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 3.39 (dd, $J=10.1,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 162.2,153.3,148.3,148.3,148.2,142.0,141.6,140.6$, $137.5,136.3,135.1,134.5,132.7,129.2,128.7,127.5,126.1,125.8,125.5,122.6,121.8,113.9$, $110.9,69.3,61.1,60.7,60.3,60.3,60.1,60.1,49.2,47.8,15.4,14.6$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.10 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{-} \mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $691.16 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 691.21. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $693.2285 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 693.2287 .

## N-(3'-((2-bromo-4-(((1,3-dihydroxy-2-(hydroxymethyl)propan-2-yl)amino)methyl) phenoxy)methyl)-2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)-5-(((1,3-dihydroxy-2-(hydroxymethyl)propan-2-yl)amino)methyl)picolinamide (7.35)


$7.21(66 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in anhydrous DCM ( 4 mL ) with catalytic amount of dry DMF ( 0.01 mL ). The resulted solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then, thionyl chloride ( $76 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 1.21 mmol ) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . Afterwards, the resulted solution was poured to the separation funnel with the 50 mL of sodium carbonate solution. Phases were separated and water phase was washed with dichloromethane ( $2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. As a result colorless precipitate was obtained. In the second step of reaction, to the flask with resulted chloride TIRS ( $146 \mathrm{mg}, 1.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anhydrous DMF ( 4 mL ) and DIPEA ( $0.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added respectively. Resulted mixture was heated overnight at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Afterwards, solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the mixture of water, dichloromethane and methanol ( $40 \mathrm{~mL}, 4: 4: 1, \mathrm{v}: \mathrm{v}: \mathrm{v}$ ) was added to separate the phases. The aqueous phase was further extracted with dichloromethane: methanol ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}, 4: 1$, v:v) mixture. The organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and then concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, chloroform: methanol, $4: 1+1 \%$ solution of ammonia in methanol). A colorless solid 7.35 ( 33 mg , yield: $36 \%$ ) was obtained as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 10.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54$ (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97$ (d, $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.35$ (brs, 6H), 3.91 (s, 2H), 3.70 (s, 2H), $3.45-3.37$ (m, 12H), $2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 162.2,153.2,148.4,148.0,142.0$,
141.6, 141.4, 137.4, 136.3, 135.1, 134.5, 132.7, 129.2, 128.7, 128.5, 127.5, 126.1, 125.8, 125.5, $122.4,121.7,113.9,110.9,69.3,61.3,61.0,60.3,44.3,42.9,15.3,14.5$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.09 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $751.21 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 751.23. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $753.2491 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 753.2499 .

N-(3'-((2-bromo-4-(((S)-3-hydroxypyrrolidin-1-yl)methyl)phenoxy)methyl)-2,2'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)-5-(((S)-3-hydroxypyrrolidin-1-yl)methyl)picolinamide (7.36)


The compound 7.36 was prepared according to the procedure used for 7.35. In the first step, $7.21(100 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$, anhydrous DCM ( 4 mL ) with addition of anhydrous DMF ( 0.1 mL ), and thionyl chloride ( $0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The product from the first step was treated with (S)-pyrrolidin-3-ol ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $0.27 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous DMF ( 4 mL ). A beige precipitate 7.36 ( 67.4 mg , yield: $54 \%$ ) was obtained as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, ) $\delta 10.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.59$ (d, $J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33-8.23$ (m, 2H), 7.89 (dd, $J=8.0$, $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.17$ $-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.46-4.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.60$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.05-2.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.62-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.42-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.83$ $-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.25 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $685.23 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass, 685.24. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $685.2384 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 685.2389 .

N-(3'-((2-bromo-4-(((2-hydroxyethyl)amino)methyl)phenoxy)methyl)-2'-fluoro-2-methyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)-5-(((2-hydroxyethyl)amino)methyl)picolinamide (7.37)
 The compound 7.37 was prepared according to the procedure used for $\mathbf{7 . 3 5}$. In the first step, $7.22(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18$ mmol ), anhydrous DCM ( 5 mL ) with addition of anhydrous DMF ( 0.1 mL ), and thionyl chloride ( $0.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The product from the first step was treated with ethanolamine ( $111 \mu \mathrm{~g}, 1.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $0.27 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous DMF ( 5 mL ). A colorless precipitate 7.37 ( 39 mg , yield: $34 \%$ ) was obtained as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.28(\mathrm{~m}$,
$4 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.29(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.53-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 162.3, $159.6\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=294.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), 155.7, 153.1, 148.3, 148.2, 140.1, 137.4, 136.4, 135.9, 134.7, 132.6, $131.6,129.6,128.6\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 127.0,125.9,124.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=\right.$ $3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 123.8,121.9,114.1,111.1,64.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 60.4$, $60.0,51.3,51.0,50.7,49.9,14.9\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. UPLC - MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.68 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{BrFN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $637.25 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 637.18. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{BrFN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: $637.1821 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 637.1826.

N-(3'-((2-bromo-4-(((S)-3-hydroxypyrrolidin-1-yl)methyl)phenoxy)methyl)-2'-fluoro-2-methyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)-5-(((S)-3-hydroxypyrrolidin-1-yl)methyl)picolinamide (7.38)


The compound 7.38 was prepared according to the procedure used for 7.35. In the first step, 7.22 ( $85 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anhydrous DCM ( 5 mL ) with addition of anhydrous DMF ( 0.1 mL ), and thionyl chloride $(0.94 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.65$ mmol ) were used. The product from the first step was treated with (S)-pyrrolidin-3-ol ( 134 mg , 1.54 mmol ), DIPEA ( $0.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous DMF ( 5 mL ). A colorless precipitate 7.38 ( 50 mg , yield: $47 \%$ ) was obtained as the product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.15(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~s}$, 3 H ), 7.07 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), $6.95(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.42-4.28$ (m, 2H), 3.73 (s, 2H), $3.55(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=16.7,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63-$ $2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.39-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 162.2,156.9\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=246.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 154.0,149.1,148.6,138.0,137.9,136.4$, $136.2,133.9,133.0,131.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.0(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{C}), 128.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 127.4$, $126.7,126.4,124.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 124.1\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 122.3,121.6,113.7,112.5$, $71.3(2 \mathrm{C}), 65.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 63.0,62.9,59.1,57.3,52.6,52.4,35.1,35.0,14.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=\right.$ 2.3 Hz ). UPLC-MS (DAD/ESI): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.74 \mathrm{~min}$, for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{BrFN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: 689.30 $m / z$; calc. mass, 689.21. HRMS ESI-MS-q-TOF for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{BrFN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$found: 689.2134 $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$; calc. mass: 689.2139.

### 4.3. Protein Expression and Purification

All chemicals used for protein expression and purification were received from BioShop Sigma-Aldrich. The preparation of proteins including $\operatorname{IgV}$ domains of human and mouse PDL1 protein (hPD-L1 residues, 18-134, C-terminal His-tag; mPD-L1 residues, 19-134) and the extracellular domain of human PD-1 (hPD-1 residues 34-150, C93S) were expressed and purified as described in the following paper (Zak et al., 2016).

Additionally, to obtain ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ labelling bacterial cells were cultured at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in LB or M9 minimal medium containing ${ }^{15} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ as the sole nitrogen source. 1 mM osopropyl $\beta$-D-1thiogalactopyranosid (IPTG) at an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of 0.8 was used for induction of protein expression. After overnight culture of cells (temperature decreased to $28{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for hPD-1), purification of inclusion bodies was carried out as described previously (Zak et al., 2015). Followingly, proteins were refolded by dropwise dilution into a solution containing 0.1 M Tris $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,0.4$ M L-arginine hydrochloride, 2 mM EDTA, 5 mM cystamine, and 0.5 mM cysteamine for hPD1, and 0.1 M Tris $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{L-arginine} \mathrm{hydrochloride}$,0.25 mM oxidized glutathione, and 0.25 mM reduced glutathione for hPD-L1. After refolding process, proteins were subjected to dialyses, and there were dialyzed three times against 10 mM Tris pH 8.0 and 20 mM NaCl solution. Purification involved size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) on an HiLoad 26/600 Superdex 75 column in 25 mM sodium phosphate pH 6.4 with 100 mM NaCl for hPD-1 and for N66A hPD-1 mutant. PBS pH 7.4 was applied for hPD-L1, hPD-L1(18-239) (Konieczny et al., 2020).

## 4.4. weak-Antagonist-Induced Dissociation Assay (w-AIDA-NMR)

All spectra were recorded at 300 K on a Bruker Avance III 600 MHz spectrometer equipped with the nitrogen cryoprobe. Sample preparation involved the addition of $10 \%$ ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) of $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to provide the signal lock. In the experiment ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-labeled wt- and N66A PD-1 ( 0.15 $\mathrm{mM})$ were slightly over titrated with the unlabelled PD-L1. The compounds were added into the resulting mixture. During the (weak) antagonist-induced dissociation assay (w-AIDANMR), the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{-15} \mathrm{~N}$ signals were monitored by SOFAST HMQC to check the competence of small molecules towards PD-L1/(wt or N66A)PD-1 dissociation.

### 4.5. Homogeneous Time-Resolved Fluorescence (HTRF)

The certified Cis-Bio assay kit was used to determine the ability of small molecule to interrupt PD-1/PD-L1 pathway. Following the standard protocol, the measurement was carried
out at $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ final volume with 5 nM concentration of hPD-L1 and 50 nM concentration of hPD-1 in the final formulation. Separate dilution series were performed to calculate the half maximal inhibitory concentration ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ) of the most potent compounds. Analyte and detection reagents (anti-analyte conjugated donor and acceptor conjugated antibody) were placed in the proper wells on microplate as suggested in the Cis-Bio protocol. The plate was left for 2 h of incubation and it was read on an HTRF certified microplate reader Tecan Spark 20M. Output data were subjected to background subtraction on negative control, normalization in correlation to positive control and they were averaged. Half maximal inhibitory concentrations were calculated using Mathematica 12 by fitting with normalized Hill's equation to get the final values.

### 4.6. Cell Culture

CHO-K1 cells overexpressing hPD-L1 and the recombinant TCR ligand (CHO/TCRAct/PD-L1) and Jurkat T cells overexpressing hPD-1 and bearing a luciferase reporter gene under the control of Nuclear Factor of Activated T-cells Response Element (NFAT-RE) (hPD-1 Effector Cells, hPD-1 ECs, Promega) were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (Biowest, Billerica, MA) supplemented with $10 \%$ Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS, Biowest) and 200 mM L-glutamine (Biowest) in the presence of G418 ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, InvivoGen, San Diego, CA) and Hygromycin B Gold ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, InvivoGen) as selection antibiotics. To check the overexpression of hPD-L1 and TCR ligand in aAPCs and PD-1 in ECs, flow cytometry and Western blot analysis were performed respectively.

## 4.7. hPD-1/hPD-L1 Immune Checkpoint Blockade Assay

The examination of the functional effects of the blockade of PD-1/PD-L1 immune checkpoint was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions included in the protocol for PD-1/PD-L1 Blockade Bioassay (Promega). CHO/TCRAct/PD-L1 cells or CHO/TCRAct or CHO-K1 cells were seeded on 96-well plates at the density 10000 cells/well 17 h prior to the experiment. The 2.5 -fold dilution of the small molecules was prepared in DMSO, and then the compounds were diluted 1000 -fold in the assay buffer ( $99 \%$ RPMI $1640,1 \% \mathrm{FBS}$ ) to form the solutions with $0.1 \%$ of DMSO in total volume. On the day of the assay the 2.5 -fold dilutions of durvalumab, a positive control antihPD-L1 monoclonal antibody (Imfinzi, Medimmune/AstraZeneca), were prepared in the assay buffer. The culture medium was discarded from the wells, and serial dilutions of either the small molecule or the antibody were added. Then, Jurkat-ECs cells were seeded at the density of 20000 cells/well onto the assay plates. Activation of the Jurkat T cells, reflected by luciferase activity, was monitored by
luminescence measurements after 6 h of incubation $\left(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$, and 20 min of additional incubation with the Bio-Glo assay reagent (Promega) at room temperature. Spark microplate reader (Tecan) allow the luminescence signal detection. Half-maximal effective concentrations (EC50 values) were calculated from the dose-response curve using OriginPro 2020 (OriginLab) software.

### 4.8. Cytotoxicity Assay

Since Jurkat-ECs afford the read-out in the ICB assay and could possess vulnerability to compounds' toxicity, the cytotoxicity of small molecules towards Jurkat-ECs was verified. For this purpose, Jurkat-ECs were seeded on 96-well transparent plates in the presence of increasing concentrations of the compounds, keeping constant $(0.1 \%)$ concentration of DMSO. After 48 h of incubation, a tetrazolium reagent, Biolog redox dye MIX MB (Biolog), was added according to the manufacturer's protocol, and the culture plates were incubated for an additional 2 h ( 37 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ). Afterwards, Spark microplate reader (Tecan) allow the measurement of absorbance at 590 nm with 750 nm as a reference. The data are presented as Jurkat-EC survival relative to DMSO-treated control cells.

### 4.9. Crystallization and the Crystal Structure Determination

## Protein expression and purification

The hPD-L1 protein was expressed and purified as previously described in (Zak et al., 2016 PMID 27083005). The construct containing the amino acids 18-134 was cloned into pET21b and expressed in the form of Inclusion Bodies (IB) in LB medium containing the corresponding antibiotic at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until an OD of 0.6 was reached; the temperature then was reduced to $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the culture was left shaking overnight. The IBs were then washed, and the refolding of the protein was performed in a drop-wise fashion in buffer containing 0.1 M Tris $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{L-}$ Arg hydrochloride, 0.25 mM oxidized glutathione and 0.25 mM reduced glutathione. The refolded solution was then dialyzed against buffer containing 20 mM NaCl and 10 mM TrisHCl pH 8.0. The final purification step consisted of a size exclusion chromatography on Superdex 75 (GE Healthcare). Protein purity and folded status were checked by SDS-Page and NMR, respectively.
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## List of Abbreviations

AcOEt - ethyl acetate
ACN - acetonitryle
AcOH - acetic acid
ALPHA - Amplified Luminescent
Proximity Homogeneous Assay
APCs - antigen presenting cells
ATR - attenuated total reflection
$\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{Pin}_{2}$ - bis (pinacolato) diborane
CD4 - cluster of differentation 4
CD8 - cluster of differentation 8
CD28 - cluster of differentiation 28
$\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ - deuterated chloroform
CES1- Carboxylesterase 1
CHO-K1 - Chinese hamster ovary cells, subclone K1
CSF1 - colony stimulating factor 1
CTLA-4 - cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen
DBU - 1,8-diazabicyclo [5.4.0] undec-7-
ene
DCs - dendritic cells
DCM - dichloromethane
DIPEA - N, N-diisopropylethylamine
DMF - N, N-dimethylformamide
DMSO - dimethyl sulfoxide
dppf - 1,1'-bis (diphenylphosphino)
ferrocene
EC - effector cells
$\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ - half-maximal effective
concentration
ELISA - enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
ER - endoplasmic reticulum
ESI - electrospray ionisation
FDA - Food and Drug Administration HATU - 1-
[bis(dimethylamino)methylene]-1H-1,2,3-
triazolo[4,5-b]pyridinium 3-oxide
hexafluorophosphate
HCOOH - formic acid
HEK 293 - Human embryonic kidney 293
HRMS - high resolution mass spectrometry
HSQC NMR - Heteronuclear Single
Quantum Coherence Nuclear Magnetic
Resonance
HTRF - Homogenous Time Resolved
Fluorescence
ICP - immune checkpoint
ICIs - immunocheckpoint inhibitors

IC $_{50}$ - half-maximal inhibitory
concentration
IFN $-\gamma$ - interferon $\gamma$
irAE - immune-related adverse effects
ITIM - immunoreceptor tyrosine-based inhibitory motif
ITSM - immunoreceptor tyrosine-based switch motif
KT- killer T cells
mAb - monoclonal antibody
MCPs - macrocyclic peptides
MeOH - methanol
MLR - mixed lymphocyte reaction
MSI - microsatellite instability
MSPA - murine splenocyte proliferation

## assay

NBS - N-bromosuccinimide
NFAT - Nuclear factor of activated T-cells
NKT- natural killer T cells
NMR - Nuclear Magnetic Resonance
NOESY - Nuclear Overhauser Effect
Spectroscopy
NSCLC - non-small cell lung cancer
OS- overall survival
PBMC - peripheral blood mononuclear cell
PD-1 - Programmed Death 1
PD-L1 - Programmed Death Ligand 1
PD-L2 - Programmed Death Ligand 2
PFS - progression-free survival
SAR - structure-activity relationship
SEC - size-exclusion chromatography
SPR - Surface plasmon resonance
STAT 3 - signal transducer and activator of transcription 3
TCR - T-cell receptor
TEA - triethylamine
TGF- $\beta$-Transforming growth factor beta
TGI - tumor growth inhibition
TLC - Thin-layer chromatography
TME - tumor microenvironment
THF - tetrahydrofuran
TR-FRET- Time-Resolved Förster's
Resonance Energy Transfer
UPLC-MS - ultra-performance liquid
chromatography-mass spectrometry
w-AIDA - weak-Antagonist Induced
Dissociation Assay
VISTA - V-domain Ig suppressor of T cell activation
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